

**Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
Federal Reserve Board
Office of Thrift Supervision**

**Interagency Policy Statement on the Review and
Classification of Commercial Real Estate Loans**

November 7, 1991

The recent decline in credit extended by depository institutions has been attributed to many factors. These factors include the general slowdown in the economy, the overbuilding of commercial real estate properties in some markets, the desire of some household and business borrowers, as well as some depository institutions, to strengthen their balance sheets, changes by lenders in underwriting standards, and concerns about the potential impact of certain supervisory policies or actions. To ensure that regulatory policies and actions do not inadvertently curtail the availability of credit to sound borrowers, the four Federal regulators of banks and thrifts have taken a number of steps to clarify and communicate their policies. The attached policy statement is a further step in this effort.

On March 1, 1991, the four agencies — the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Federal Reserve Board, and the Office of Thrift Supervision — issued general guidelines that addressed a wide range of supervisory policies. Included in the March issuance were brief discussions of the workout of problems loans, lending by undercapitalized institutions, and a general statement on the valuation of real estate loans.

The attached policy statement expands upon the March 1 and subsequent guidance as it relates to the review and classification of commercial real estate loans.

The intent of the statement by the agencies is to provide clear and comprehensive guidance to ensure that supervisory personnel are reviewing loans in a consistent, prudent, and balanced fashion and to ensure that all interested parties are aware of the guidance.

The policy statement emphasizes that the evaluation of real estate loans is not based solely on the value of the collateral, but on a review of the borrower's willingness and capacity to repay and on the income-producing capacity of the properties.

The policy statement also provides guidance on how supervisory personnel analyze the value of collateral. In general, examiners consider the institution's appraisals of collateral (or internal evaluations, when applicable) to determine value and they review the major facts, assumptions and approaches used in determining the value of the collateral. Examiners seek to avoid challenges to underlying assumptions that differ in only a limited way from norms that would generally be associated with the property under review. Nonetheless, when reviewing the value of the collateral and any related management adjustments, examiners ascertain that the value is based on assumptions that are both prudent and realistic, and not on overly optimistic or overly pessimistic assumptions.

The policy statement covers a wide range of specific topics, including:

- the general principles that examiners follow in reviewing commercial real estate loan portfolios;
- the indicators of troubled real estate markets, projects, and related indebtedness;
- the factors examiners consider in their review of individual loans, including the use of appraisals and the determination of collateral value;
- a discussion of approaches to valuing real estate, especially in troubled markets;
- the classification guidelines followed by the agencies, including the treatment of guarantees; and
- the factors considered in the evaluation of an institution's allowance for loan and lease losses.

This statement is intended to ensure that all supervisory personnel, lending institutions and other interested parties have a clear understanding of the agencies' policies.

Interagency Policy Statement on the Review and Classification of Commercial Real Estate Loans¹

Introduction

This policy statement addresses the review and classification of commercial real estate loans by examiners of the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies.² Guidance is also provided on the analysis of the value of the underlying collateral. In addition, this policy statement summarizes principles for evaluating an institution's process for determining the appropriate level for the allowance for loan and lease losses, including amounts that have been based on an analysis of the commercial real estate loan portfolio.³ These guidelines are intended to promote the prudent, balanced, and consistent supervisory treatment of commercial real estate loans, including those to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties.

The attachments to this policy statement address three topics related to the review of commercial real estate loans by examiners. The topics include the treatment of guarantees in the classification process (Attachment 1); background information on the valuation of income-producing commercial real estate loans in the examination process (Attachment 2); and definitions of classification terms used by the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies (Attachment 3).

Examiner Review of Commercial Real Estate Loans

Loan Policy and Administration Review. As part of the analysis of an institution's commercial real estate loan portfolio, examiners review lending policies, loan administration procedures, and credit risk control procedures. The maintenance of prudent written lending policies, effective internal systems and controls, and thorough

¹ For purposes of this policy statement, "commercial real estate loans" refers to all loans secured by real estate, except for loans secured by 1 - 4 family residential properties. This does not refer to loans where the underlying collateral has been taken solely through an abundance of caution where the terms as a consequence have not been made more favorable than they would have been in the absence of the lien.

² The agencies issuing this policy statement are the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Office of Thrift Supervision.

