1.3 percent of commercial bank IPC deposits in the Boston SMSA and numerous banking alternatives would remain in the area. The proposal would add only 0.7 percent to the resulting bank's share of the market and would not have any significant effect on the structure of commercial banking in the local market or in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The managerial resources of the proponents and of the resultant bank' are considered satisfactory. Equity capital of the resultant bank and of its parent. UST Corporation, on a consolidated basis, after giving consideration to the projected impact of the proposed merger, is below desired levels. In view of certain commitments made by the proponents, this inadequacy has been resolved, and the resultant bank and its parent would appear to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The proposed transaction would have little effect on the convenience and needs of the Boston market. The resulting institution would offer no services which are not available from alternative sources in this area.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, and other relevant material, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resulting bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community. consistent with the safe and sound operation of the bank.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars |  | Banking offices <br> ink operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mefore | Atter |  |  |  |
| Marine Bank <br> Meadville, Pennsylvania | 339.838 | 19 | 20 |  |
| to merge with | 8.586 | 1 |  |  |
| The Farmers National Bank of Conneautville <br> Conneautville, Pennsylvania |  |  |  |  |

Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, September 22, 1980

Marine Bank, Meadville, Pennsylvania, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 339,838,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 270,767,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with The Farmers National Bank of Conneautville, Conneautville, Pennsylvania ("Farmers"), with total resources of \$8,586,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 7.455,000$. Incident to the transaction, the sole office of

Farmers would be established as a branch of the resultant bank, which would then operate with a total of 20 full-service offices.

## Competition

Marine Bank is headquartered in the city of Meadville in central Crawford County. Marine Bank operates three other offices in Crawford County, one near Meadville and two in Titusville. In Erie County, which is contiguous to northern Crawford County, Marine Bank operates 15 full-service offices, the majority of which are in and around the city of Erie. Farmers operates its sole office in Conneautville in the northwestern corner of Crawford County, approximately 16 road miles northwest of Meadville.

The effects of the proposed transaction would be most immediate and direct within the primary trade area of Farmers, which consists of that area within approximately 15 road miles of Conneautville and extends southeast to include the city of Meadville. The area is largely agricultural with industrial activities concentrated in Meadville and its immediate surrounding area. The 1970 population of the area was 47.949, with Meadville and the surrounding townships accounting for over 50 percent of the population.

In the relevant market, six banks operating 17 offices controlled total IPC deposits of $\$ 230,561,000$ at June 30, 1979. The Pennsylvania Bank and Trust Company, Warren, dominates the market with 41.6 percent of the market's IPC deposits. Marine Bank's closest office to Farmers is located in Meadville, some 16 road miles southeast of Conneautville and is the fourth largest bank in the market holding a 6.6 percent share of the IPC deposits. Acquisition of Farmers, the smallest bank in the market, would increase that share by 3.2 percent, and would not change Marine Bank's present ranking in the relevant market.

There appears to be no significant potential for competition to increase between the proponents through future de novo branching. Farmers does not appear to have the financial or managerial resources to facilitate such expansion, and the sparse population of the area immediately surrounding Farmers does not make it a very attractive location for de novo branching.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Considerations relating to financial and managerial resources have been satisfactorily resolved, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The resultant bank would be able to offer a broader range of commercial banking services than presently available at Farmers, however, as such services are already offered in the market, the proposed transaction would have little impact. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

The Bank of Miami
Miami, Florida

10 acquire the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of
West Dade Branch-
Popular Bank of Florida
Hialeah. Florida

- Total deposits of office to be transferred by Popular Bank of Florida. Assets not reported by office.

| Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars) | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5 | 6 |
| Before | After |  |
| $8.054^{*}$ | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, September 30, 1980

The Bank of Miami, Miami, Florida ("Purchasing Bank"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 232,606,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 167,526,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in the West Dade Branch of Popular Bank of Florida. Hialeah. Florida ("Selling Bank"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 44,164,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 34,841,000$, and to establish that office as a branch of Purchasing Bank. These two banks have been affiliated since 1973 through common control by Popular Bancshares Corporation, Miami, Florida. This holding company presently controls four banks with aggregate total deposits of $\$ 272,965,000-0.8$ percent of Florida's total commercial bank deposits.

The West Dade Branch of Selling Bank, which is located in Dade County, was established in August 1979, and at June 30, 1980 had deposits of $\$ 8,054,000$.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposed transaction would effect a restructuring of two subsidiary banks of Popular Bancshares Corporation, with a branch of one subsidiary being acquired by another subsidiary. Such a transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metropolitan Savings Bank |  |  |  |
| New York (Manhattan). New York <br> M merge with | 1.881 .587 | 19 | 22 |
| Spring Valley Savings and Loan <br> Association <br> Spring Valley, New York | 66.961 | 3 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, July 8, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 6, 1980

Metropolitan Savings Bank, New York (Brooklyn), New York ("Metropolitan"). an insured mutual savings bank with total resources of $\$ 1,881,587,000$ and total deposits of $\$ 1.738,126.000$. has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge with Spring Valley Savings and Loan Association, Spring Valley, New York ("S\&L"), with total resources of \$66,961,000 and total deposits of $\$ 55,801,000$. The merger would be effected under the charter and title of Metropolitan. Incident to the transaction, the three offices of S\&L would be established as branches of the resulting bank, increasing to 25 the number of its approved offices.

## Competition

Metropolitan presently operates a total of 21 offices: its main office, 8 branches, and 1 public accommodation office in Kings County (Brooklyn); 3 branches in Queens County; 4 branches in Nassau County (western Long Island): and, 3 branches and 1 public accommodation office in New York County (Manhattan). Approval has also been given to establish another public accommodation office in Brooklyn. Metropolitan's trade area consists of nearly all of metropolitan New York City and portions of Long Island. In terms of total deposits it is the 14th largest thrift institution in New York State.

S\&L has its main office and 2 branches in Rockland County, which is its primary trade area. Rockland County is situated north of New York City and it is part of the New York - New Jersey SMSA that consists of the five boroughs of New York City, as well as Rockland. Putnam and Westchester Counties in New York, and Bergen County in New Jersey. These areas all have close economic ties, with significant commutation among them for work, shopping and leisure. Moreover, thrift institutions, particularly the large New York City-based thrifts, advertise throughout the area and there is intense competition in the region.

S\&L's market is somewhat localized and the effects of this proposal would be most immediate and direct in Rockland County. There are currently 31 offices of 18 thrifts located in this market. In terms of area thrift institution deposits, $S \& L$ is the sixth largest with 6.0 percent of such deposits and Metropolitan is not represented in Rockland County. The closest offices of the proponents are separated by approximately 34 miles and any loss of existing competition, as a result of this transaction, is considered insignificant.

New York law restricts de novo expansion by mutual savings banks to one branch each year. The development of a significant increase in competition through such expansion is limited. Further, the intense competition existing among thrifts within the New York City area minimizes the competitive significance of additional de novo activity.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed merger would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Both proponents have financial and managerial resources which are adequate for the purposes of this proposal and the resultant institution would appear to have favorable future prospects.
Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served
The proposed merger would offer the customers of S\&L an expanded range of services not presently provided by S\&L, however, the proposed transaction is expected to have little material impact upon the convenience and needs of the community. as such services are readily available at offices of other thrifts in the area. Considerations of convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the transaction.

A formal objection, regarding Metropolitan's performance under the Community Reinvestment Act, was received in connection with this application. The objectors expressed their views and presented evidence at an informal hearing. A full CRA assessment of Metropolitan was conducted, and it was found to be in substantial compliance with the statutory requirements of the Community Reinvestment Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thoussands } \\ & \text { of dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | Ater |
| The Saver's Bank A Mutual Savings Bank Littleton, New Hampshire | 88.170 | 2 | 3 |
| to merge with <br> White Mountain Bank and Trust Company Gorham. New Hampshire | 3.227 | 1 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 20, 1980

The Saver's Bank A Mutual Savings Bank, Littleton, New Hampshire ("Applicant"), an insured mutual savings bank with total resources of $\$ 88,170,000$ and total deposits of $\$ 77,537,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18 (c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's consent to merge, under its charter and title, with White Mountain Bank and Trust Company, Gorham, New Hampshire ("White Mountain Bank"), an insured state nonmember commercial bank which has total resources of $\$ 3.227 .000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 2,500,000$. Consent is also sought to establish the sole office of White Mountain Bank as a branch of Applicant which would then operate with a total of three offices.

## Competition

The competitive impact of the proposed transaction would be most direct and immediate in the service area of White Mountain Bank which is approximated by the area within a 10-15 road mile radius of the town of Gorham in northeastern New Hampshire. Gorham (1970 population 2,998) and the nearby city of Berlin ( 1970 population 15.256 ) are situated near the confluence of three valleys, surrounded by mountainous terrain containing 12 peaks in excess of 5,000 foot elevation, effectively isolating the local market.

The area's economy is mixed, with tourism and recreational related activities (both summer and winter) of significant importance. Manufacturing employment is dominated by Brown Company (pulp and paper products) which operates facilities in both Berlin and Gorham employing more than 2,000 local residents. The area's population, estimated at 20,000 , is static and median household buying levels are relatively low with unemployment levels in the manufacturing sector an area of chronic concern.

Applicant, operating two offices in the Littleton area approximately 37 road miles west of Gorham, serves a separate, distinct market from White Mountain Bank, and there is no evidence of any significant volume of direct competition between the proponents in those segments of banking services where the two institutions have overlapping or similar powers.* New Hampshire statutes regarding de novo branching by savings banks and commercial banks have recently been liberalized, however, prohibit de novo expansion by Applicant into Gorham before July 1982. White Mountain Bank's limited level of resources effectively precludes any meaningful expansion effort on its part in the foreseeable future. Under these circumstances, the proposed transaction would have no significant effect on either existing or potential competition between the proponents.

In the relevant market, three commercial banks and two thrift institutions operate six offices and hold aggregate total deposits of approximately $\$ 118$ million.**White Mountain Bank is the smallest bank in the market, holding 3.8 percent of the total commercial bank deposits and approximately 1.1 percent of the combined commercial bank and thrift institution time and savings deposit base. In its more than thirty years of operation. White Mountain Bank has failed to establish itself as a viable competitive force in the market, and presently serves only a limited number of customers. The proposed acquisition of White Mountain Bank, the state's smallest commercial bank, by Applicant, would have no significant adverse impact upon the structure of either commercial or thrift institution banking in the relevant market. In light of the nominal volume of deposits and banking business to be acquired, the proposed transaction would have no material effect upon the level of concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

White Mountain Bank is undercapitalized and lacks the resources necessary

[^0]to establish itself as an effective competitive force in the market. Applicant, with a history of successful operation, possesses the financial and managerial resources necessary to address the problems facing White Mountain Bank within the framework of a financially sound thrift institution. The resultant institution is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

As a direct consequence of this proposal, the only commercial banking office in the town of Gorham will be replaced by an office of a relatively large mutual savings bank. While Applicant is capable of providing most of the present customers of White Mountain Bank with comparable or increased levels of services, it is recognized that some commercial and other customers may be required to seek an alternate commercial banking source for their particular needs. Only a small number of customers would be so affected, and in light of the accessibility to two other commercial banks based in the nearby city of Berlin, this consequence is regarded as having no serious impact.

Applicant has indicated its intention of developing a new banking facility on the main traffic artery of the town of Gorham (subject to regulatory approval) which will be able to offer a number of additional services which are not presently available at the office of White Mountain Bank. Such expanded services will accrue to the benefit of the present customers of White Mountain Bank and, while these services are available in the community at offices of other financial institutions, considerations regarding the convenience and needs of the community to be served are viewed as consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, and other relevant material, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with its safe and sound operation.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Resources } \\ \text { (in thousands } \\ \text { of dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Bank of Central Pennsylvania Mountoursville. Pennsylvania | 85.563 | 3 | 4 |
| $t 0$ merge with |  |  |  |
| The Grange National Bank of Lycoming County at Hughesville <br> Hughesville, Pennsylvania | 20.953 | 1 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, October 6, 1980

Lycoming County (1970 population 113.000) is located in central Pennsylvania. It is the Williamsport. Pennsylvania SMSA and is considered by the Federal Reserve Bank in Philadelphia to be a banking market, with the inclusion of the extreme northern portions of Union, Northumberland and Montour Counties. The northern half of Lycoming County is a mountainous, rural area with little population. The industrial southern half stretches along the Susquehanna River from Jersey Shore to Muncy, with Williamsport in the center. Montoursville, about 2 miles east of Williamsport, is approximately 11 miles west of Hughesville.

Two of Applicant's three offices are 11 miles from Bank, and Applicant's third office is 18 miles from Bank. According to the Application. Applicant and Bank draw a significant amount of business from each other's primary service area as defined in the Application. Thus, Applicant draws $\$ 2.4$ million in deposits and $\$ 2.9$ million in loans from Bank's primary service area, and Bank draws $\$ 2.2$ million in deposits and $\$ 2.0$ million in loans from Applicant's primary service area. It therefore appears that the proposed merger would eliminate existing competition between Applicant and Bank.

Commercial banking in Lycoming County is concentrated. Of the nine banks operating offices there, the four largest banking organizations, in terms of deposits held in country bank offices, held 72.4 percent of those deposits. Applicant is the third largest and Bank is the eighth largest banking organization in the county, controlling, respectively, 13.8 percent and 3.8 percent of local deposits. If the proposed merger is consummated, the resulting bank would control 17.6 percent of local deposits and concentration among the four largest banks in the county would increase from 72.4 percent to 76.2 percent.

We conclude that the proposed merger would have an adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 20, 1980

Bank of Central Pennsylvania, Montoursville, Pennsylvania ("Central"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$85,563.000 and total IPC deposits of \$71,267,000, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with The Grange National Bank of Lycoming County at Hughesville, Hughesville, Pennsylvania ("Grange National"), with total resources of $\$ 20,593,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 18,665,000$. Incident to the transaction, the sole office of Grange National would be established as a branch of the resultant bank, which would have a total of four offices.

## Competition

Central is headquartered in Montoursville (1970 population 5,985) in southcentral Lycoming County. Central operates two other offices in the county. One is located in South Williamsport, six road miles southwest of the main office, and the other is located on the outskirts of Montoursville in Fairfield Township. Grange National operates its sole office in Hughesville (1970 population 2,249) in southeastern Lycoming County.
The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as that area within 15 road miles of Hughesville and including the city of Williamsport. The area, which had an estimated 1970 population of 59,702, encompasses southeastern Lycoming County (except for that area southwest of the Susquehanna River) and adjoining portions of Northumberland, Montour and Sullivan Counties. The economy of the area is changing from mainly agricultural to more industry oriented. Williamsport (1970 population 37.918 ) is the county seat and center of population and industry for the area. Many of the residents of the Hughesville area travel to Muncy, Montoursville and Williamsport for employment. The 1979 median household buying level for Lycoming County was $\$ 15,937$, compared to $\$ 18,265$ for the state.

Central operates two offices in the relevant market, its main office and Fairfield Township Branch, both of which are approximately 11 road miles west of Hughesville. The location of these offices and the fact that some residents of the Hughesville area travel to Montoursville for employment indicates there is
some existing competition between the proponents. However, the amount of competition to be eliminated by the proposed transaction is not considered to be of significance.