³ For analytical purposes, as part of its overall estimate of the allowance for loan and lease losses (ALLL) management may attribute a portion of the ALLL to the commercial real estate loan portfolio. However, this does not imply that any part of the ALLL is segregated for, or allocated to, any particular asset or group of assets. The ALLL is available to absorb all credit losses originating from the loan and lease portfolio.

For savings institutions, the ALLL is referred to as the "general valuation allowance" for purposes of the Thrift Financial Report.

loan documentation are essential to the institution's management of the lending function.

The policies governing an institution's real estate lending activities must include prudent underwriting standards that are periodically reviewed by the board of directors and clearly communicated to the institution's management and lending staff. The institution must also have credit risk control procedures that include, for example, prudent internal limits on exposure, an effective credit review and classification process, and a methodology for ensuring that the allowance for loan and lease losses is maintained at an adequate level. The complexity and scope of these policies and procedures should be appropriate to the size of the institution and the nature of the institution's activities, and should be consistent with prudent banking practices and relevant regulatory requirements.

Indicators of Troubled Real Estate Markets and Projects, and Related

Indebtedness. In order to evaluate the collectibility of an institution's commercial real estate portfolio, examiners should be alert for indicators of weakness in the real estate markets served by the institution. They should also be alert for indicators of actual or potential problems in the individual commercial real estate projects or transactions financed by the institution.

Available indicators, such as permits for — and the value of — new construction, absorption rates, employment trends, and vacancy rates, are useful in evaluating the condition of commercial real estate markets. Weaknesses disclosed by these types of statistics may indicate that a real estate market is experiencing difficulties that may result in cash flow problems for individual real estate projects, declining real estate values, and ultimately, in troubled commercial real estate loans.

Indicators of potential or actual difficulties in commercial real estate projects may include:

- An excess of similar projects under construction.
- Construction delays or other unplanned adverse events resulting in cost overruns that may require renegotiation of loan terms.
- Lack of a sound feasibility study or analysis that reflects current and reasonably anticipated market conditions.
- Changes in concept or plan (for example, a condominium project converted to an apartment project because of unfavorable market conditions).
- Rent concessions or sales discounts resulting in cash flow below the level projected in the original feasibility study or appraisal.
- Concessions on finishing tenant space, moving expenses, and lease buyouts.

- Slow leasing or lack of sustained sales activity and increasing sales cancellations that may reduce the project's income potential, resulting in protracted repayment or default on the loan.
- Delinquent lease payments from major tenants.
- Land values that assume future rezoning.
- Tax arrearages.

As the problems associated with a commercial real estate project become more pronounced, problems with the related indebtedness may also arise. Such problems include diminished cash flow to service the debt and delinquent interest and principal payments.

While some commercial real estate loans become troubled because of a general downturn in the market, others become troubled because they were originated on an unsound or a liberal basis. Common examples of these types of problems include:

- Loans with no or minimal borrower equity.
- Loans on speculative undeveloped property where the borrowers' only source of repayment is the sale of the property.
- Loans based on land values that have been driven up by rapid turnover of ownership, but without any corresponding improvements to the property or supportable income projections to justify an increase in value.
- Additional advances to service an existing loan that lacks credible support for full repayment from reliable sources.
- Loans to borrowers with no development plans or noncurrent development plans.
- Renewals, extensions and refinancings that lack credible support for full repayment from reliable sources and that do not have a reasonable repayment schedule.⁴

Examiner Review of Individual Loans, Including the Analysis of Collateral Value. The focus of an examiner's review of a commercial real estate loan, including binding commitments, is the ability of the loan to be repaid. The principal factors that bear on this analysis are the income-producing potential of the underlying collateral and the borrower's willingness and capacity to repay under the existing loan terms from the borrower's other resources if necessary. In evaluating the overall risk associated with

⁴ As discussed more fully in the section on classification guidelines, the refinancing or renewing of loans to sound borrowers would not result in a supervisory classification or censure unless well-defined weaknesses exist that jeopardize repayment of the loans. Consistent with sound banking practices, institutions should work in an appropriate and constructive manner with borrowers who may be experiencing temporary difficulties.

a commercial real estate loan, examiners consider a number of factors, including the character, overall financial condition and resources, and payment record of the borrower; the prospects for support from any financially responsible guarantors; and the nature and degree of protection provided by the cash flow and value of the underlying collateral.⁵ However, as other sources of repayment for a troubled commercial real estate loan become inadequate over time, the importance of the collateral's value in the analysis of the loan necessarily increases.

The appraisal regulations of the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies require institutions to obtain appraisals when certain criteria are met.⁶ Management is responsible for reviewing each appraisal's assumptions and conclusions for reasonableness. Appraisal assumptions should not be based solely on current conditions that ignore the stabilized income-producing capacity of the property.⁷ Management should adjust any assumptions used by an appraiser in determining value that are overly optimistic or pessimistic.

An examiner analyzes the collateral's value as determined by the institution's most recent appraisal (or internal evaluation, as applicable). An examiner reviews the major facts, assumptions, and approaches used by the appraiser (including any comments made by management on the value rendered by the appraiser). Under the circumstances described below, the examiner may make adjustments to this assessment of value. This review and any resulting adjustments to value are solely for purposes of an examiner's analysis and classification of a credit and do not involve actual adjustments to an appraisal.

A discounted cash flow analysis is an appropriate method for estimating the value of income-producing real estate collateral.⁸ This approach is discussed in more detail in Attachment 2. This analysis should not be based solely on the current performance of the collateral or similar properties; rather, it should take into account, on a discounted basis, the ability of the real estate to generate income over time based upon reasonable and supportable assumptions.

⁵ The treatment of guarantees in the classification process is discussed in Attachment 1.

⁶ Department of the Treasury, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 12 CFR Part 34 (Docket No. 90-16); Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 12 CFR Parts 208 and 225 (Regulations H and Y; Docket No. R-0685); Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 12 CFR 323 (RIN 3064-AB05); Department of the Treasury, Office of Thrift Supervision, 12 CFR Part 564 (Docket No. 90-1495).

⁷ Stabilized income generally is defined as the yearly net operating income produced by the property at normal occupancy and rental rates; it may be adjusted upward or downward from today's actual market conditions.

⁸ The real estate appraisal regulations of the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies include a requirement that an appraisal (a) follow a reasonable valuation method that addresses the direct sales comparison, income, and cost approaches to market value; (b) reconcile these approaches; and (c) explain the elimination of each approach not used. A discounted cash flow analysis is recognized as a valuation method for the income approach.

When reviewing the reasonableness of the facts and assumptions associated with the value of the collateral, examiners may evaluate:

- Current and projected vacancy and absorption rates;
- Lease renewal trends and anticipated rents;
- Volume and trends in past due leases;
- Effective rental rates or sale prices (taking into account all concessions);
- Net operating income of the property as compared with budget projections; and
- Discount rates and direct capitalization ("cap") rates.⁹

The capacity of a property to generate cash flow to service a loan is evaluated based upon rents (or sales), expenses, and rates of occupancy that are reasonably estimated to be achieved over time. The determination of the level of stabilized occupancy and rental rates should be based upon an analysis of current and reasonably expected market conditions, taking into consideration historical levels when appropriate. The analysis of collateral values should not be based upon a simple projection of current levels of net operating income if markets are depressed or reflect speculative pressures but can be expected over a reasonable period of time to return to normal (stabilized) conditions. Judgment is involved in determining the time that it will take for a property to achieve stabilized occupancy and rental rates.

Examiners do not make adjustments to appraisal assumptions for credit analysis purposes based on worst case scenarios that are unlikely to occur. For example, an examiner would not necessarily assume that a building will become vacant just because an existing tenant who is renting at a rate above today's market rate may vacate the property when the current lease expires. On the other hand, an adjustment to value may be appropriate for credit analysis purposes when the valuation assumes renewal at the above-market rate, unless that rate is a reasonable estimate of the expected market rate at the time of renewal.

When estimating the value of income-producing real estate, discount rates and "cap" rates should reflect reasonable expectations about the rate of return that investors require under normal, orderly and sustainable market conditions. Exaggerated, imprudent, or unsustainably high or low discount rates, "cap" rates, and income projections should not be used. Direct capitalization of nonstabilized income flows should also not be used.

Assumptions, when recently made by qualified appraisers (and, as appropriate, by institution management) and when consistent with the discussion above, should be

⁹ Attachment 2 includes a discussion of discount rates and direct capitalization rates.

given a reasonable amount of deference. Examiners should not challenge the underlying assumptions, including discount rates and "cap" rates used in appraisals, that differ only in a limited way from norms that would generally be associated with the property under review. The estimated value of the underlying collateral may be adjusted for credit analysis purposes when the examiner can establish that any underlying facts or assumptions are inappropriate and can support alternative assumptions.