In the relevant market, seven banks operating 19 offices controlled total IPC deposits of $\$ 347.686,000$ at June 30, 1979. The two largest banks in the market, Northern Central Bank and Williamsport National Bank, both based in Williamsport, aggregately control over 50 percent of such deposits. Central presently holds a 12.8 percent share of the total IPC deposits in the market, and acquisition of Grange National would add 5.4 percent to that share. Consummation of the proposed transaction would not have a significantly adverse effect on the structure of commercial banking in the relevant market.

Pennsylvania statutes permit branching in a bank's home office county and any county contiguous thereto. Central has the financial and managerial resources to expand de novo into the Hughesville area but is unlikely to do so in the near future. Grange National has neither the financial nor managerial resources to consider de novo expansion. Therefore, the proposed transaction would not eliminate any significant potential for future competition between the two banks.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The financial and managerial resources of Central and the resultant bank are satisfactory. Certain shortcomings with respect to these factors at Grange National would be resolved by this proposal, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The resultant bank would be able to offer a broader range of commercial banking services than presently available at Grange National. These services are available at several other banks in the area, but considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe-and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southeast Bank of Sebastian <br> Sebastian. Florida <br> (change title to Southeast Bank of <br> Indian River) | 27.796 | 1 | After |
| Before <br> to merge with <br> Southeast Bank of Indian River, N.A. <br> Vero Beach. Florida | 5.396 |  |  |

Summary report by Attorney General, July 8, 1980
The merging banks are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merger is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.
Basis for Corporation Approval, October 24, 1980
Southeast Bank of Sebastian. Sebastian, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank (total resources $\$ 27.796,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 24.736,000$ ), has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter, with Southeast Bank of Indian River, N.A., Vero Beach, Florida ("Indian River Bank") (total resources $\$ 5,396,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 3,550,000$ ), and with the title "Southeast Bank of Indian River." and to establish the sole office of Indian River Bank as a branch of the resultant bank. Incident to the proposed transaction the main office location will be redesignated to the present site of Indian River Bank's sole office. These two banks have been affiliated since 1979 through common control by Southeast Banking Corporation, Miami, Florida. This holding company presently controls 24 banks with aggregate December 31. 1979 total deposits of $\$ 3,750,056,000-10.4$ percent of Florida's total commercial bank deposits.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which Southeast Banking Corporation may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars |  | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hefore | After |  |  |  |
| Hanover Bank \& Trust Company <br> Hanover, New Hampshire | 16.206 | 2 | 3 |  |
| to merge with <br> Lebanon Bank \& Trust Company <br> West Lebanon, New Hampshire | 4.482 | 1 |  |  | Digitized for FRASER

## Summary report by Attorney General, September 12, 1980

The merging banks are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merger is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 27, 1980

Hanover Bank \& Trust Company. Hanover, New Hampshire, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 16,206.000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 10,149,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Lebanon Bank \& Trust Company, West Lebanon, New Hampshire, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 4,482,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 2,690,000$, and to establish the main office and one approved but unopened branch of Lebanon Bank \& Trust Company as branches of the resultant bank. These two banks have been affiliated since 1978 through common control by United Banks Corporation, Hanover, New Hampshire, a holding company presently controlling these two banks only.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which United Ban.ks Corporation may consolidate its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Washington Trust Bank Spokane, Washington | 267,456 | 14 | 16 |
| to acquire the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of <br> Eastern Washington Bank <br> Moses Lake, Washington | 13.221 | 2 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, September 12, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have a substantial competitive impact.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 27, 1980

Washington Trust Bank. Spokane, Washington, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 267,456,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 210,365,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in Eastern Washington Bank, Moses Lake, Washington, which has total resources of \$13,221,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 10,080,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the two offices of Eastern Washington Bank would be established as branches of Washington Trust Bank, increasing to 16 the number of offices operated.

## Competition

Washington Trust Bank operates all of its 14 offices in the immediate vicinity of the city of Spokane in the extreme eastern portion of the State of Washington, while Eastern Washington Bank operates its two offices in the vicinity of the community of Moses Lake in the east-central portion of the state. As more that 100 road miles separate the closest offices of the proponents, and there is no evidence of any material volume of direct competition between the two banks, the proposed transaction would have no significant effect upon existing competition.

The impact of the proposed transaction would be most direct and immediate in the relatively localized service area of Eastern Washington Bank which is approximated by a 15 road-mile radius of the community of Moses Lake (1970 population 10.310). This area has suffered a number of serious economic problems with the closing of an air force base in the 1960's and subsequently the closure in 1978 of a sugar beet refinery which, in addition to being a major employer, significantly impacted Grant County's agricultural product. The area has also suffered from the volcanic ash deposits resulting from the May 1980 eruption of Mt. St. Helens.

In the relevant market, five commercial banks operate seven commercial banking offices. Eastern Washington Bank, with a 13.3 percent share of IPC deposits, ranks as the market's second smallest commercial bank. This market is dominated by three Seattle-based banking organizations which aggregately control more that 86 percent of the market's IPC deposit base. Acquisition of Eastern Washington Bank by Washington Trust Bank, which is not represented in the market, is regarded as having no adverse effect upon the structure of commercial banking or upon the level of concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

Washington State statutes permit statewide de novo branching, subject to certain minimum capitalization requirements and provisions prohibiting such activity in cities, except a bank's home office city, where another bank is in operation. As each proponent is thus, effectively precluded from de novo branching into the area now served by the other, there is little potential for future competition between the two banks.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.
Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects
The financial and managerial resources of Washington Trust Bank are
regarded as satisfactory. Eastern Washington Bank has experienced some difficulty in meeting the increased credit needs of its local community. The proposed transaction will provide a means by which the problems facing Eastern Washington Bank may be resolved within the framework of a larger, financially sound institution whose future prospects are regarded as favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The resultant bank will be in a position to provide for increased lending activity, as well as to offer some additional commercial banking services not presently available at offices of Eastern Washington Bank. While it is recognized that credit and other services are offered by other commercial banking offices in the market, considerations of the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with, and add some weight in favor of, approval of the application.
A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents and other relevant material, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the bank.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | Ater |
| United Jersey Bank/Mid State Hazlet Township (P.O. Hazlet). New Jersey | 106,334 | 12 | 15 |
| $t 0$ merge with <br> United Jersey Bank/Ocean County, National Association Lakewood Township (P.O. Lakewood). New Jersey | 20,601 | 3 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, July 11, 1980

The merging banks are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merge; is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 30, 1980

United Jersey Bank/Mid State, Hazlet Township (P. O. Hazlet). New Jersey, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$106,334,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 89,100,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18 (c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insuiance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with United Jersey Bank/ Ocean County, National Association ("UJB/Ocean County"), Lakewood Township (P. O. Lakewood). New Jersey with total resources of $\$ 20,601,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 16,178,000$, and to establish the three offices of UJB/Ocean County as branches of the resultant bank. These two banks have been affiliated since 1972 through common control by United Jersey Banks, Princeton, New Jersey. This holding company presently controls 9 banks with aggregate total deposits of $\$ 2,026,569,000-7.1$ percent of New Jersey's total commercial bank deposits.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which United Jersey Banks may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thousands } \\ & \text { of dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Southeast Bank of Broward <br> Fort Lauderdale, Florida (change title to Southeast Bank) | 450.773 | 10 | 22 |
| to merge with <br> Citizens Bank of Palm Beach County <br> West Palm Beach, Florida and | 56.251 | 5 |  |
| First National Bank of Palm Beach Gardens Palm Beach Gardens (P.O. West Palm Beach), Florida and | 30.695 | 2 |  |
| First National Bank and Trust Company of <br> Riviera Beach <br> Riviera Beach, Florida and | 85.423 | 2 |  |
| Jensen Beach Bank Jensen Beach, Florida | 41.673 | 3 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, July 8, 1980

The merging banks are all wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merger is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 31, 1980

Southeast Bank of Broward, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, (total resources $\$ 450.773 .000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 347.218 .000$ ) , an insured state nonmember bank, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge with Citizens Bank of Palm Beach County. West Palm Beach, Florida (total resources $\$ 56.251,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 48,586,000$ ), an insured state nonmember bank, First National Bank of Palm Beach Gardens, Palm Beach Gardens (P. O. West Palm Beach), Florida (total resources \$30,695,000; total IPC deposits $\$ 23.937,000$ ). First National Bank and Trust Company of Riviera Beach, Riviera Beach, Florida (total resources $\$ 85,423,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 73,478,000$ ), and Jensen Beach Bank, Jensen Beach. Florida (total resources $\$ 41,673,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 36,430.000$ ) an insured state nonmember bank, under the charter of Southeast Bank of Broward and with the title "Southeast Bank." Incident to the proposed transaction, the twelve offices of the banks being acquired would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would commence operations with a total of 22 offices. These five banks have been affiliated since December 1979 through common control by Southeast Banking Corporation, Miami, Florida. This holding company presently controls 25 banks with aggregate total deposits at year-end 1979 of $\$ 3,750,506,000-10.4$ percent of Florida's total commercial bank deposits.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which Southeast Banking Corporation may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thousands } \\ & \text { of dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Manufacturers Bank of Saline Saline, Michigan | 31,674 | 4 | 6 |
| to consolidate with |  |  |  |
| State Savings Bank of Clinton Clinton. Michigan | 27.755 | 2 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 3, 1980

Manufacturers Bank of Saline, Saline, Michigan ("Manufacturers Bank"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$31.674,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 22.404,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to consolidate, under its charter and title, with State Savings Bank of Clinton, Clinton, Michigan ("State Savings"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 27.755,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 23,953.000$. Incident to the transaction, the two offices of State Savings would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would then have a total of six existing offices.

## Competition

Manufacturers Bank operates three offices in south-central Washtenaw County. Its main office and one branch are located in Saline and one branch is located in Pittsfield Township, some eight miles northeast of the main office near the city of Ann Arbor. Manufacturers Bank has received approval to establish another branch in the county, to be located in Ypsilanti Township. approximately 11 miles southeast of the main office. Manufacturers Bank also recently established a branch in central Lenawee County in Raisin Township near the city of Adrian. Manufacturers Bank is a subsidiary of Manufacturers National Corporation, Detroit, a multi-bank holding company. State Savings operates two offices in northern Lenawee County. Its main office is located in Clińton near the county border and its one branch is located approximately ten miles west in Cambridge Township.

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as that area within 15 road miles of either of State Savings' offices. This area, which encompasses the northern half of Lenawee County, the extreme northeastern corner of Hillsdale County, the southeastern corner of Jackson County and the southwestern corner of Washtenaw County, had a 1970 population of approximately 80,000. The 1979 median household buying levels for Washtenaw and Lenawee Counties were, respectively, $\$ 23,059$ and $\$ 18,338$, compared to $\$ 20,091$ for the state. The economy of the area is primarily agricultural with some manufacturing.

The closest office of any other commercial banking affiliate of Manufacturers Bank is located in Carleton, some 30 miles east of Clinton in northeastern Monroe County. Manufacturers Bank's closest office to State Savings is its Raisin Township branch located approximately 11 miles south of Clinton. Manufacturers Bank's main office is located some 12 road miles northeast of Clinton. The proximity of the proponents' offices and the overlapping of their trade areas indicates there is some competition between them. The volume of such direct, existing competition is not considered significant, however, and in
view of the numerous alternatives in the market, the proposed transaction would have no major impact upon competition between the proponents.

Michigan statutes permit branching in a bank's home office county or any contiguous county within 25 miles of the main office, with certain home office protection restrictions. The loss of this limited potential for future competition to develop between the proponents by de novo branching is regarded as having little competitive impact.

In the relevant market, 14 commercial banks operating 39 offices controlled total IPC deposits of $\$ 340,630,000$ at June 30, 1979. Manufacturers Bank presently holds a 5.6 percent share of such deposits, and acquisition of State Savings would add 7.0 percent to that share. The proposed transaction would considerably increase Manufacturers Bank's share, but in this context it would not have a significantly adverse effect on the level of deposit concentration or on the structure of commercial banking in the market.

Manufacturers National Corporation is Michigan's fourth largest banking organization, and at year-end 1979 it controlled 8.66 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in the state. Acquisition of State Savings by Manufacturers Bank would add only 0.06 percent to that share and would have no material impact upon the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in the state.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Considerations of financial and managerial resources have been favorably resolved, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Consummation of the proposed transaction will have little effect on the level and pricing of commercial banking services in the areas served by the proponents. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served are, however, consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charlestown Savings Bank <br> Boston. Massachusetts | 820.413 | 13 | 14 |
| Before |  |  |  |
| to purchase the assets and assume the <br> deposit liabilities of <br> Hellenic Credit Union <br> Peabody. Massachusetts | 10.586 | 1 |  |

# Approved under emergency provisions. No report requested from Attorney General. 

Basis for Corporation Approval, November 3, 1980

Pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act. Charlestown Savings Bank, Boston, Massachusetts, an insured mutual savings bank with total consolidated resources of $\$ 820,413,000$ and total deposits of $\$ 706,738,000$, has applied for the Corporation's consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in Hellenic Credit Union, Peabody, Massachusetts, a state-chartered, non-insured (Federally) financial institution with total resources of approximately $\$ 10,586,000$ and total deposits of approximately $\$ 11,127,000$. Consent is also sought to establish the sole office of Hellenic Credit Union as a branch of Charlestown Savings Bank, which would then operate with a total of 14 offices.

The Board of Directors finds that the fact that the Massachusetts Credit Union Share Insurance Corporation, a state deposit insurance fund, has taken possession as receiver of Hellenic Credit Union and, in the absence of this or a similar transaction, the credit union will be liquidated, requires it to act immediately and thus waives publication of notice and dispenses with the solicitation of competitive reports from other agencies, and authorizes the transaction to be consummated immediately.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Resources } \\ \text { (in thousands } \\ \text { of dollars } \end{gathered}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Northeastern Bank of Pennsylvania Mount Pocono. Pennsylvania | 724.771 | 19 | 20 |
| to purchase the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of |  |  |  |
| The First National Bank of Mocanaqua Mocanaqua, Pennsylvania | 11,935 | 1 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 17, 1980

Northeastern Bank of Pennsylvania, Mount Pocono, Pennsylvania ("Applicant"). an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$ $724,771,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 604,863,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to purchase the assets of and to assume the liability to pay deposits made in The First National Bank of Mocanaqua, Mocanaqua, Pennsylvania (FNB-Mocanaqua"), which has total resources of \$11,935,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 11,078,000$. Consent is also sought to establish the sole office of FNB-Mocanaqua as a branch of Applicant.

## Competition

Applicant operates 19 offices in four counties (Lackawanna, Luzerne, Monroe and Wayne) in northeastern Pennsylvania. While headquartered in the borough of Mount Pocono, in central Monroe County, more than one-half of Applicant's offices serve the highly industrialized communities of the Susquehanna and Lackawanna River Valleys including the cities of Scranton, Pittston and Wilkes-Barre in Lackawanna and northern Luzerne Counties.

FNB-Mocanaqua operates its sole office in the village of Mocanaqua (estimated population 1.100) in the Susquehanna River Valley in southwestern Luzerne County serving a small localized market. It is within this relevant market, delineated by a 10-12 road-mile radius of Mocanaqua, including the communtiies of Berwick and Nanticoke (1970 population 12,274 and 14,632. respectively), that the impact of the proposed transaction would be most direct and immediate. This area has experienced continued economic decline over the last several decades, population levels are stagnant, and its future economic potential appears limited.