Classification Guidelines

As with other types of loans, commercial real estate loans that are adequately protected by the current sound worth and debt service capacity of the borrower, guarantor, or the underlying collateral generally are not classified. Similarly, loans to sound borrowers that are refinanced or renewed in accordance with prudent underwriting standards, including loans to creditworthy commercial or residential real estate developers, should not be classified or criticized unless well-defined weaknesses exist that jeopardize repayment. An institution will not be criticized for continuing to carry loans having weaknesses that result in classification or criticism as long as the institution has a well-conceived and effective workout plan for such borrowers, and effective internal controls to manage the level of these loans.

In evaluating commercial real estate credits for possible classification, examiners apply standard classification definitions (Attachment 3).¹⁰ In determining the appropriate classification, consideration should be given to all important information on repayment prospects, including information on the borrower's creditworthiness, the value of, and cash flow provided by, all collateral supporting the loan, and any support provided by financially responsible guarantors.

The loan's record of performance to date is important and must be taken into consideration. As a general principle, a performing commercial real estate loan should not automatically be classified or charged-off solely because the value of the underlying collateral has declined to an amount that is less than the loan balance. However, it would be appropriate to classify a performing loan when well-defined weaknesses exist that jeopardize repayment, such as the lack of credible support for full repayment from reliable sources.¹¹

These principles hold for individual credits, even if portions or segments of the industry to which the borrower belongs are experiencing financial difficulties. The evaluation of each credit should be based upon the fundamental characteristics

¹⁰ These definitions are presented in Attachment 3 and address assets classified "substandard," "doubtful," or "loss" for supervisory purposes.

¹¹ Another issue that arises in the review of a commercial real estate loan is the loan's treatment as an accruing asset or as a nonaccrual asset for reporting purposes. The federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies have provided guidance on nonaccrual status in the instructions for the Reports of Condition and Income (Call Reports) for banks, and in the instructions for the Thrift Financial Report for savings associations, and in related supervisory guidance of the agencies.

affecting the collectibility of the particular credit. The problems broadly associated with some sectors or segments of an industry, such as certain commercial real estate markets, should not lead to overly pessimistic assessments of particular credits that are not affected by the problems of the troubled sectors.

Classification of troubled project-dependent commercial real estate loans.¹² The following guidelines for classifying a troubled commercial real estate loan apply when the repayment of the debt will be provided solely by the underlying real estate collateral, and there are no other available and reliable sources of repayment.

As a general principle, for a troubled project-dependent commercial real estate loan, any portion of the loan balance that exceeds the amount that is adequately secured by the value of the collateral, and that can clearly be identified as uncollectible, should be classified "loss."¹³ The portion of the loan balance that is adequately secured by the value of the collateral should generally be classified no worse than "substandard." The amount of the loan balance in excess of the value of the collateral, or portions thereof, should be classified "doubtful" when the potential for full loss may be mitigated by the outcomes of certain pending events, or when loss is expected but the amount of the loss cannot be reasonably determined.

If warranted by the underlying circumstances, an examiner may use a "doubtful" classification on the entire loan balance. However, this would occur infrequently.

Guidelines for classifying partially charged-off loans. Based upon consideration of all relevant factors, an evaluation may indicate that a credit has well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize collection in full, but that a portion of the loan may be reasonably assured of collection. When an institution has taken a charge-off in an amount sufficient that the remaining recorded balance of the loan (a) is being serviced (based upon reliable sources) and (b) is reasonably assured of collection, classification of the remaining recorded balance may not be appropriate. Classification would be appropriate when well-defined weaknesses continue to be present in the remaining recorded balance. In such cases, the remaining recorded balance would generally be classified no more severely than "substandard."

A more severe classification than "substandard" for the remaining recorded balance would be appropriate if the loss exposure cannot be reasonably determined, e.g., where significant risk exposures are perceived, such as might be the case for bankruptcy situations or for loans collateralized by properties subject to environmental hazards. In addition, classification of the remaining recorded balance would be appropriate when sources of repayment are considered unreliable.

¹² The discussion in this section is not intended to address loans that must be treated as "other real estate owned" for bank regulatory reporting purposes or "real estate owned" for thrift regulatory reporting purposes. Guidance on these assets is presented in supervisory and reporting guidance of the agencies.

¹³ For purposes of this discussion, the "value of the collateral" is the value used by the examiner for credit analysis purposes, as discussed in a previous section of this policy statement.

Guidelines for classifying formally restructured loans. The classification treatment previously discussed for a partially charged off loan would also generally be appropriate for a formally restructured loan when partial charge-offs have been taken. For a formally restructured loan, the focus of the examiner's analysis is on the ability of the borrower to repay the loan in accordance with its modified terms. Classification of a formally restructured loan would be appropriate, if, after the restructuring, well-defined weaknesses exist that jeopardize the orderly repayment of the loan in accordance with reasonable *modified terms*.¹⁴ Troubled commercial real estate loans whose terms have been restructured should be identified in the institution's internal credit review system, and closely monitored by management.

Review of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL)¹⁵

The adequacy of a depository institution's ALLL, including amounts based on an analysis of the commercial real estate portfolio, must be based on a careful, well-documented, and consistently applied analysis of the institution's loan and lease portfolio.¹⁶

The determination of the adequacy of the ALLL should be based upon management's consideration of all current significant conditions that might affect the ability of borrowers (or guarantors, if any) to fulfill their obligations to the institution. While historical loss experience provides a reasonable starting point, historical losses or even recent trends in losses are not sufficient without further analysis and cannot produce a reliable estimate of anticipated loss.

In determining the adequacy of the ALLL, management should also consider other factors, including changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio; the experience, ability, and depth of lending management and staff; changes in credit standards; collection policies and historical collection experience; concentrations of credit risk; trends in the volume and severity of past due and classified loans; and trends in the volume of nonaccrual loans, specific problem loans and commitments. In addition, this analysis should consider the quality of the institution's systems and management in identifying, monitoring, and addressing asset quality problems. Furthermore, management should consider external factors such as local and national economic conditions a

¹⁴ An example of a restructured commercial real estate loan that does not have reasonable modified terms would be a "cash flow" mortgage which requires interest payments only when the underlying collateral generates cash flow but provides no substantive benefit to the lending institution.

¹⁵ Each of the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies have issued guidance on the allowance for loan and lease losses. The following discussion summarizes general principles for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses.

¹⁶ The estimation process described in this section permits for a more accurate estimate of anticipated losses than could be achieved by assessing the loan portfolio solely on an aggregate basis. However, it is only an estimation process and does not imply that any part of the ALLL is segregated for, or allocated to, any particular asset or group of assets. The ALLL is available to absorb all credit losses originating from the loan and lease portfolio.

developments; competition; and legal and regulatory requirements; as well as reasonably foreseeable events that are likely to affect the collectibility of the loan portfolio.

Management should adequately document the factors that were considered, the methodology and process that were used in determining the adequacy of the ALLL, and the range of possible credit losses estimated by this process. The complexity and scope of this analysis must be appropriate to the size and nature of the institution and provide for sufficient flexibility to accommodate changing circumstances.

Examiners will evaluate the methodology and process that management has followed in arriving at an overall estimate of the ALLL in order to assure that all of the relevant factors affecting the collectibility of the portfolio have been appropriately considered. In addition, the overall estimate of the ALLL and the range of possible credit losses estimated by management will be reviewed for reasonableness in view of these factors. This examiner analysis will also consider the quality of the institution's systems and management in identifying, monitoring, and addressing asset quality problems.

As discussed in the previous section on classification guidelines, the value of the collateral is considered by examiners in reviewing and classifying a commercial real estate loan. However, for a performing commercial real estate loan, the supervisory policies of the agencies do *not* require automatic increases to the ALLL solely because the value of the collateral has declined to an amount that is less than the loan balance.

In assessing the ALLL during examinations, it is important to recognize that management's process, methodology, and underlying assumptions require a substantial degree of judgment. Even when an institution maintains sound loan administration and collection procedures and effective internal systems and controls, the estimation of anticipated losses may not be precise due to the wide range of factors that must be considered. Further, the ability to estimate anticipated loss on specific loans and categories of loans improves over time as substantive information accumulates regarding the factors affecting repayment prospects. When management has (a) maintained effective systems and controls for identifying, monitoring and addressing asset quality problems *and* (b) analyzed all significant factors affecting the collectibility of the portfolio, considerable weight should be given to management's estimates in assessing the adequacy of the ALLL.