Applicant's closest office to Mocanaqua is located approximately 15 road miles to the northeast with no evidence of any significant volume of existing competition between the two banks which would be eliminated by the proposed transaction. As Applicant is not represented in the relevant market, the proposed acquisition would have no adverse impact upon the structure of commercial banking in the market and, in light of the relatively modest volume of deposits to be acquired, the transaction would have no material effect upon the level of concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

Pennsylvania statutes permit de novo branching activity in a bank's home office county and in counties contiguous thereto, indicating that there is some potential for competition to develop between the proponents. FNB-Mocanaqua's weakened competitive posture and relatively limited resources would preclude any expansion effort on its part in the foreseeable future. Applicant, while regarded as capable of de novo expansion into southwestern Luzerne County, is unlikely to do so considering the stagnant nature and limited economic potential of this area which is already served by a number of well-established banking offices. The loss of some potential for future competition between the proponents, as a consequence of this transaction, is regarded as having little impact.

Under these circumstances, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

FNB-Mocanaqua is in a seriously weakened condition and its future viability as an independent institution is in question. The proposed transaction will provide a means by which the problems facing FNB-Mocanaqua may be resolved within the framework of a larger, financially sound institution, whose future prospects are regarded as favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Consummation of the proposed transaction will assure the continuation of commercial banking services at the present office of FNB-Mocanaqua. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, and other relevant material, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with its safe and sound operation.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

The Manchester Bank
Manchester. New Hampshire

## to merge with

The Colonial Bank
Nashua, New Hampshire

Banking offices in operation

| Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Before | After |
| 173.179 | 5 | 9 |
| 21.256 | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 8, 1980

The merging banks are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merger is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 20, 1980

The Manchester Bank. Manchester. New Hampshire, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 173,179.000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 141,966,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with The Colonial Bank, Nashua, New Hampshire, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 21,256,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 18,331,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the five approved offices of The Colonial Bank would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would commence operations with a total of ten existing and two approved unopened offices. These two banks have been affiliated since 1976 through common control by First Financial Group of New Hampshire, Inc., Manchester, New Hampshire, a bank holding company presently controlling four banks.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which First Financial Group of New Hampshire. Inc. may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of this proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Union Trust Company | After |  |  |
| Uew Haven, Connecticut <br> New | 1.126 .421 | 55 | 56 |
| to consol/date with |  |  |  |
| Union Trust Company of Bridgeport <br> Bridgeport, Connecticut | 13.049 | 1 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, September 17, 1980

The consolidating banks are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed consolidation is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 21, 1980

Union Trust Company, New Haven, Connecticut, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$1,126,421,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 897.699 .000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to consolidate with Union Trust Company of Bridgeport, Bridgeport, Connecticut, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 13,049,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 10,039,000$. These banks would consolidate under a new state charter with the title Union Trust Company. Consent is also requested to relocate three branches as previously approved for Union Trust Company. Incident to the transaction, the main office and one approved unopened branch of Union Trust Company of Bridgeport would be established as branches of the resultant bank which would commence operations with a total of 57 approved domestic offices, and the main office location would be relocated to the present site of Union Trust Company's branch located at 300 Main Street, Stamford, Connecticut.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which Northeast Bancorp, Inc., New Haven, Connecticut, a bank holding company controlling these two banks only, may consolidate its operations. The proponents have been under common control since 1977. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Mangerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of this proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thousands } \\ & \text { of dollars) } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Israel Discount Trust Company New York (Manhattan). New York | 74,252 | 1* | 2* |
| to acquire certain assets of and assume the liability to pay certain deposits made in |  |  |  |
| Israel Discount Bank, Limited Tel Aviv, Israel | 945.330 | 4 |  |
| - Domestic offices |  |  |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, May 20, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.
Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980
Israel Discount Trust Company, New York (Manhattan), New York ("IDTC"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 74,252,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 12,758,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to acquire certain assets of and assume the liability to pay certain deposits made in two New York City offices and the Nassau (The Bahamas) and George Town (Cayman Islands) branches of Israel Discount Bank, Limited, Tel Aviv, Israel ("IDB"). The resources to be acquired and the deposit liabilities to be assumed total approximately $\$ 945,330,000$ and $\$ 903,000,000$, respectively, as of July 31, 1980.

The Corporation's consent is also sought to establish an office of IDB, located at 1350 Broadway. New York (Manhattan), New York as a branch of the resultant bank which would be titled "Israel Discount Bank of New York" and would operate with a total of two domestic offices. IDB, which has total resources in excess of $\$ 7.5$ billion (as of December 31, 1979) is the third largest commercial bank in Israel, and operates an extensive branch network in that country.

## Competition

The proposed transaction is part of an extensive corporation reorganization. and is regarded as a vehicle by which IDB may consolidate certain "western hemisphere" operations into a single commercial banking subsidiary. As IDTC has been a wholly-owned subsidiary of IDB since 1967, the proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the level of concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

Under these circumstances, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The proponents' financial and managerial resources are regarded as adequate for the purposes of this proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those already available from either proponent or from those offered by other financial institutions in the New York City area. Consideration regarding the convenience and needs of the community are considered to be consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.


## Summary report by Attorney General, October 31, 1980

The nearest offices of merger participants (Applicant's Burlington office and Bank's Main and Maple Avenue offices) are one mile apart with no competitor's office in the intervening area. However, six other banks maintain 16 offices within Burlington, including branches of the four largest banking organizations in North Carolina. It appears, therefore, that the proposed merger will eliminate some direct competition.

Commercial banking in Alamance County is highly concentrated with the top four banks controlling 73.6 percent of total deposits in the county as of June 30, 1979. Applicant is the fifth largest with 7.2 percent of county deposits: Bank, the eighth largest with 4.9 percent. As a result of this acquisition, Applicant will become the third largest bank with 12.1 percent of deposits and the top four banks will control 76.5 percent of deposits.

For the reasons stated above, the merger will have an adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

Central Carolina Bank \& Trust Company, Durham, North Carolina ("CCB"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$507,616.000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 388,0,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Burlington National Bank, Burlington, North Carolina ("BNB"), with total resources of \$17,172.000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 12,580,000$. Incident to the transaction, the three offices of BNB would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would commence operations with a total of 60 offices.

## Competition

CCB, headquartered in the city of Durham in Durham County, operates 57 offices in 15 north-central counties in North Carolina. BNB operates its three offices in the city of Burlington in central Alamance County.

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as Alamance County. The 1970 population of the county was 96.362 , an increase of 12.5 percent since 1960 . The economy of the county is centered around the city of Burlington, with manufacturing of primary importance. The 1979 median household buying level for Alamance County was $\$ 16,883$, compared to $\$ 15,741$ for the state.

Both proponents are represented in the relevant market. CCB presently operates two offices in Alamance County, one in the city of Burlington and one in Mebane, approximately seven miles east of the Burlington city limits. CCB's closest office to any office of BNB is its Burlington branch, which is located about one mile southeast of BNB's main office. The location of these offices indicates that some direct competition does exist between the proponents. However, as there are offices of other banks in close proximity and the county contains numerous banking alternatives, the amount of existing competition that would be eliminated by the proposed transaction is not considered significant.

In the relevant market eight banks operating 29 offices aggregately controlled total IPC deposits of $\$ 231,082,000$ as of June 30, 1979. The state's four largest banks together control over 77 percent of the total IPC deposits in the county. These four banks are also the largest in ranking in the county. CCB presently holds a 5.1 percent share of such deposits. Acquisition of BNB would add 4.5 percent to that share, and would have no material impact upon the level of deposit concentration or on the structure of commercial banking in the market.

Under North Carolina law, each bank could establish de novo branches in areas served by the other bank. Because of its limited resources, BNB is unlikely to engage in any large-scale de novo branching activity. While CCB's further expansion into Alamance County is possible, the slow growth of the county in recent years and the presence of well-established banking offices in the market, minimizes the effect of this transaction on possible increased future competition.

At year-end 1979, CCB was the state's eighth largest bank with 2.55 percent share of North Carolina's total commercial bank deposits. Acquisition of BNB would add only 0.08 percent to that share, and would have no significant impact upon the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in the state or any relevant area.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

CCB and BNB have satisfactory financial and managerial resources, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Consummation of the proposed transaction will have little effect on the level of pricing of commercial banking services in areas served by the proponents. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are, however, consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment

Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community. consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thousands } \\ & \text { of dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| The Merchants Bank Burlington. Vermont | 146,031 | 15 | 22 |
| to merge with |  |  |  |
| Catamount Bank <br> North Bennington, Vermont | 44,164 | 7 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, September 26, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have a significantly adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

The Merchants Bank, Burlington, Vermont ("Merchants"), a State nonmember insured bank with total resources of $\$ 146.031 .000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 115.324,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge with Catamount Bank, North Bennington, Vermont, with total resources of $\$ 44,164,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 38,834,000$. The banks would merge under the charter and title of Merchants. Incident to the transaction the seven offices of Catamount Bank would become branches of the resulting bank.

## Competition

Merchants operates its 15 offices in northern Vermont. Its main office and eight branches are located in Chittenden County, two branches each in Addison and Washington Counties, and one each in Caledonia and Grand Isles Counties. Catamount Bank's operations are confined to southern Vermont. Its main office and three branches are located in Bennington County, two branches in Windham County and one branch in Rutland County.

The three-county area where Catamount Bank operates is the area within which the competitive effect of the proposed transaction would be most immediate and direct. The area is largely rural in character with substantial agricultural activity. There are 53 offices of 11 commercial banks located in the area and, in terms of area commercial bank IPC deposits. Catamount Bank is the fourth largest, controlling 7.4 percent of such deposits. Merchants is not represented in the market and the proponents' closest offices are separated by 54 road miles. Therefore, no significant existing competition between the two banks would be eliminated by the proposed merger.

Vermont law permits statewide de novo branching, and a potential for future competition between the proponents exists. The significance of this loss of potential competition is mitigated by the fact that substantial competition exists in both banks' trade areas. Within the relevant market, all but three of the state's ten largest commercial banks are represented.

Post merger, Merchants would continue to rank fifth largest of all commercial banks in Vermont, with 8.4 percent of the state's commercial bank deposits. The transaction would, therefore, have no material effect on the structure of commercial banking in the state.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that the proposed merger would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Financial and managerial resources of the proponents are adequate for purposes of this proposal, and with the contemplated addition of equity capital the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The proposed transaction would have little material impact upon the level and pricing of commercial banking services in the relevant market area, as such services are readily available in the area at offices of most of the state's largest commercial banks. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of the available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the bank.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Bank of Pennsylvania Reading. Pennsylvania | 523.012 | 25 | 26 |
| to purchase the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of <br> The First National Bank of Honey Brook Honey Brook, Pennsylvania | 28.887 | 1 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, October 10, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

Bank of Pennsylvania, Reading, Pennsylvania, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$523,012,000 and total IPC deposits of \$415,257,000. has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in The First National Bank of Honey Brook, Honey Brook, Pennsylvania ("First National"), with total resources of $\$ 28,887,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 25,141,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction the main office and one remote service facility of First National would be established as a branch and a remote service facility of the resultant bank, which would commence operations with a total of 26 fullservice offices and two remote service facilities.

## Competition

Bank of Pennsylvania is headquartered in the city of Reading which is located in southern Berks County. In addition to its main office. Bank of Pennsylvania operates 17 full-service branches and one remote service facility in Berks County. Bank of Pennsylvania also operates two branches in Montgomery County and five branches in Lehigh County. Berks, Lehigh and Montgomery Counties are contiguous to one another and are located in southeastern Pennsylvania. First National is headquartered in the borough of Honey Brook (1970 population 1.115) in northwestern Chester County near its border with Lancaster County. In September 1979. First National established a remote service facility in Morgantown in the extreme southern tip of Berks County.

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as that area within a ten-mile radius of Honey Brook. This area, which had an estimated 1970 population of 61,000 , encompasses northwestern Chester County, southern Berks County and northeastern Lancaster County. The economy of the area is diversified, with manufacturing and agriculture of primary importance.

In the relevant market 12 banks operating 18 offices controlled total IPC deposits of $\$ 271,566,000$ at June 30, 1979. Of these deposits, First National controls the fifth largest share, 8.5 percent. As Bank of Pennsylvania is not represented in the relevant market, its closest office to First National located some 17 miles northeast of Honey Brook in Birdsboro, it would merely succeed to First National's share. Therefore, no existing competition would be eliminated by the proposed transaction.

Pennsylvania statutes permit branching in a bank's home office county and any county contiguous thereto, thus there is some potential for increased levels of competition to develop between the proponents. First National has operated as a unit bank since its organization in 1868, only recently (1979) establishing a remote service facility, and is unlikely, with its limited resources, to consider any meaningful de novo expansion in the near future. Bank of Pennsylvania has the resources to expand de novo into the Honey Brook area, but the sparse population of the immediate area makes such expansion unattractive. Therefore, the loss of this limited potential for increased competition is not considered to be of significance.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Bank of Pennsylvania and First National have satisfactory financial and managerial resources, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The resultant bank would be able to offer a broader range of commercial banking services than presently available at First National, and considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources(in thoussands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| The Hibernia Bank San Francisco, California | 610,360 | 21 | 35 |
| to merge with <br> Security National Bank Walnut Creek, California | 227,298 | 14 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 8, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

The Hibernia Bank, San Francisco, California ("Hibernia"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 610,360,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 466,608,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18 (c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge with Security National Bank, Walnut Creek, California ("Security"), with total resources of $\$ 227,298,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 190,919,000$. The banks would merge under the charter and title of Hibernia. Incident to the transaction the fourteen offices of Security would become branches of the resulting bank.

## Competition

Both banks operate all of their offices in the San Francisco area. Hibernia's main offices and 12 branches are located in San Francisco County, with three branches each in Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties, and two branches in Contra Costa County. Its market area consists of nearly all of the San Francisco-Oakland SMSA.

Security operates its main office and eight branches in Contra Costa County, as well as five branches in Alameda County. There is significant commutation between these counties and San Francisco County, and, while Security's operations are confined mainly to this two county area, the competition provided by the San Francisco banks must also be taken into consideration. Therefore, for the purposes of analyzing the competitive impact of this proposal, the relevant market area is considered to be Contra Costa, Alameda and San Francisco Counties.

The relevant market area is included entirely within the area serviced by Hibernia and the closest offices of the proponents are separated by less than one mile. The transaction would therefore serve to eliminate existing competition. This loss of competition is not considered significant since Hibernia and Security control only 1.5 percent and 0.7 percent, respectively, of the area's commercial bank IPC deposits.

Competition within the relevant market is intense, with 46 commercial banks operating a total of 519 offices. All but one of the state's ten largest banks are represented in the area, and, while the proposed transaction would serve to eliminate the potential for increased future competition between the proponents, it should also serve to stimulate competition in the area by providing a larger and more viable competitor.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that the proposed merger would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Financial and managerial resources of Hibernia are adequate for purposes of this proposal. With the contemplated addition of equity capital, the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The proposed transaction would have little material impact upon the level and pricing of commercial banking services in the relevant market area, as such services are readily available in the area at offices of most of the state's largest commercial banks. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of the available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with its safe and sound operation.
Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Bank of Alex Brown <br> Walnut Grove, California | 73.182 | 4 | 14 |
| to merge with <br> Placer Bank <br> Rocklin, California | 39.623 | 10 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, September 26, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

Bank of Alex Brown, Walnut Grove, California ("BAB"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 73,182,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 57,772,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Placer Bank, Rocklin, California, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$39,623.000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 34,372,000$. Incident to the transaction, the main office, nine full-service branches and one seasonal branch of Placer Bank would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would commence operating with a total of fifteen full-service offices (including one approved unopened) and one seasonal branch.