Attachment 1

TREATMENT OF GUARANTEES IN THE CLASSIFICATION PROCESS

Initially, the original source of repayment and the borrower's intent and ability to fulfill the obligation without reliance on third party guarantors will be the primary basis for the review and classification of assets.¹ The federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies will, however, consider the support provided by guarantees in the determination of the appropriate classification treatment for troubled loans. The presence of a guarantee from a "financially responsible guarantor," as described below, may be sufficient to preclude classification or reduce the severity of classification.

For purposes of this discussion, a guarantee from a "financially responsible guarantor" has the following attributes:

- The guarantor must have *both* the financial capacity and willingness to provide support for the credit;
- The nature of the guarantee is such that it can provide support for repayment of the indebtedness, in whole or in part, during the remaining loan term; and²
- The guarantee should be legally enforceable.

The above characteristics generally indicate that a guarantee may improve the prospects for repayment of the debt obligation.

Considerations relating to a guarantor's financial capacity. The lending institution must have sufficient information on the guarantor's financial condition, income, liquidity, cash flow, contingent liabilities, and other relevant factors (including credit ratings, when available) to demonstrate the guarantor's financial capacity to fulfill the obligation. Also, it is important to consider the number and amount of guarantees currently extended by a guarantor, in order to determine that the guarantor has the financial capacity to fulfill the contingent claims that exist.

Considerations relating to a guarantor's willingness to repay. Examiners normally rely on their analysis of the guarantor's financial strength and assume a willingness to perform unless there is evidence to the contrary. This assumption may be modified

¹ Some loans are originated based primarily upon the financial strength of the guarantor, who is, in substance, the primary source of repayment. In such circumstances, examiners generally assess the collectability of the loan based upon the guarantor's ability to repay the loan.

² Some guarantees may only provide for support for certain phases of a real estate project. It would not be appropriate to rely upon these guarantees to support a troubled loan after the completion of these phases.

based on the "track record" of the guarantor, including payments made to date on the asset under review or other obligations.

Examiners give due consideration to those guarantors that have demonstrated their ability and willingness to fulfill previous obligations in their evaluation of current guarantees on similar assets. An important consideration will be whether previously required performance under guarantees was voluntary or the result of legal or other actions by the lender to enforce the guarantee. However, examiners give limited credence, if any, to guarantees from obligors who have reneged on obligations in the past, unless there is clear evidence that the guarantor has the ability and intent to honor the specific guarantee obligation under review.

Examiners also consider the economic incentives for performance from guarantors:

- Who have already partially performed under the guarantee or who have other significant investments in the project;
- Whose other sound projects are cross-collateralized or otherwise intertwined with the credit; or
- Where the guarantees are collateralized by readily marketable assets that are under the control of a third party.

Other considerations. In general, only guarantees that are legally enforceable will be relied upon. However, all legally enforceable guarantees may not be acceptable. In addition to the guarantor's financial capacity and willingness to perform, it is expected that the guarantee will not be subject to significant delays in collection, or undue complexities or uncertainties about the guarantee.

The nature of the guarantee is also considered by examiners. For example, some guarantees for real estate projects only pertain to the development and construction phases of the project. As such, these limited guarantees would not be relied upon to support a troubled loan after the completion of those phases.

Examiners also consider the institution's intent to enforce the guarantee and whether there are valid reasons to preclude an institution from pursuing the guarantee. A history of timely enforcement and successful collection of the full amount of guarantees will be a positive consideration in the classification process.

THE VALUATION OF INCOME-PRODUCING REAL ESTATE

Approaches to the Valuation of Real Estate

Appraisals are professional judgments of the market value of real property. Three basic valuation approaches are used by professional appraisers in estimating the market value of real property -- the cost approach, the market data or direct sales comparison approach, and the income approach. The principles governing the three approaches are widely known in the appraisal field and were recently referenced in parallel regulations issued by each of the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies. When evaluating the collateral for problem credits, the three valuation approaches are not equally appropriate.