## Competition

BAB operates four offices in Sacramento County. Three of these offices (including its main office) are located in the southwestern area of the county and one is located in the southern part of the city of Sacramento in the northern portion of the county. BAB has also received approval to establish a branch in Rio Vista in adjacent Solano County. Placer Bank, headquartered in Rocklin, operates its main office, eight full-service branches and one seasonal branch
in western Placer County. Placer Bank also operates a branch in northern Sacramento County in the community of Citrus Heights.

There is no evidence of any material existing competition between the proponents. Their closest offices are approximately 23 miles apart and separated by the Sacramento metropolitan area. The area most affected by the proposed transaction is regarded as the western half of Placer County and the communities of Citrus Heights and Fair Oaks in adjacent Sacramento County.

In the relevant market, 11 banks operating 39 offices controlled total IPC deposits of $\$ 436,965,000$ as of June 30, 1979. Of these deposits, Placer Bank held a 6.9 percent share. Commercial banking in the relevant market is dominated by offices of some of California's largest banking organizations, with the state's five largest aggregately controlling nearly 75 percent of such funds. As $B A B$ is not represented in the relevant area, it would merely succeed to Placer Bank's share. Therefore, the proposed transaction would have no significant effect on the structure of commercial banking or on the level of concentration of banking resources in any area.

California statutes permit statewide de novo branching activity, and therefore, each bank could branch de novo into areas served by the other. The loss of this potential for increased competition in the future is not regarded as significant in light of the intense competition provided in the area by the larger banking organizations.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

BAB and Placer Bank have satisfactory financial and managerial resources and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The proposed transaction is not expected to have any significant impact upon the level and pricing of commercial banking services in the areas served by either proponent, as an extensive array of such services would continue to be available at offices of the large statewide banking organizations which are represented in the market. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are, however, consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Bank of Pasco County <br> Dade City, Florida |  | 3 | After |
| to merge with <br> Citizens Bank of Pasco <br> Zephyrhills, Florida | 23.704 | 2 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 8, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

The Bank of Pasco County, Dade City. Florida, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 80,196,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 64,160,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section $18(c)$ and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Citizens Bank of Pasco, Zephyrhills, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$23,704,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 21,274,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the two offices of Citizens Bank of Pasco would be established as branches of the resultant bank which would commence operations with a total of five offices.

## Competition

The Bank of Pasco County, established in 1889, operates its main office and one branch in Dade City in northeastern Pasco County, and one branch in the city of San Antonio some seven miles west of the main office. Citizens Bank of Pasco operates its main office and one branch in Zephyrhills, approximately nine miles south of Dade City in southeastern Pasco County.

Essentially, the merger represents a corporate reorganization. Citizens Bank of Pasco was established in 1972 by The Bank of Pasco County's shareholders, at a time when Florida law did not provide for full service de novo branches. The two participating banks have interlocking directorates and common management and, thus, there is no existing competition between them.

Although the participating banks could theoretically compete with each other through branching, due to their common management and control, this is very unlikely. Accordingly, this merger would eliminate no significant potential competition between the proponents.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The Bank of Pasco County and Citizens Bank of Pasco have satisfactory financial and managerial resources, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served are. however, consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thousands } \\ & \text { of dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Westminster Trust Company <br> Westminster. Maryland (change title to Westminster Bank and Trust Company of Carroll County) | 47.583 | 4 | 7 |
| to merge with <br> The Woodbine National Bank Woodbine, Maryland | 23,602 | 3 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, October 23, 1980

The area within which it is appropriate to assess the competitive effects of the proposed merger is approximated by Carroll County and the contiguous portion of Howard County encompassing Woodbine. Carroll County (1970 population 69.000) is located in northern Maryland, in the northwest corner of the Baltimore SMSA. The county is agricultural, with mixed service and manufacturing. The southern half of the county serves as a bedroom community for Washington, D. C. and Baltimore. Westminster (1970 population 7.000) is the county seat. Located in the central portion of Carroll County. Westminster is also the county's retail, wholesale, and industrial center. Gamber. Winfield, and Eldersburg are all located in southern Carroll County, with Woodbine being situated along Carroll County's southern border.
Applicant's Winfield branch is located approximately four miles north of Woodbine. Bank's Woodbine office, which was moved in 1964 from a site in southern Carroll County, is now located just south of the county line; it is the only banking office in Woodbine. The closest banking office in Howard County to Bank's Woodbine office is approximately eight miles away and is only a small branch office. The closest banking office holding deposits in excess of $\$ 5$ million is in Clarksville, approximately 13 miles south of Woodbine.

The closest offices of Applicant and Bank (Applicant's main office in Westminster and Bank's Westminster branch) are one-half mile apart. Six offices of two other banks are also located in Westminster. In southern Carroll County. no office of Applicant or Bank (including Bank's Woodbine office) is located more than approximately six miles from an office of the other.

Applicant and Bank each derive a significant amount of business from the service area of the other. From Bank's service area, Applicant derives more than 40 percent of its total IPC deposits and approximately 40 percent of its total installment, mortgage, and demand and time loans. From Applicant's service area, Bank derives approximately 25 percent of its total IPC deposits and more than 30 percent of its total installment, mortgage, and demand and time loans.

Of the 12 banking organizations operating 32 offices in the Carroll County/ northwest Howard County area, Applicant is the second largest, with 12.4 percent of total area deposits. Bank, with 5.7 percent of total area deposits, is the eighth largest. Commercial banking in the area is concentrated; the four largest banks control 66.7 percent of total area deposits. If the proposed merger is consummated, the resulting institution would control 18.1 percent of the area's deposits, the second largest share, and concentration among the four largest banks in the area would increase to 72.4 percent.

We conclude that the proposed merger would have an adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

Westminster Trust Company, Westminster, Maryland ("Trust"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 47,583,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 41,741,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge with The Woodbine National Bank, Woodbine, Maryland ("National"), with total resources of $\$ 23,602,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 20,513,000$, under the charter of Trust and with the title of Westminster Bank and Trust Company of Carroll County. The three offices of National would become branches of the resulting bank, increasing the number of its offices to seven.

## Competition

Trust, based in the city of Westminster (1970 population 16,304 ), operates three offices (plus one approved, not opened office) in northern Carroll County and one office at Winfield in the south-central portion of the county. National, based in the community of Woodbine, operates two offices in the extreme southern portion of Carroll County adjacent to Howard County, and a single office approximately 20 road miles north in the city of Westminster. The two proponents are viewed as basically serving separate, but adjacent markets, however, each presently operates one relatively small office in the other's primary service area.
National's Westminster office was established in 1978, holds less than \$1 million in total deposits, and is not regarded as a significant competitive factor in the Westminster area. In this relevant market, approximated by the area within a 15 road-mile radius of Westminster (estimated 1970 population 45,100), ten commercial banks operate 26 offices. Trust, holding approximately 13.5 percent of the area's IPC commercial bank deposits, ranks as the third largest commercial banking organization in the market; and, upon consummation of the proposed transaction, would acquire National's less than 0.2 percent share of such funds. While the proposal would serve to eliminate some existing and potential competition between the two banks in this market, it is noted that the area is heavily-banked, relative to population and economic activity, with numerous banking alternatives including offices of some of Maryland's largest banking organizations. In such an environment. Trust's acquisition of this single banking office iwould have little competitive impact.
Within an approximate 15 -road mile radius of National's head office, which includes southern Carroll County and adjacent portions of northern Howard County (estimated 1970 population of the area 26.700 ). seven commercial banks operate 11 offices. National's head office and Eldersburg branch hold aggregate IPC deposits of approximately $\$ 17$ million (as of June 30, 1979), ranking National as the market's second largest commercial bank in area deposits held. Trust's Winfield office, located approximately 7 road miles north of National's head office, holds approximately $\$ 7$ million in IPC deposits (as of June 30, 1979). Maryland National Bank, based in Baltimore, operates four offices in this relevant area and, with total IPC deposits of approximately $\$ 27$ million, holds more than 36.0 percent of the local market's IPC commercial bank deposit base.

While the resultant bank would hold, in relative terms, approximately 32.6 percent of the Woodbine relevant market's IPC commercial bank deposits, such a ratio tends to overemphasize the competitive impact of the proposed transaction. This market lies just west of and adjacent to Baltimore County, and there is an indication that some area residents commute to the city of Baltimore
and surrounding areas for employment alternatives and other services. Relatively large commercial banking organizations based in the Baltimore area are regarded as viable alternative sources of commercial banking services for some of these residents, and are recognized as having a competitive effect on this more rural, adjacent area. The nearness of Baltimore County and its available alternative banking sources and the dominant presence in the relevant market of the state's largest banking organization, as well as the relatively small absolute size of the banking offices involved, are viewed as circumstances that mitigate the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction. Under these circumstances, the elimination of some existing and potential competition between the proponents and the increase in the level of concentration of banking resources in this relevant market would not have any serious anticompetitive impact.

Mercantile Bankshares Corporation operates ten commercial banks in the State of Maryland and ranks as the state's fourth largest banking organization holding a 7.9 percent share of total commercial bank deposits (as of December 31. 1979). The state's three largest banking organizations aggregately hold approximately 42.7 percent of such funds. Acquisition of National's less than 0.2 percent share of such deposits by an affiliate of Mercantile Bankshares Corporation would have no material impact upon the structure of commercial banking or the level of concentration of banking resources in Maryland.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The financial and managerial resources of the proponents are regarded as satisfactory for the purposes of this transaction, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The proposed transaction would have no material impact upon the level of commercial banking services in the communities served by the proponents as such services are readily available at offices of other commercial banks serving these areas. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served are, however, consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is anticipated to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with its safe and sound operation.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| East River Savings Bank New York (Manhattan), New York | 1.666.136 | 17 | 21 |
| to merge with |  |  |  |
| People's Bank for Savings of New Rochelle, New York <br> New Rochelle, New York | 264,489 | 4 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, October 31, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

East River Savings Bank, New York (Manhattan), New York ("East River"), an insured mutual savings bank with total resources of \$1,666,136,000 and total deposits of $\$ 1,484,834,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with People's Bank for Savings of New Rochelle, New York, New Rochelle, New York ("People's"), an insured mutual savings bank with total resources of \$264,489,000 and total deposits of $\$ 244,116,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the four offices of People's would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would commence operations with a total of 21 offices.

## Competition

East River operates its main office, five full-service branches and two public accommodation branches in Manhattan, one branch each in the boroughs of Staten Island and Queens, one branch in Suffolk County, and two branches in Nassau County, all of which are in southeastern New York. East River also operates four branches in Erie County in the northwestern part of the state. Peoples operates its four offices in southeastern Westchester County. Its main office and one branch are located in New Rochelle and one office each in Larchmont and Pelham Manor.

Westchester County is situated immediately north of New York City and is part of the New York-New Jersey SMSA that consists of the five boroughs of New York City, as well as Putnam, Rockland, and Westchester Counties in New York, and Bergen County in New Jersey. These areas all have close economic ties, with significant commutation among them for work, shopping and leisure. In addition, thrift institutions, particularly the large New York City-based thrifts, advertise throughout the area, and there is intense competition in the region. The 1970 population of the New York portion of the New York-New Jersey SMSA was 9,075,565, and there are 719 offices of 115 thrift institutions with total deposits of $\$ 62,235,698,000$. The effect of this proposal would be insignificant in this area.

East River's closest office to Peoples is located in Manhattan, some 12 road miles south of People's Pelham Manor office. As the intervening area is densely populated and contains numerous thrift institution offices, there is no significant existing competition between the two banks which would be eliminated by the proposed merger.

New York law restricts de novo expansion by mutual savings banks to one branch each year. The development of a significant increase in competition through such expansion is therefore limited. Further, the intense competition existing among thrift institutions in the New York City area minimizes the competitive significance of additional de novo branching activity.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed merger would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The proponents' financial and managerial resources are adequate for the purposes of this proposal, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Consummation of the proposed transaction will have little effect on the level and pricing of thrift institution services in the areas served by the proponents. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served are, however, consistent with approval.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statement of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| American Bank and Trust Co. of Pa. Reading, Pennsylvania | 1,856,922 | 67 | 68 |
| $t 0$ merge with <br> The Suburban Bank <br> East Norriton Township <br> (P.O. Norristown), Pennsylvania | 12.506 | 1 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, October 3, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

American Bank and Trust Co. of Pa., Reading, Pennsylvania ("American Bank''), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 1,856,922,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 1,447,706,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with The Suburban Bank, East Norriton Township (P. O. Norristown), Pennsylvania, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 12,506,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 8,536,000$. Incident to the transaction, the sole office of The Suburban Bank would be established as a branch of the resultant bank, which would then have a total of 68 full-service offices.

## Competition

American Bank is headquartered in the city of Reading (1970 population 87.643 ) in southern Berks County ( 1970 population 296,382 ). American Bank operates offices in each of the seven counties (Berks, Lebanon, Lehigh, Lancaster, Chester, Montgomery, and Schuylkill) in its legal branching area which is located in southeastern Pennsylvania. The Suburban Bank operates its sole office in East Norriton Township in an area north of the Norristown city limits in southern Montgomery County (1970 population 623.921).

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as the southeastern two-thirds of Montgomery

County. This area, which is within approximately 10-15 miles of The Suburban Bank, extends to Route 113 to the northwest, to the Philadelphia city limits to the southeast and is approximated on the north and south by the Montgomery County limits. The 1970 population of the relevant market is estimated at 530,000 . The 1979 median household buying level for the county was $\$ 24,260$. compared to $\$ 18,265$ for the state. The economy of the area is diversified with a significant portion of the residents commuting to Philadelphia for employment.

In the relevant market, 19 banks operating 127 offices controlled aggregate total deposits of $\$ 2,086,958,000$ as of June 30, 1979. Of these deposits American Bank held a 9.7 percent share, and acquisition of The Suburban Bank would add only 0.3 percent to that share. American Bank has two offices within three miles of The Suburban Bank, indicating that some direct competition does exist between the proponents. The amount of existing competition to be eliminated by the proposed transaction is not regarded as significant in light of the modest size of The Suburban Bank and the presence of numerous offices of well-established banks in the immediate area and throughout the relevant market.

Pennsylvania statutes permit branching in a bank's home office county and any county contiguous thereto. Therefore, each proponent could branch into areas served by the other. The Suburban Bank, however, has neither the financial nor managerial resources to consider de novo expansion. American Bank has the financial and managerial resources to branch further into the area served by The Suburban Bank but, because of the latter bank's relatively small size, the proposal, in itself, is tantamount to de novo expansion by American Bank. Therefore, consummation of the proposed transaction would not eliminate any significant potential for future competition between the two banks.

In its legal branching area. American Bank at June 30, 1979 held a 15.22 percent share of the total deposits in the seven-county area. Acquisition of the Suburban Bank would add only 0.07 percent of such funds, and would have no material impact upon the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in any relevant area.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

American Bank and The Suburban Bank have satisfactory financial and managerial resources, and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Consummation of the proposed transaction will have little effect on the level and pricing of commercial banking services in the areas served by the proponents. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served are, however, consistent with approval.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | After |  |  |
| City Bank <br> Sun City, Arizona <br> (change title to City Bank of Arizona) | 18,922 | 1 | 11 |
| to merge with <br> Surety Savings and Loan Association <br> Phoenix, Arizona | 106,257 | 10 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 22, 1980

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

City Bank, Sun City, Arizona, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 18,922,000$ and total deposits of $\$ 17,401,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's consent to merge with Surety Savings and Loan Association, Phoenix, Arizona ("Surety"), a federally-insured, state chartered stock savings and Ioan association with total resources of \$106,257.000 and total deposits of $\$ 95,464,000$, under the charter of City Bank and with the title "City Bank of Arizona." Incident to the proposed transaction, the ten existing and one approved, but unopened offices of Surety would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would commence operations with a total of twelve approved offices, and the main office location of the resultant bank would be redesignated to the present main office site of Surety.

## Competition

City Bank operates its sole office in Sun City, approximately five miles northwest of the Phoenix city limits in central Maricopa County. Surety, headquartered in Phoenix, presently operates ten offices, eight of which are in central Maricopa County. Its main office and two branches are located in Phoenix, four branches are located in Sun City, and one branch is located in Scottsdale. Surety has also received approval to establish a branch in Tempe. Surety operates two offices in Yuma County, one in the city of Yuma in the southwestern portion of the county, and one in the city of Parker in northwestern Yuma County.

The city of Phoenix (1970 population 581,562 , an increase of 10.7 percent from 1960). Iocated in central Maricopa County (1970 population 968,487 , an increase of 45.8 percent from 1960), is the state capital and the county seat, and is the center of business and financial activity in the state. The 1979 median household buying level for Maricopa County was $\$ 19,273$, compared to $\$ 17.691$ for the state.

There is no substantial competition between City Bank and Surety at the present time. Their closest offices are about three miles apart in the Sun City area and there are numerous other offices of commercial and thrift institutions in close proximity. In addition, City Bank offers the usual commercial bank loan services while Surety has almost all of its loans in residential mortgages. While both institutions offer real estate loans, neither has more than a nominal share of the total of such loans in Maricopa County. In competing for deposits, Surety offers the higher ceiling rates permitted to savings and loan associations under present Federal rate ceilings. Recently enacted legislation, however, will elimi-

institutions over the next several years. The proposed transaction would not eliminate any significant existing competition between Surety and City Bank.

It is unlikely that increased competition would develop between City Bank and Surety in the foreseeable future. Further, any potential competition which may be eliminated by the proposed transaction is competitively insignificant because of the dominant positions of the county's three largest commercial banks, which have numerous offices throughout the area, and the presence of numerous offices of several large savings and loan associations. Thus, fully adequate banking and thrift institution alternatives would remain.

The proposed transaction would have no discernible effect on the structure of commercial banking or thrift institution banking because each of the participating institutions is of only modest significance in its respective line of commerce in Maricopa County. As of June 30, 1979, City Bank had only 0.3 percent of the total deposits held by all commercial banks in the county $(\$ 6.151,433,000)$, while the three dominant banks held an aggregate 84.1 percent share of such funds. As of March 31, 1980. Surety had a 2.3 percent share of the total thrift institution deposits in Maricopa County (\$3,031,824,000).

Under these circumstances, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed merger would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

City Bank and Surety have satisfactory financial and managerial resources. and the resultant bank is anticipated to have favorable future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

The proposed transaction, in the context of the Maricopa County banking market, would have little impact on public convenience and needs, given the relatively insignificant market shares held by each institution. A somewhat larger commercial bank would offer additional competition, however, to the larger banks already represented in the geographic market area.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Continental Illinois National Bank and <br> Trust Company of Chicago <br> Chicago, Illinois | 39.256 .209 | 3 | 3 |
| to purchase the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of Banco Metropolitano de Bayamon Bayamon, Puerto Rico | 14,716 | 1 |  |

Summary report by Attorney General, November 20, 1980
We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have an adverse effect upon competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 24, 1980

Pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, Continental Illinois National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois ("Continental Bank") with total consolidated resources of $\$ 39,256,209,000$ and total consolidated deposits of $\$ 25,566,850,000$, has applied for the Corporation's prior consent to purchase the assets and assume the liabilities of Banco Metropolitano de Bayamon, Bayamon, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico ("Banco Metropolitano"), a noninsured financial institution which has total resources of approximately $\$ 14.716,000$ and total deposits of approximately $\$ 14,361,000$. Continental Bank has filed a companion application with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System seeking consent to operate a wholesale banking office in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico in conjunction with this proposed transaction.

## Competition

Continental Bank is not represented in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the proposed transaction will serve to allow that bank to acquire the banking business of a seriously weakened local institution. Approval by the Secretary of Treasury, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, of the proposed transaction is conditioned upon Continental Bank's engaging in only a limited wholesale banking business in the Commonwealth with the understanding that it will seek to "dispose" of such retail business acquired in this proposal. Under these circumstances, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Banco Metropolitano is in a seriously weakened financial condition and, in the absence of this or a similar transaction, will be placed in receivership. Continental Bank is regarded as having a generally sound asset structure and a satisfactory management, and its acquisition of the banking business of Banco Metropolitano would have no material impact upon its future prospects.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

As a direct consequence of this proposal, the local banking office of Banco Metropolitano will be closed, however, consummation of the transaction would avert the possibility of financial loss to that institution's depositors. Since a number of alternate sources of commercial banking services are available in the Bayamon area, the proposal would outweigh, in the public interest, this adverse impact. Convenience and needs considerations are regarded as consistent with approval of the application.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources(in thousands (in thousandsof dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Ellis Sarasota Bank \& Trust Company Sarasota, Florida (change title to Ellis Bank \& Trust Company) | 221.351 | 4 | 10 |
| to merge with |  |  |  |
| Ellis American Bank Sarasota, Florida and | 43.431 | 2 |  |
| Ellis Longboat Key Bank | 32.498 | 1 |  |
| Longboat Key, Florida and |  |  |  |
| Ellis Manasota Bank | 23.991 | 2 |  |
| Manatee County (P.O. Sarasota). Florida and |  |  |  |
| Ellis Commercial Bank | 25,728 | 1 |  |
| Sarasota, Florida |  |  |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 29, 1980

The merging banks are all wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merger is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 26, 1980

Ellis Sarasota Bank \& Trust Company, Sarasota, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank (total resources $\$ 221,351,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 170,518,000$ ). has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter, with Ellis American Bank, Sarasota, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank (total resources of $\$ 43,431,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 39,354,000$ ); Ellis Longboat Key Bank, Longboat Key, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank (total resources $\$ 32.498 .000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 28.738,000$ ); Ellis Manasota Bank, Manatee County (P. O. Sarasota). Florida, an insured state nonmember bank (total resources $\$ 23.991,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 20.703 .000$ ): and Ellis Commercial Bank, Sarasota, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank (total resources $\$ 25,728,000$; total IPC deposits $\$ 23.336,000$ ), and to establish the six existing offices of the banks being acquired as branches of the resultant bank which will be titled Ellis Bank \& Trust Company. These five banks have been affiliated since 1974 through common control by Ellis Banking Corporation, Bradenton, Florida. This holding company presently controls 26 banks with aggregate year-end 1979 total deposits of $\$ 1.045 .247,000-2.9$ percent of Florida's total commercial bank deposits.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which Ellis Banking Corporation may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars) | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | After |  |  |
| Indian Head Bank of Portsmouth <br> Portsmouth. New Hampshire <br> (change title to Indian Head Bank <br> and Trust Company) | 59,210 | 7 |  |
| to consolidate with <br> Indian Head Bank of Exeter <br> Exeter. New Hampshire | 65.117 | 5 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 22, 1980

The consolidating banks are both majority-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed consolidation is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 26, 1980

Indian Head Bank of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, New Hampshire, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 59,210,000$ and total IPC deposits $\$ 47,637,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to consolidate with Indian Head Bank of Exeter, Exeter, New Hampshire, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$65,117,000 and total IPC deposits \$51,922,000, under a new state charter with the title Indian Head Bank and Trust Company, and to establish the five offices of Indian Head Bank of Exeter as branches of the resultant bank. Application is also made for consent to retire outstanding subordinated capital notes of Indian Head Bank of Portsmouth in the amount of $\$ 800,000$ by the issuance of common stock of the resultant bank. These two banks have been affiliated since 1976 through common control by Indian Head Banks, Inc., Nashua, New Hampshire. This holding company presently controls eight banks with aggregate year-end 1979 total deposits of $\$ 413,412,000-15.6$ percent of New Hampshire's total commercial bank deposits.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means
by which Indian Head Banks, Inc. may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of the proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant institution is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars |  | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barnett Bank of Pensacola <br> Pensacola, Florida <br> (change title to Barnett Bank of West <br> Florida | 114,683 | 4 | 5 |  |
| to merge with |  |  |  |  |
| Barnett Bank of Fort Walton Beach <br> Fort Walton Beach. Florida | 33.932 | 1 |  |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, August 8, 1980

The merging banks are both wholly-owned subsidiaries of the same bank holding company. As such, their proposed merger is essentially a corporate reorganization and would have no effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, December 1, 1980

Barnett Bank of Pensacola, Pensacola, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 114,683,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 75,802,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter, with Barnett Bank of Fort Walton Beach, Fort Walton Beach, Florida, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$33,932,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 28,301,000$, and with the title "Barnett Bank of West Florida." Incident to the transaction, the main office and one approved unopened branch of Barnett Bank of Fort Walton Beach would be established as branches of the resultant bank which would commence operations with a total of six approved offices. These two banks have been affiliated since 1974 through
common control by Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., Jacksonville. Florida. This holding company presently controls 29 banks with aggregate year-end 1979 total deposits of $\$ 3,186.071 .000-8.8$ percent of Florida's total commercial bank deposits.

## Competition

Essentially a corporate reorganization, the proposal would provide a means by which Barnett Banks of Florida, Inc., a bank holding company, may consolidate some of its operations. The proposed transaction would not affect the structure of commercial banking or the concentration of banking resources in any relevant area.

In view of the foregoing, the Corporation is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Proponents' financial and managerial resources are considered adequate for the purposes of this proposal. and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Services to be offered in the relevant market by the resultant bank would not differ materially from those presently offered by each proponent.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, discloses no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

On the basis of the foregoing information, the Director of the Division of Bank Supervision, acting on behalf of the Board of Directors under delegated authority, has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars) |  | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Peoples State Bank of St. Joseph <br> St. Joseph, Michigan | 57.823 | 5 | 9 |  |
| to consolid ate with | After |  |  |  |
| The First National Bank of Watervliet <br> Watervliet, Michigan | 25.672 | 4 |  |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, March 16, 1981

The Peoples State Bank of St. Joseph ("Peoples") operates five offices, all in Berrien County, Michigan. As of June 30, 1980, Peoples had $\$ 53.2$ million in total deposits, including $\$ 14.4$ million IPC demand deposits, representing $9.5 \%$ of the county total.

The First National Bank of Watervliet ("FNB"), with four offices also in Berrien County, has $\$ 23.6$ million total deposits, including $\$ 4.5$ million IPC demand deposits, representing $4.2 \%$ of the county total.

The nearest offices of the merging banks are the Benton Harbor branch of Peoples ( $\$ 1.4$ million total deposits) and the Milburg branch of FNB ( $\$ 3.2$ million total deposits), $4 \frac{1}{2}$ miles apart. All offices of the merging banks are within 20 miles of one another.

There are two possible relevant geographic markets: all of Berrien County, and a market consisting of only the northern half of Berrien County.

Eleven banks have offices in Berrien County. The top two control 50.9\% of the county's deposits; the top three control $72.3 \%$. No other bank in the county has a $10 \%$ market share. The combined share of Peoples and FNB after the merger would be 13.7\%.

However, Berrien County may not be the relevant geographic market for purposes of analyzing this merger. Peoples and FNB both compete in the northern half of Berrien County. St. Joseph and Benton Harbor form a metropolitan area in which Peoples operates all five of its offices. Watervliet, where FNB is headquartered, is on the outskirts of St. Joseph-Benton Harbor, eight miles to the east.

The elimination of direct competition in this more narrowly described market is of special concern, because of Pacesetter, the largest bank in the county, operates primarily in the southern portion of the county. In the northern portion of Berrien County, Peoples is the third largest, and FNB the fourth largest. Their market shares are $14.9 \%$ and $6.6 \%$. The top two banks in the relevant market, Inter-City Bank \& Trust, and Farmers \& Merchants National Bank of Benton Harbor, have $40.2 \%$ and $33.7 \%$, respectively, of total deposits. The four-firm share is $95.5 \%$, up from $94.3 \%$ in 1975 . The two-firm, three-firm, and four-firm shares have also all increased over the last five years. The market shares of the merging banks, however, have diminished slightly: from $15.5 \%$ to $14.9 \%$ for Peoples, and from 8.9\% to 6.6\% for FNB.

There is evidence of Ioan and deposit draw between Watervliet and Benton Harbor - St. Joseph. Peoples has $\$ 2.2$ million in total deposits in the communities of Watervliet, Coloma, Benton Township, Hartford, Eau Claire, Dowagiac, and South Haven, towns the banks argue are only in FNB's "primary service area." (Application, page 15) FNB also has $\$ 2.9$ million total deposits in Peoples' "primary service area." (Application, page 16) This deposit draw represents $12.7 \%$ of FNB's total deposits, and $4.7 \%$ of Peoples' total deposits. Similarly 9.1\% of Peoples' loans are attributed to FNB's "primary service area" and 8.1\% of FNB's loans to Peoples' "primary service area." This substantial overlap of banking business reveals that the applicants' focus on "primary service area" is too narrow.

Further, although Peoples and FNB calculate market shares by including thrift institution savings deposits, such institutions are not properly part of the relevant line of commerce. While the Depository Institutions Deregulation and Monetary Control Act of 1980 gave thrift institutions expanded powers of various kinds, this grant of legal authority does not automatically place thrift institutions in the same product market as commercial banks. See The Report of the Interagency Task Force on Thrift Institutions (June 30, 1980). Banks still provide a unique cluster of services for their commercial and industrial customers, and the applications presents no evidence of actual thrift entry into product markets formerly reserved for banks. Department of the Treasury, Geographic Restrictions on Commercial Banking in the United States, 9-11 (1981).

The merger would combine direct competitors with market shares of 14.9\% and $6.6 \%$, there is an adverse effect on competition in the relevant market, Northern Berrien County.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, December 22, 1980

The Peoples State Bank of St. Joseph. St. Joseph. Michigan ("Peoples"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$57,823,000, and total

IPC deposits of $\$ 47.415 .000$, has applied, pursuant to Section $18(c)$ and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to consolidate, under its charter and title, with The First National Bank of Watervliet, Watervliet, Michigan ("FNB"), with total resources of $\$ 25,672,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 20,293,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the four offices of FNB would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would then have a total of nine offices.