1. **Cost Approach.** In the cost approach, the appraiser estimates the reproduction cost of the building and improvements, deducts estimated depreciation, and adds the value of the land. The cost approach is particularly helpful when reviewing draws on construction loans. However, as the property increases in age, both reproduction cost and depreciation become more difficult to estimate. Except for special purpose facilities, the cost approach is usually inappropriate in a troubled real estate market because construction costs for a new facility normally exceed the market value of existing comparable properties.
2. **Market Data or Direct Sales Comparison Approach.** This approach examines the price of similar properties that have sold recently in the local market, estimating the value of the subject property based on the comparable properties' selling price. It is very important that the characteristics of the observed transactions be similar in terms of market location, financing terms, property condition and use, timing, and transaction costs. The market approach generally is used in valuing owner-occupied residential property because comparable sales data are typically available. When adequate sales data are available, an analyst generally will give the most weight to this type of estimate. Often, however, the available sales data for commercial properties are not sufficient to justify a conclusion.
3. **The Income Approach.** The economic value of an income-producing property is the discounted value of the future net operating income stream, including any "reversion" value of property when sold. If competitive markets are working perfectly, the observed sales price should be equal to this value. For unique properties or in markets that are thin or subject to disorderly or unusual conditions, market value based on a comparable sales approach may be either unavailable or distorted. In such cases, the income approach is usually the appropriate method for valuing the property.

The income approach converts all expected future net operating income into present value terms. When market conditions are stable and no unusual patterns of future rents and occupancy rates are expected, the direct capitalization method is often used to estimate the present value of future income streams. For troubled properties, however, examiners typically utilize the more explicit discounted cash flow (net present value) method for analytical purposes. In that method, a time frame for achieving a "stabilized", or normal, occupancy and rent level is projected. Each year's net operating income during that period is discounted to arrive at the present value of expected future cash flows. The property's anticipated sales value at the end of the period until stabilization (its terminal or reversion value) is then estimated. The reversion value represents the capitalization of all future income streams of the property after the projected occupancy level is achieved. The terminal or reversion value is then discounted to its present value and added to the discounted income stream to arrive at the total present market value of the property.

Valuation of Troubled Income-Producing Properties

When an income property is experiencing financial difficulties due to general market conditions or due to its own characteristics, data on comparable property sales often are difficult to obtain. Troubled properties may be hard to market, and normal financing arrangements may not be available. Moreover, forced and liquidation sales can dominate market activity. When the use of comparables is not feasible (which is often the case for commercial properties), the net present value of the most reasonable expectation of the property's income-producing capacity — not just in today's market but over time — offers the most appropriate method of valuation in the supervisory process.

Estimates of the property's value should be based upon reasonable and supportable projections of the determinants of future net operating income: rents (or sales), expenses and rates of occupancy. Judgment is involved in estimating all of these factors. The primary considerations for these projections include historical levels and trends, the current market performance achieved by the subject and similar properties, and economically feasible and defensible projections of future demand and supply conditions. To the extent that current market activity is dominated by a limited number of transactions or liquidation sales, high "capitalization" and discount rates implied by such transactions should not be used. Rather, analysis should use rates that reflect market conditions that are *neither* highly speculative nor depressed for the type of property being valued and that property's location.

Technical Notes

In the process of reviewing a real estate loan and in the use of the net present value approach of collateral valuation, several conceptual issues often are raised. The following discussion sets forth the meaning and use of those key concepts.

The Discount Rate. The discount rate used in the net present value approach to convert future net cash flows of income-producing real estate into present market value terms is the rate of return that market participants require for this type of real estate investment. The discount rate will vary over time with changes in overall interest rates and in the risk associated with the physical and financial characteristics of the property. The riskiness of the property depends both on the type of real estate in question and on local market conditions.¹

The Direct Capitalization ("Cap" Rate) Technique. The use of "cap" rates, or direct income capitalization, is a method used by many market participants and analysts to relate the value of a property to the net operating income it generates. In many applications, a "cap" rate is used as a short cut for computing the discounted value of a property's income streams.

The direct income capitalization method calculates the value of a property by dividing an estimate of its "stabilized" annual income by a factor called a "cap" rate. Stabilized income generally is defined as the yearly net operating income produced by the property at normal occupancy and rental rates; it may be adjusted upward or downward from today's actual market conditions. The "cap" rate — usually defined for each property type in a market area — is viewed by some analysts as the required rate of return stated in terms of current income. That is to say, the "cap" rate can be considered a direct observation of the required earnings-to-price ratio in current income terms. The "cap" rate also can be viewed as the number of cents per dollar of today's purchase price investors would require annually over the life of the property to achieve their required rate of return.

The "cap" rate method is appropriate if the net operating income to which it is applied is representative of all future income streams or if net operating income and the property's selling price are expected to increase at a fixed rate. The use of this technique assumes that either the stabilized income or the "cap" rate used accurately captures all relevant characteristics of the property relating to its risk and income potential. If the same risk factors, required rate of return, financing arrangements, and income projections are used, explicit discounting and direct capitalization will yield the same results.