## Competition

Peoples operates its main office and two branches in the city of St. Joseph and one branch each in Benton Harbor and Scottdale, all of which are in northwestern Berrien County. St. Joseph (1970 population 11,042) is adjacent to Benton Harbor and located along the Lake Michigan shore in the southwestern part of the state. FNB also operates its four offices in Berrien County. Its main office and one branch are located in Watervliet, in the northeastern corner of the county, with branches in Milburg and Coloma Township, to the southwest and west, respectively, of Watervliet.

Watervliet, 1970 population 2.059 , is located approximately 13 road miles northeast of the cities of Benton Harbor and St. Joseph. The 1979 median household buying level for Berrien County was $\$ 15.071$, compared to $\$ 20,091$ for the state.

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as that area within 15 road miles of Watervliet and includes northern Berrien County, southwestern Van Buren County and the extreme northwestern corner of Cass County. The 1970 population of this area is estimated at 91.000 . The relevant market supports a diversified economy with most of the economic activity centered around Benton Harbor and St. Joseph.

FNB's closest office to Peoples is its Milburg branch located some 6 miles northeast of Peoples' office in Benton Harbor, and some competition does exist between the proponents. However, the volume of actual direct competition is modest, and its loss, as a consequence of consummation of the proposed consolidation, would have no significant competitive impact.

Michigan statutes permit branching in a bank's home office county or in any contiguous county within 25 miles of the main office, with certain home office protection restrictions. Therefore, there is some potential for increased levels of competition to develop between the proponents. In light of the numerous alternative sources of commercial banking services which are available in this market, the loss of this potential is not regarded as having a serious competitive impact.

In the relevant market, nine banks with 31 offices control June 30, 1979 total IPC deposits of $\$ 268,550,000$. Represented in the market are affiliates of five of the state's ten largest commercial banking organizations. Farmers and Merchants National Bank, Benton Harbor, an affiliate of National Detroit Corporation. Michigan's largest commercial banking organization, holds the largest share in the market, 29.3 percent. The second largest market share is held by Inter City Bank, Benton Harbor, an independent bank. Peoples controls the third largest share of such deposits, 14.2 percent. While the proposed acquisition of FNB would add 7.2 percent to Peoples' share, increasing the level of concentration of banking resources in the local market, considering the commercial banking structure, such a consequence would not have a material adverse effect.

The Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would
not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The financial and managerial resources of Peoples and FNB are considered adequate for the purposes of this proposal, and the future prospects of the resultant bank appear favorable.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Consummation of the proposed transaction will have little effect on the level and pricing of commercial banking services in the areas served by the proponents. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served are consistent with approval of the application.

A review of available information, including the Community Reinvestment Act Statements of the proponents, disclosed no inconsistencies with the purposes of the Act. The resultant bank is expected to continue to meet the credit needs of its entire community, consistent with the safe and sound operation of the institution.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that approval of the application is warranted.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| The Home Savings Bank in Boston Boston, Massachusetts | 407.494 | 5 | 6 |
| to acquire the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of <br> Boston Progressive Credit Union <br> Boston (P.O. Roxbury). Massachusetts | 2,225 | 1 |  |

Approved under emergency provisions, no report requested from Attorney General.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, December 22, 1980

Pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, The Home Savings Bank in Boston, Boston, Massachusetts ("Home Savings Bank"), an insured mutual savings bank with total consolidated resources of $\$ 407,494,000$ and total deposits of $\$ 371,890,000$, has applied for the Corporation's consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in Boston Progressive Credit Union, Boston (P.O. Roxbury). Massachusetts ("Credit Union"), a non-insured (Federally) financial institution chartered under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, which has total resources of approximately $\$ 2,225,000$ and total deposits of approximately $\$ 2,186,000$. Consent is also sought to establish the sole office of Credit Union, which is located at 1030 Tremont Street, Boston (Roxbury). Massachusetts, as a branch of Home Savings Bank, which would then operate with a total of six offices.

The Board of Directors finds that the fact that the Massachusetts Credit Union Share Insurance Corporation, a state deposit insurance fund, has taken possession of Credit Union as receiver and, in the absence of this or a similar transaction, the credit union will be liquidated, requires the Board to act immediately and thus waives publication of notice and dispenses with the solicitation of competitive reports from other agencies, and authorizes the transaction to be consummated immediately.

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| The Mission Bank Mission, Kansas (in organization) | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| to purchase the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of <br> The Mission State Bank \& Trust Company <br> Mission, Kansas | 100.614 | 2 |  |

Approved under emergency provisions. No report requested from Attorney General.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, August 8, 1980

The Mission Bank, Mission, Kansas, a newly chartered state nonmember bank, has applied, pursuant to Section 5 and 18(c) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for Federal deposit insurance, for the Corporation's consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in The Mission State Bank \& Trust Company, Mission, Kansas (total resources $\$ 100.614 .000$ as of December 31. 1979), for consent to establish the one branch (facility) of The Mission State Bank \& Trust Company as a branch (facility) of the resultant bank, and for consent to exercise full trust powers.

As of August 8, 1980, The Mission State Bank \& Trust Company had deposits of approximately $\$ 78,700,000$ and operated two offices. On August 8, 1980. the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation was appointed as Receiver of The Mission State Bank \& Trust Company.

The Board of Directors finds that failure of The Mission State Bank \& Trust Company requires it to act immediately and thus waives publication of notice, dispenses with the solicitation of competitive reports from other agencies, and authorizes the transaction to be consummated immediately.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars) | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City and County Bank of Anderson County <br> Lake City, Tennessee | 47.742 | After |  |
| Before <br> to purchase the assets and assume the <br> deposit liabilities of <br> City and County Bank of Campbell County <br> Jellico. Tennessee | 39.447 | 7 |  |

Approved under emergency provisions. No report requested from the Attorney General.
Basis for Corporation Approval, June 28, 1980
City and County Bank of Anderson County, Lake City, Tennessee, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 47.742 .000$, has applied pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in City and County Bank of Campbell County, Jellico, Tennessee, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of
$\$ 39,447,000$. Incident to the transaction, the three offices of City and County Bank of Campbell County would become branches of City and County Bank of Anderson County.

As of June 28, 1980, City and County Bank of Campbell County had deposits of approximately $\$ 35,100,000$ and operated three offices. On June 28, 1980, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation was appointed as Receiver of City and County Bank of Campbell County.

The Board of Directors finds that the failure of City and County Bank of Campbell County requires it to act immediately and thus waives publication of notice, dispenses with the solicitation of competitive reports from other agencies, and authorizes the transaction to be consummated immediately.

|  | Resources <br> (ithousands <br> of dollars) | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | After |  |
| Citizens State Bank in Galena <br> Galena, Kansas (in organization) | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| to purchase the assets and assume the <br> deposit labilities of <br> Citizens State Bank of Galena <br> Galena, Kansas | 10.506 | 1 |  |

## Approved under emergency provisions. No report requested from the Attorney General.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, November 21, 1980

Citizens State Bank in Galena, Galena, Kansas, a newly chartered state nonmember bank, has applied pursuant to Sections 5 and 18(c) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for Federal deposit insurance and for the Corporation's consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in Citizens State Bank of Galena, Galena, Kansas (total resources of \$10,506,000 as of June 30, 1980).

On November 21, 1980, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation was appointed as Receiver of Citizens State Bank of Galena9 whose deposits were approximately $\$ 8,700,000$ and operated one office).

The Board of Directors finds that the failure of Citizens State Bank of Galena requires it to act immediately and thus waives publication of notice, dispenses with the solicitation of competitive reports from other agencies, and authorizes the transaction to be consummated immediately.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars) | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United Bank of Rochelle <br> Rochelle, Illinois (in organization) | After |  |  |
| Before |  |  |  |
| to purchase the assets and assume the <br> deposit liabilities of | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| The Rochelle Bank and Trust Company <br> Rochelle, Illinois | 8.430, | 1 |  |

## Approved under emergency provisions. No report requested from the Attorney General.

## Basis for Corporation Approval, October 11, 1980

United Bank of Rochelle, Rochelle, Illinois, a newly chartered state nonmember bank, has applied pursuant to Sections 5 and 18(c) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for Federal deposit insurance and for consent to purchase the assets of and assume the liability to pay deposits made in The Rochelle Bank and Trust Company, Rochelle, Illinois (total resources \$8,430,000 as of June 30, 1980).

As of October 11, 1980, The Rochelle Bank and Trust Company had deposits of approximately $\$ 7,800,000$ and operated one office. On October 11, 1980, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation was appointed as Receiver of The Rochelle Bank and Trust Company.

The Board of Directors finds that the failure of The Rochelle Bank and Trust Company requires it to act immediately and thus waives publication of notice, dispenses with the solicitation of competitive reports from other agencies, and authorizes the transaction to be consummated immediately.

Merger transactions were involved in the acquisitions of banks by holding companies in the following approvals in 1980. In each instance, the Attorney General's report stated that the proposed transaction would have no effect on competition. The Corporation's basis for approval in each case stated that the proposed transaction would not, per se, change the competitive structure of banking, nor affect the banking services that the (operating) bank has provided in the past, and that all other factors required to be considered pertinent to the application were favorably resolved.

First Bank and Trust Company, Cleveland, Texas; offices: 1; resources: $\$ 38,883(\$ 000)$; to merge with New First Bank and Trust, Cleveland, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 75 (\$000). Approved January 14.

Fannin Bank. Houston. Texas: offices: 1: resources: 343.964 (\$000); to merge with Uptown Bank, Houston, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved January 18.

The Citizens Bank, Douglasville, Georgia; offices: 4; resources: 24.090 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with Trust Interim Company, Douglasville, Georgia, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 1 (\$000). Approved January 29.

Capital Bank \& Trust Company, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; offices: 12; resources: 306.869 (\$000); to merge with Capital Bank. Baton Rouge, Louisiana, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $300(\$ 000)$. Approved January 30.

Beltway Bank. Houston. Texas; offices: 1; resources: 38,921 (\$000); to merge with New Beltway Bank, Houston, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000). Approved January 23.

Brush Country Bank, Freer, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 9.596 (\$000); to merge with Freer State Bank. Freer. Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved February 7

Trust Company of Rockdale, Conyers, Georgia, in organization; offices: 0: resources: $700(\$ 000)$; to merge with Citizens and Southern Bank of Rockdale. Conyers, Georgia; offices: 3; resources: 46.857 (\$000). Approved March 10.

Beltline Bank, Garland, Texas, in organization; offices: 0: resources: 200 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Century Bank and Trust, Garland, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 20,840 (\$000). Approved March 20

First American Bank \& Trust of Baytown, Baytown, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 25.388 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with Second American Bank \& Trust of Baytown. Baytown, Texas, in organization: offices: 0; resources: 0 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved March 28.

Apple Valley Bank, Harrisonburg, Virginia, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 60 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Massanutten Bank and Trust, Harrisonburg, Virginia; offices: 1 ; resources: 10,950 (\$000). Approved March 31

Western Bank, Houston, Texas, offices: 1; resources: 199.383 (\$000); to merge with First Western Bank, Houston. Texas, in organization: offices: 0: resources: 200 (\$000). Approved March 31.

The Almont Savings Bank, Almont, Michigan; offices: 1; resources: 13,343 (\$000); to consolidate with ASB Bank. Almont, Michigan, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 120 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved March 31.

The Commercial Bank, Bowden, Georgia; offices: 2; resources: 24,434 (\$000); to merge with Trust Interim Company No. 2, Bowden, Georgia, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 1 (\$000). Approved May 1.

Keatington Bank, Orion Township (P. O. Lake Orion), Michigan, in organization: offices: 0; resources: $120(\$ 000)$; to consolidate with Keatington State Bank, Orion Township (P. O. Lake Orion), Michigan; offices: 2; resources: 9.762 (\$000). Approved May 8.

Bank of Maryville, Maryville, Tennessee: offices: 7; resources: 162,560 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with Interim Bank of Maryville, Maryville, Tennessee; offices: 0 ; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved May 9.

New Bank of San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $0(\$ 000)$; to merge with and change title to Bank of SanAntonio, San Antonio, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 39,281 (\$000). Approved May 13

The Hibernia Bank. San Francisco, California; offices: 21 ; resources: 605,946 (\$000); to merge with Hibernia Financial Corporation. San Francisco, California, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved May 14.

Security Bank of Richmond, Richmond, Michigan; offices: 5; resources: 57,665 ( $\$ 000$ ); to consolidate with The Bank of Richmond, Richmond, Michigan, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 120 (\$000). Approved May 27.

The Wayne Oakland Bank, Royal Oak, Michigan; offices: 14; resources: 438.204 (\$000); to merge with WO State Bank, Royal Oak, Michigan, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 120 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved May 30.

Mainland Bank, Texas City, Texas; offices: 1: resources: 35,691 (\$000); to merge with New Mainland Bank, Texas City, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved June 10.

PBC Bank, Portsmouth, Ohio, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 750 ( $\$ 000$ ); to purchase the assets and assume the deposit liabilities and change title to Portsmouth Banking Company, Portsmouth, Ohio; offices: 7; resources: 68.032 (\$000). Approved June 13.

MPS State Bank, Mount Prospect, Illinois, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 175 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Mount Prospect State Bank, Mount Prospect, Illinois; offices: 1; resources: 241,419 (\$000). Approved June 17.

Commercial Bank, Laredo, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000); to merge with and change title to International Bank of Commerce of Laredo, Laredo, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 118,490 (\$000). Approved June 24.

State Bank \& Trust Company, Frederick, Maryland, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $3(\$ 000)$; to merge with and change title to Fredericktown Bank \& Trust Company, Frederick, Maryland; offices: 5; resources: 88,023 (\$000). Approved June 30.

The FTB Second Bank, Jeffersonville, Ohio, in organization: offices: 0 : resources: 4.413 (\$000): to merge with and change title to The Fayette County Bank, Jeffersonville, Ohio; offices: 4; resources: 21.475 (\$000). Approved July 9.

Boston Avenue State Bank, Nederland, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 75 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with and change title to Nederland State Bank, Nederland, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 61,445 (\$000). Approved July 9.

Banco de Hato Rey, San Juan (Hato Rey). Puerto Rico, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 34,048 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Banco de San Juan, San Juan (Santurce). Puerto Rico; offices: 15; resources: 296,774 (\$000). Approved July 22.

Harlandale Bank of Commerce, San Antonio, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Harlandale Bank, San Antonio, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 47.464 (\$000). Approved July 22.

First Commercial Bank, Sacramento, California; offices: 1; resources: 32,883 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with FCB Corporation, Sacramento, California, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved July 25.

Bank of Austin, Austin, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 78.347 (\$000); to merge with New Bank of Austin. Austin. Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000). Approved July 29.

South Dallas State Bank, Dallas, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Oak Cliff Bank \& Trust Company, Dallas, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 187,530 (\$000). Approved July 29.

Diboll State Bank, Diboll, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 19,572 (\$000); to merge with New Diboll State Bank, Diboll, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved July 30.

First Bank \& Trust Co., Marietta, Georgia; offices: 10; resources: 120,039 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with FB\&T Interim Corp., Marietta, Georgia, in organization: offices: 0; resources: $0(\$ 000)$. Approved July 31.

JBT Bank \& Trust Company, Jefferson Parish (P. O. Metarie), Louisiana, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 300 (\$000); to merge with and change title to The Jefferson Bank and Trust Company, Jefferson Parish (P. O. Metarie), Louisiana; offices: 6; resources: 148,634 (\$000). Approved July 31.

The Coastal Bank of Georgia, St. Simons Island, Georgia; offices: 5; resources: $24.252(\$ 000)$; to merge with Coastal Interim, Inc., St. Simons Island, Georgia, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved August 14.

Ludington Bank and Trust Company, Ludington, Michigan; offices: 2: resources: $37,630(\$ 000)$; to consolidate with New State Bank of Ludington, Ludington, Michigan, in organization: offices: 0; resources: 120 (\$000). Approved August 21

Spring Valley Bank, Richardson, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 100 (\$000); to merge with and change title to First Bank and Trust of Richardson, Richardson, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 67,927 (\$000). Approved August 26.

Las Vegas Bank, Las Vegas, New Mexico, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 504 (\$000); to merge with and change title to The Bank of Las Vegas, Las Vegas, New Mexico; offices: 3; resources: 43,002 (\$000). Approved August 29.

CB Bank, Honolulu. Hawaii, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 3.000 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with and change title to City Bank. Honolulu, Hawaii; offices: 9; resources: 226,317 (\$000). Approved September 11

Jefferson County State Bank, Port Arthur, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $200(\$ 000)$; to merge with and change title to Sabine Bank. Port Arthur, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 54,466 (\$000). Approved September 12.

Brazo State Bank, Bryan, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 100
(\$000); to merge with and change title to First Bank \& Trust, Bryan. Texas; offices: 1; resources: 93.970 (\$000). Approved September 12. Bank of Fort Valley, Fort Valley, Georgia; offices: 2; resources: 24.935 (\$000): to merge with BFV-Interim, Inc., Fort Valley, Georgia, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 1 (\$000). Approved September 30.

First Alabama Bank of Sumter County, Livingston, Alabama, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 25 (.\$000); to merge with McMillan \& Co. Bankers, Livingston, Alabama; offices: 1; resources: 25.931 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved October 1.

Starke County Bank. Knox, Indiana, in organization; offices: O; resources: 100 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Farmers Bank and Trust Company, Knox, Indiana; offices: 2; resources: 31.406 (\$000). Approved October 1.

Bank of Santa Fe, Alta Loma, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 12,855 (\$000); to merge with New Bank of Santa Fe. Alta Loma, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 50 (\$000). Approved October 17.

Houston United Bank, Houston, Texas; offices: 1; resources: $120.458(\$ 000)$; to merge with New Houston United Bank, Houston, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000). Approved October 21.

Halifax Bank, Los Angeles (P. O. Encino). California, in organization; offices: O; resources: 18,856 (\$000); to merge with and change title to Independence Bank, Los Angeles (P. O. Encino), California; offices: 10; resources: 159.901 (\$000). Approved October 24.

Guardian Bank of Houston, Houston, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 50.045 (\$000); to merge with New Guardian Bank of Houston. Houston, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved October 30.

Allied First Bank, Edna, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 75 (\$000); to merge with First Bank of Edna, Edna, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 28,300 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved October 30.

Security Bank and Trust Company. Wharton, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 37.178 (\$000); to merge with New Security Bank and Trust Company. Wharton. Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 75 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved November 6.

Ojai Valley State Bank. Ojai, California; offices: 1; resources: 6.484 (\$000); to merge with Ojai Merger Corporation, Los Angeles, California, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 1.537 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved November 6.

First Alabama Bank of Chilton County, Thorsby. Alabama, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $25(\$ 000)$; to merge with Chilton County Bank, Thorsby, Alabama; offices: 2; resources: 13.996 (\$000). Approved November 14.

Peachtree Bank and Trust Company, Chamblee, Georgia; offices: 9; resources: 135,174 (\$000); to merge with Peachtree Interim Company Inc., Chamblee, Georgia, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved November 21.

Penn Interim Bank, Warren, Pennsylvania, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 330 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with The Pennsylvania Bank \& Trust Company, Warren, Pennsylvania, and change title to Pennbank; offices: 23; resources: 576.471 ( $\$ 000$ ). Approved November 25.

Farmers State Bank \& Trust Company of Astoria, Astoria, Illinois, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $0(\$ 000)$; to purchase the assets and assume the deposit liabilities of Farmers State Bank of Astoria, Astoria, Illinois; offices: 1: resources: 12.0 (\$000). Approved November 25.

The Peoples Banking and Trust Company, Marietta, Ohio; offices: 7; resources: 135,901 (\$000); to merge with Peoples Interim Bank of Marietta. Marietta, Ohio, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved November 26.

Pacific Valley Bank, San Jose, California; offices: 6; resources: 102,894 (\$000); to merge with PVB Financial Corporation. San Jose, California, in organization; offices: 0; resources: $1(\$ 000)$. Approved December 1.

Northside State Bank. San Antonio, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 40.288 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with New Northside State Bank, San Antonio, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 200 (\$000). Approved December 1.

Northwestern State Bank, East Jordan, Michigan; offices: 8; resources: 59.539 (\$000); to consolidate with NW State Bank. East Jordan. Michigan, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 120 (\$000). Approved December 15.

Citizens Bank, Richardson, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 171.675 (\$000); to merge with New Citizens Bank, Richardson, Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 100 (\$000). Approved December 17.

Chas. Schreiner Bank, Kerrville, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 124.281; to merge with First Schreiner Bank, Kerrville. Texas, in organization; offices: 0; resources: 75 (\$000). Approved December 19.

White Rock Bank of Dallas, Dallas, Texas; offices: 1; resources: 48,012 ( $\$ 000$ ); to merge with New White Rock Bank, Dallas, Texas, in organization: offices: 0; resources: 0 (\$000). Approved December 19.

The Henry County Bank, Napoleon, Ohio; offices: 4; resources: 32,237 (\$000); to consolidate with New Napoleon Bank, Napoleon, Ohio, in organization: offices: 0; resources: 313 (\$000). Approved December 29.

## Bank Absorptions Denied by the Corporation

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars |  | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Pennsylvania Bank and Trust Company <br> Warren. Pennsylvania | 517.828 | 24 | 25 |  |
| Before |  |  |  |  |
| to merge with |  |  |  |  |
| The Farmers National Bank of Conneautville <br> Conneautville. Pennsylvania | 8.507 | 1 |  |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, May 1, 1979

The only county within which both Applicant and Bank operate offices at present is Crawford County ( 1970 population: 81,342 ), a rural county in northwestern Pennsylvania bordering the State of Ohio. The closest offices of Applicant and Bank are separated by a distance of ten miles. No offices of other banks intervene, although offices of the First National Bank of Albion (total deposits: $\$ 41.5$ million) and the First National Bank of Meadville (total deposits: \$384.1 million) are located at about the same distance along comparable roads. According to the application, Applicant draws a small amount of business from Bank's service area. It therefore appears that the proposed merger will eliminate some existing competition between Applicant and Bank.

Bank is the smallest of the six banks operating in Crawford County. In 1977 it held $2.4 \%$ of county deposits. Applicant, in contrast, is the largest bank in its seven-county legal branching area. Within Crawford County, Applicant holds $48.1 \%$ of county deposits; the merger, if consummated, will give it a county market share of over $50 \%$. The proposed merger, therefore, will add significantly to concentration in what is already a highly concentrated market.

The effect of the merger on actual competition is tempered somewhat by Bank's relatively small size. Nevertheless, a merger such as the one at issue, which promises to have a direct impact on actual competition, should be subject to question absent a finding that no less anticompetitive alternative exists. One option that does not appear to have been explored is sale of Bank to another purchaser with less of a presence in the market. The application states that numerous preliminary expressions of interest were received but does not indicate to what extent Bank's management followed up these inquiries.
The other competitive solution - de novo entry by Applicant into Conneautville through establishment of a branch facility there - appears unlikely. The small size of Bank, the low population of its environs (1970 population: 1.032) and the stagnant economy of the area all weigh against establishment of a second banking office there.

Overall, in our view, the proposed transaction would not have a significantly adverse competitive effect.

## Statement Upon Reconsideration, January 28, 1980

On July 1. 1979, the Corporation denied the application of The Pennsylvania Bank and Trust Company, Warren, Pennsylvania ("PennBank"), an insured State nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 517,828,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 421,656,000$, to merge with The Farmers National Bank of Conneautville, Conneautville, Pennsylvania ("Farmers"), which has total resources of $\$ 8,507,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 7,382,000$. Penn Bank and Farmers
subsequently petitioned the Corporation to reconsider its denial. The FDIC's Board of Directors, having reconsidered its earlier decision, affirms its denial with the following statement.

The Board of Directors concluded in its original decision that the proposed merger would, if consummated, (i) eliminate significant existing competition, (ii) increase the dominant bank's share of the market from 41.4 percent to 44.6 percent, (iii) reduce the number of banking alternatives in the relevant area from six to five, and (iv) foreclose the possibility that significant new competition could arise by eliminating a merger partner for a bank not represented in the market.

In seeking reconsideration of the Corporation's denial, the proponents claim that there has been a "...significant change in the competitive climate in the Conneautville area since the application was submitted, i.e., the application of the Northwest Pennsylvania Bank and Trust Company of Oil City. Pennsylvania (sic), for permission to branch de novo at a site less than three miles from Farmers. . ."* While the addition of a banking office in the area should tend to increase competition in the highly concentrated market, it cannot offset or justify the elimination of competition and increase in concentration that is attendant upon the proposed merger acquisition. "On the contrary, if concentration is already great, the importance of preventing even slight increases in concentration and in preserving the possibility of eventual deconcentration is correspondingly great." United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, 374 U.S. 321.365 n. 42 (1963).

The proponents also contend that the Corporation erred in its delineation of the relevant market area. This delineation was entirely consistent with applicable judicial precedents. The Supreme Court has recognized in banking cases that the relevant geographic market cannot be delineated with perfect accuracy. The Court has said, however, that it is important in determining the market to consider (i) the places from which a bank draws its business, (ii) the location of its offices and (iii) the area within which a bank customer who is neither very large nor very small can, as a practical matter, turn to do his banking business. See United States v. Philadelphia National Bank, 374 U.S. 321, 358-62 (1963). By way of amplification, the Court has stated that a "workable compromise must be found" and postulated a "fair intermediate delineation which avoids the indefensible extremes of drawing the market either so expansively as to make the effect of the merger upon competition seem insignificant, because only the very largest bank customers are taken into account in defining the market, or so narrowly as to place. . . (the banks in question) in different markets, because only the smallest customers are considered." Id. at 361. See also United States v. Phillipsburg National Bank, 399 U.S. 350, 361-64 (1970).

In the sparsely populated area surrounding Conneautville, where the use of one's automobile to drive significant distances is a normal routine, a customer, or potential customer, could be expected to drive as much as 15 miles for an alternative banking office. It would also not be uncommon for an individual to drive to the nearest population center, which is Meadville (approximately 19 road miles). The proponents feel that consideration of the competitive impact of the proposed merger should be limited to a very narrow geographic area consisting of Conneautville. Springboro and Albion, an area in which Penn Bank is not represented. This market consists of a strip of land on either side of

[^1]State Route 18 running from Conneautville north to Albion. To suggest that a bank's market extends only in one direction, along a particular highway does not appear to be very realistic. A resident of Conneautville looking for an alternative banking source has the option of going in several other directions, along roads of equal quality. (Also a banking office located in Conneautville does not have to limit its marketing to the area to the north.) As stated in the Basis for Corporation Denial, the closest existing commercial banking office to Farmers is a branch of Penn Bank located eight miles southwest. Penn Bank also operates offices to the south and east of Farmers, within 12 miles of Conneautville. A survey of Farmers' deposit and loan accounts, done by the proponents, shows that the majority of the bank's customers have Conneautville or Albion zip codes. While this does indicate that Farmers is serving this area to the north, it also indicates that it has not been very effective in competing to the west, south and east. This does not mean that the relevant markee located in Conneautville should be defined as the proponents suggest. As shown above, the standard for determining relevant market area is not only where a seller operates but also where a purchaser can practicably turn for alterThe fact that a bank does not have any customers in a particular portion of its market can mean, among other things, that its marketing efforts in that area are overshadowed by those of competing banks.

Moreover, data submitted by the applicants show that even in the very narrowly defined area. Penn Bank derives deposits which exceed 20 percent of the total deposit volume of Farmers. Thus, even if this narrowly defined local area were considered, Penn Banks share of the deposits would increase from 7.2 percent to 39.6 percent.

The proponents also suggest that if the market were to be extended, it should not only include Meadville but also Erie, Pennsylvania. It is highly unlikely that a dissatisfied bank customer would travel more than 30 miles to seek out a banking alternative. The city limit of Erie is approximately 35 miles from Conneautville. Moreover, data submitted by the proponents reveals that Penn Bank already holds 8.3 percent of the deposits held by all commercial banking offices even in this unrealistically large area. See United States v. Connecticut National Bank, 418 U.S. 656, 666-67 (1974).

The Request for Reconsideration argues that the Basis for Corporation Denial was also in error in stating that the area immediately surrounding Farmers does not make a very attractive location for de novo branching. This argument is based on the fact that the Northwest Pennsylvania Bank \& Trust Co. applied for a de novo branch in this area. The unattractiveness of the area was used, in the Basis, as a reason why increased future competition between the proponents would be hampered. If the area does indeed have potential for future growth, as the proponents suggest, then Penn Bank would be a logical candidate for establishing additional de novo branches in the area. The proposed merger would eliminate this potential for increased competition.

The Board of Directors does not feel that there has been a significant change in the competitive climate in the Conneautville area, nor have any new facts or information been presented to substantiate the claim that the market used in the Corporation's original denial was erroneous. It is therefore concluded that the proposed merger is not warranted and should be denied.

|  | Resources <br> (in thousands <br> of dollars) | Banking offices <br> in operation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Bank and Trust Company <br> Unadilla, Georgia | 18,304 | Before | After |
| to merge with <br> Bank of Pinehurst <br> Pinehurst, Georgia | 5.145 | 3 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

## Basis for Corporation Denial, March 31, 1980

State Bank and Trust Company, Unadilla, Georgia ("State Bank"), an insured State nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 18,304,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 14,857,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Bank of Pinehurst, Pinehurst, Georgia, which has total resources of $\$ 5,145,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 3,770,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the sole office of Bank of Pinehurst would be established as a branch of State Bank which would then operate with a total of three offices.

## Competition

State Bank is based in the town of Unadilla (1970 population 1.457 ) in northern Dooly County which is located in south central Georgia, approximately 40 road miles south of Macon and a similar distance east of Americus. A branch office in Byromville, located approximately 12 miles southwest of Unadilla, is also operated. The office was acquired as a consequence of a merger with the Bank of Byromville effected in 1976.* Bank of Pinehurst operates its sole office in the town of Pinehurst (1970 population 405) approximately 5 road miles south of Unadilla.

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is approximated by Dooly County (1970 population 10.404; estimated December, 1978 population 11,200 ). The county is primarily rural with an agricultural base. Principal crops include cotton, soybeans, peanuts, corn and pecans. The area experienced a severe drought in 1977-1978, depressing the local economy. In recent years, the economy has been supplemented, to some extent, by travelers on Interstate Route 75 (a major northsouth highway artery) who utilize local services at the Unadilla, Pinehurst and Vienna interchanges in Dooly County. The county's 1978 median effective household buying level of only $\$ 7.722$ contrasts with the comparable state figure of $\$ 14.513$ and compares unfavorably with neighboring areas.