¹ Regulatory policy of the Office of Thrift Supervision specifies that, for supervisory purposes, thrifts are to use discount rates that are consistent with generally accepted accounting principles for thrifts (which allow the use of an average-cost-of-capital-funds rate to calculate net realizable value) or discount rates that are consistent with the practices of the federal banking agencies.

This method alone is not appropriate for troubled real estate since income generated by the property is not at normal or stabilized levels. In evaluating troubled real estate, ordinary discounting typically is used for the period before the project reaches its full income potential. A "terminal" "cap" rate is then utilized to estimate the value of the property (its reversion or sales price) at the end of that period.

Differences Between Discount and Cap Rates. When used for estimating real estate market values, discount and "cap" rates should reflect the current market requirements for rates of return on properties of a given type. The discount rate is the required rate of return including the expected increases in future prices and is applied to income streams reflecting inflation. In contrast, the "cap" rate is used in conjunction with a stabilized net operating income figure. The fact that discount rates for real estate are typically higher than "cap" rates reflects the principal difference in the treatment of expected increases in net operating income and/or property values.

Other factors affecting the "cap" rate used (but not the discount rate) include the useful life of the property and financing arrangements. The useful life of the property being evaluated affects the magnitude of the "cap" rate because the income generated by a property, in addition to providing the required return on investment, must be sufficient to compensate the investor for the depreciation of the property over its useful life. The longer the useful life, the smaller is the depreciation in any one year; hence, the smaller is the annual income required by the investor, and the lower is the "cap" rate. Differences in terms and the extent of debt financing and the related costs must also be taken into account.

Selecting Discount and Cap Rates. The choice of the appropriate values for discount and "cap" rates is a key aspect of income analysis. Both in markets marked by lack of transactions and those characterized by highly speculative or unusually pessimistic attitudes, analysts consider historical required returns on the type of property in question. Where market information is available to determine current required yields, analysts carefully analyze sales prices for differences in financing, special rental arrangements, tenant improvements, property location, and building characteristics. In most local markets, the estimates of discount and "cap" rates used in income analysis should generally fall within a fairly narrow range for comparable properties.

Holding Period vs. Marketing Period. When the income approach is applied to troubled properties, a time frame is chosen over which a property is expected to achieve stabilized occupancy and rental rates (stabilized income). That time period is sometimes referred to as the "holding period." The longer the period before stabilization, the smaller will be the reversion value included in the total value estimate.

The holding period should be distinguished from the concept of "marketing period" — a term used in estimating the value of a property under the sales comparison approach

and in discussions of property value when real estate is being sold. The marketing period is the length of time that may be required to sell the property in an open market.

Glossary

Appraisal. A written statement independently and impartially prepared by a qualified appraiser setting forth an opinion as to the market value of an adequately described property as of a specific date(s), supported by the presentation and analysis of relevant market information.

Capitalization rate. A rate used to convert income into value. Specifically, it is the ratio between a property's stabilized net operating income and the property's sales price. Sometimes referred to as an overall rate because it can be computed as a weighted average of component investment claims on net operating income.

Discount rate. A rate of return used to convert future payments or receipts into their present value.

Holding period. The time frame over which a property is expected to achieve stabilized occupancy and rental rates (stabilized income).

Market value. The most probable cash sale price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

1. buyer and seller are typically motivated (i.e., motivated by self-interest);
2. both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
3. a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
4. payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
5. the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

Marketing period. The term in which an owner of a property is actively attempting to sell that property in a competitive and open market.

Net operating income (NOI). Annual income after all expenses have been deducted, except for depreciation and debt service.

Attachment 3

Classification Definitions¹

The federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies currently utilize the following definitions for assets classified "substandard," "doubtful," and "loss" for supervisory purposes:

Substandard Assets. A substandard asset is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Assets so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful Assets. An asset classified doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loss Assets. Assets classified loss are considered uncollectible and of such little value that their continuance as bankable assets is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be effected in the future.

¹ Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, *Comptroller's Handbook for National Bank Examiners*, Section 215.1, "Classification of Credits;" Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, *Commercial Bank Examination Manual*, Section 215.1, "Classification of Credits;" Office of Thrift Supervision, *Thrift Activities Regulatory Handbook*, Section 260, "Classification of Assets;" Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, *Division of Supervision Manual of Examination Policies*, Section 3.1, "Loans."