Commercial banking in Dooly County is highly concentrated with only four commercial banks operating five offices. Principals holding stock and managerial control of State Bank have pursued an aggressive policy of acquisition, purchasing a stock interest in several other banking institutions in the county. The instant merger proposal is the latest in a series of such acquisitions. In 1973, stock control of Bank of Byromville, Byromville, was acquired. This bank was subsequently merged into Exchange Bank of Unadilla in 1976, after its president had been installed as chairman of the board of the smaller bank.

Stock control of Citizens Bank, Vienna (located approximately 13 miles south of Unadilla), formerly the county's largest commercial bank, was purchased in

[^2]1977. Principals of State Bank now dominate Citizens Bank's board of directors and State Bank's executive officer is presently serving as Citizens Bank's chairman of the board.* In August 1979, two principals of State Bank purchased 41.7 percent of the outstanding stock of Bank of Pinehurst and one of these principals is currently functioning in the capacity of executive officer of Bank of Pinehurst. The instant merger proposal would facilitate the acquisition of all of the outstanding shares of stock of Bank of Pinehurst and condone that bank's absorption into State Bank.

State Bank has made two attempts at de novo branching into the Vienna area, however, it has failed to establish such an office. This expansion effort had been formally opposed by officials of both Citizens Bank and Bank of Pinehurst and local residents. The subsequent acquisition of stock and managerial control of Citizens Bank, Vienna, by principals of State Bank has replaced the de novo branching effort of State Bank in this area as well as effectively eliminated the competition between the two banks. The present merger proposal would have a similar effect in the community of Pinehurst.

The fact that the head office of State Bank and Bank of Pinehurst are in close proximity and the service area of Bank of Pinehurst is wholly contained within the service area of State Bank indicates some degree of competition existed between them prior to the current situation. While it is recognized that Bank of Pinehurst may not be presently functioning as an effective competitor, if recapitalized, it could reasonably be expected to again offer significant competition to State Bank, absent the current affiliation. This potential would be foreclosed by consummation of the proposed merger and the anticompetitive effect of the present affiliation would be accorded permanence.

Bank of Dooly, Vienna, organized June 30, 1976, holding IPC deposits of only $\$ 5,304,000$, would become the only commercial banking entity remaining in Dooly County not controlled by principals of State Bank if this application is approved. Banks controlled by principals of State Bank presently hold 70.9 percent of the market's IPC deposit base, dominating local commercial banking. Absorption of Bank of Pinehurst, with its 11.2 percent market share, would increase such dominance; and, as a consequence, more than 82 percent of Dooly County's IPC commercial bank deposits would fall under common control.

The proposed merger transaction would substantially lessen competition and would appear to be in furtherance of an attempt to monopolize commercial banking in this local market.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Bank of Pinehurst is in a weakened financial condition and in need of additional capital and strong managerial direction. While the overall financial condition of State Bank, and of the affiliated Citizens Bank, is generally satisfactory, serious reservations are held as to the suitability of this management in resolving the problems facing Bank of Pinehurst. Of great concern to the Corporation is the structure of the merger proposal whereby a sizeable premium would be paid to acquire Bank of Pinehurst. This payment would result in a significant cash outflow and increased liability for State Bank, subordinating the interests of shareholdeers and depositors and sharply reducing the bank's capital base. The transaction would have a substantial negative impact upon the financial resources and future prospects of State Bank and would entail an unwarranted dissipation of the assets of an insured bank.

[^3]
## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served add some weight in favor of approval of the application, however, do not outweigh, in the public interest, the proposal's anticompetitive effect and the unfavorable findings relating to the factors of Financial and Managerial Resources and Future Prospects.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that the application should be, and hereby is, denied

|  | Resources (in thousands of dollars) | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| American Bank and Trust Co. of Pa. Reading. Pennsylvania | 1,757,450 | 65 | 69 |
| to merge with |  |  |  |
| The Schuylkill Haven Trust Company Schuylkill Haven. Pennsylvania | 29,415 | 4 |  |

## Summary report of Attorney General, March 18, 1980

Schuylkill County ( 1970 population 160,089 ) is located in eastern Pennsylvania. Pottsville (1970 population 19.715), the principal city and county seat, is located approximately in the center of the county. Schuylkill Haven (1970 population 6.125) is four miles south of Pottsville.

The closest offices of Applicant and Bank (Applicant's largest office in Schuylkill County, in Pottsville, and Bank's largest and main office, in Schuylkill Haven) are only six miles apart, and all four of Bank's offices are within ten miles of one of Applicant's Pottsville offices. There are no banking offices in the intervening area between Pottsville and Schuylkill Haven, though 3 banks in addition to Applicant operate 6 offices in Pottsville and 2 banks other than Bank operate 3 offices in Schuylkill Haven. A zip-code analysis presented in the application shows that Bank draws 87.8 percent of its IPC demand deposits from the same ten zip-code area from which Applicant draws 26 percent of the IPC demand deposits held by its 12 Schuylkill County offices. These figures indicate a substantial overlap in the service areas of Applicant and Bank. Consummation of the proposed merger would eliminate the significant amount of direct competition that currently exists between Applicant and Bank.

Commercial banking in Schuylkill County (an area which may overstate the relevant market) is concentrated. Of the 16 banks operating offices in the county, the three largest banks, in terms of deposits held in county bank offices as of June 30, 1979, held more than 70 percent of those deposits. Applicant, the largest bank in terms of local deposits, held $\$ 250.3$ million, and Bank, the sixth largest bank in terms of local deposits, held $\$ 25.8$ million, 32.3 percent and 3.3 percent, respectively, of local deposits. If the proposed merger is consummated, the resulting bank would control 35.6 percent of local deposits and concentration among the three largest banks in the county would increase from approximately 70 percent to approximately 73.6 percent.

Finally, under Pennsylvania Iaw, Applicant and Bank each could be permitted to establish additional de novo offices in Schuylkill County. Therefore, if consummated, the proposed merger would eliminate the potential for increased future competition between them.
Conclusion
We conclude that the proposed merger would have a significant adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Denial, April 8, 1980

American Bank and Trust Co. of Pa.. Reading. Pennsylvania ("American Bank"'), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 1,757,450,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 1,289,777,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's consent to merge, under its charter and title, with The Schuylkill Haven Trust Company. Schuylkill Haven. Pennsylvania ("SHTC"), which has total resources of $\$ 29,415,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 25,520,000$. Incident to the proposed transaction, the four offices of SHTC would be established as branches of the resultant bank.

## Competition

American Bank, headquartered in the city of Reading, operates 65 offices plus five EFT units in the seven counties of its legal branching area in southeastern Pennsylvania. American Bank has had an active history of merger activity, with six such acquisitions since 1964 involving banks headquartered in Schuylkill County. SHTC, based in the borough of Schuylkill Haven (1970 population 6,125), operates four offices in southern Schuylkill County, approximately 35 road miles northwest of Reading.

The relevant market in which the competitive impact of the proposed transaction would be most direct and immediate is regarded as the portion of southern Schuylkill County within a 12 -to 15 -road-mile radius of the community of Schuylkill Haven. Topographical features, to a large extent, serve to localize this area. Blue Mountain forms a substantial natural barrier to the south, with smaller ridges formed by Second and Sharp Mountains limiting access to the north and northwest, with the exception of a natural gap in these ridges between Schuylkill Haven and Pottsville. A multilane highway provides easy access through this gap to the city of Pottsville (1970 population 19.715). located approximately 5 road miles north of Schuylkill Haven. Pottsville, which serves as the county seat, and other nearby communities, provide the residents of the Schuylkill Haven area with convenient alternate sources of commercial banking services and retail needs.

American Bank operates two offices in the relevant market. One office (total deposits $\$ 55,457,000$ ), located in the business district of Pottsville, was acquired by merger with The Schuylkill Trust Company, in August 1964. The other office (total deposits $\$ 19,469,000$ ), established in 1975, is located at the Fairlane Village Mall, one of the area's largest shopping center complexes. SHTC, which operates three of its four offices in the immediate vicinity of the community of Schuylkill Haven, faces intense competition from these relatively large offices of American Bank, located only a few miles distant.

Data supplied by the proponents indicates that American Bank holds IPC demand and savings deposits of more than $\$ 60$ million (in over 15,000 accounts) in the Schuylkill Haven-Pottsville area (based upon Zip Code analysis as of May 30, 1979). While it may be argued that these deposits represent only a small portion of American Bank's customer base in Schuylkill County. American Bank's presence, both in the county and in this relevant local market, is substantial. This data, when coupled with consideration of the proximity of the proponents' offices and local commutation patterns, clearly indicates a significant volume of existing competition between the two banks. Such existing competition would be eliminated by consummation of the proposed transaction.

In the relevant market a total of eight commercial banks operate 25 banking offices. American Bank, holding an IPC deposit share of 20.7 percent, ranks as
the market's second largest commercial bank, in terms of local deposits held; SHTC, with a 7.4 percent share, ranks as the fifth largest. The merger of American Bank and SHTC would serve to increase this market share to more than 28 percent and increase the aggregate total IPC deposits held by the market's two largest banks to more than 65 percent. Such a consequence is regarded as adding unduly to the level of concentration of deposits and commercial banking resources in this local market.

The proponents have submitted data to indicate that, in Schuylkill County, the average distance traveled to and from regular branch offices by retail commercial bank customers is 3.25 miles, indicating that convenience of banking facilities is a prime motivating force in a (retail) customer's choice of a bank. The Corporation, assuming the general validity of this study, cannot, however, find that it refutes other factors that lead to the conclusion that the local Pottsville-Schuylkill Haven area comprises a relevant banking market. It is recognized that the factor of inconvenience localizes banking competition but, the fact remains, that banking offices in the nearby Pottsville area (including American Bank) constitute easily accessible alternate sources of commercial banking services for the residents of the Schuylkill Haven area. Consummation of the proposed merger would reduce this choice of alternatives.

The proponents contend that there exists, in the southern portion of Schuylkill County, a certain market segmentation whereby American Bank attracts large commercial customers while SHTC has a small retail-oriented customer base. In the application, the statement that "...we (American Bank) cannot attract the small retail customer...unless we have a physical facility conveniently located within the area" is offered in further support of the proposal. American Bank presently operates two relatively large offices in this area and possesses the resources necessary to effectively market its services to the small retail customer.

As Pennsylvania statutes permit de novo branch activity in a bank's home office county and into counties contiguous thereto, each proponent to this transaction has the potential to continue such expansion. While SHTC's relatively limited level of resources may preclude extensive geographic expansion efforts into distant areas, it does have the potential to continue to expand in the Schuylkill Haven-Pottsville area. American Bank, with its relatively large resource base and successful history of operation of a large branch network, is capable of additional de novo branching in southern Schuylkill County in an effort to seek the deposits of a larger number of retail customers. Schuylkill County, by some measures, has experienced a period of sluggish economic activity: however, the area has shown marked improvement in the past decade and has favorable prospects for future growth. There is, therefore, no statutory prohibition or compelling economic reason to prevent American Bank from expanding its activities in southern Schuylkill County, absent the instant merger proposal, either from its present offices in Pottsville or through establishment of additional de novo branches. Consummation of this transaction would foreclose this substantial potential for increased competition to develop between the proponents.

In summary, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would: (1) eliminate significant existing competition; (2) increase, unduly, the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in the relevant market; (3) eliminate a viable alternate source of banking services for the residents of the Schuylkill Haven area; and, (4) foreclose the potential for increased levels of competition to develop between the proponents.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The financial and managerial resources of both American Bank and SHTC are regarded as satisfactory. The resultant bank, or either institution operating independently, is regarded as having favorable future prospects. Considerations relating to these factors add no persuasive weight either for approval or for denial of the proposal.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

It is recognized that American Bank offers a more sophisticated, and wider range of commercial banking services than are presently available at SHTC. Such specialized services, for that relatively small segment of the banking public in need of them, are presently available in the Schuylkill Haven market at offices of American Bank and through offices of other commercial banks serving the local community. The proposed transaction would provide American Bank's existing customers in this area with four additional offices in convenient locations, but it would also eliminate SHTC from the market. Considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served add no support in favor of approval of the proposed transaction.

The Board of Directors, accordingly, believes that the application should be, and hereby is, denied.

## Statement Upon Reconsideration, July 14, 1980

On April 8, 1980, American Bank and Trust Co. of Pa., Reading, Pennsylvania ("American Bank"), an insured state nonmember bank, was denied the Corporation's prior approval to merge, under its charter and title, with The Schuylkill Haven Trust Company, Schuylkill Haven, Pennsylvania ("SHTC"). The proponents subsequently petitioned the Corporation to reconsider its denial. The Corporation's Board of Directors, having reconsidered its earlier decision, affirms its denial with the following statement.

The Board of Directors concluded in its earlier decision that the proposed transaction would: (1) eliminate significant existing competition; (2) increase, unduly, the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in the relevant market; (3) eliminate a viable alternate source of banking services for the residents of the Schuylkill Haven area; and, (4) foreclose the potential for increased levels of competition to develop between the proponents. On the basis of the foregoing, the Board of Directors was of the opinion that the proposed merger would "substantially lessen competition" within the relevant market.

In seeking reconsideration, the proponents have reiterated their contention that the two banks are not in direct competition, and have submitted additional data indicating the origin of their respective deposit bases in Schuylkill County. The service area of SHTC is held by the proponents to be approximated by an elliptical area drawn south of and excluding the city of Pottsville where American Bank is represented by two, relatively sizable, offices. As with its analysis of the original application, the Corporation is of the opinion that the city of Pottsville, located only 5 road miles north of Schuylkill Haven, is easily accessible, and that the relatively large banking offices located therein represent reasonable alternative sources of commercial banking services for residents of the Schuylkill Haven area. For these reasons, banking offices serving Pottsville, including the two offices of American Bank, should be included in the relevant banking market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposal. Moreover, American Bank holds a substantial volume of deposits from the Schuylkill Haven area (approximating 74 percent of the total deposit volume of SHTC), and clearly must be regarded as a șignificant competitive force in this market.

The proponents also contended that the Corporation: should not have used "commercial banking" as the relevant line of commerce; should have determined that the merger would have a procompetitive effect; and should have determined that any anticompetitive effects of the merger would be outweighed by considerations under the "convenience and needs factor." The Corporation finds that these contentions are not supportable on the facts of record, considered in the light of the applicable judicial precedents.*

The Corporation adheres to its original conclusion and finds nothing in the record to cast doubt on the initial determination that consummation of the proposed transaction would have substantially anticompetitive effects which would not be outweighed, in the public interest, by considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served. The Board of Directors again concludes that approval of the proposed merger of American Bank and SHTC is not warranted and should be denied.
*The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency denied the application on December 1, 1977, having found that Peoples Bank's financial and managerial resources were inadequate to support the additional expansion plan as proposed. The Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation denied the subsequent application on October 5, 1978, based upon the unfavorable resolution of factors relating to Financial and Managerial Resources, and Future Prospects.

|  | Resources (in thousand of doliars | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| First Peoples Bank of New Jersey Haddon Township (P.O. Westmont), New Jersey | 952.819 | 48 | 53 |
| to merge with <br> The Mainland Bank Linwood, New Jersey | 35,050 | 5 |  |

## Summary report by Attorney General, no report received.

We have reviewed this proposed transaction and conclude that it would not have a substantial competitive impact.

## Basis for Corporation Denial, May 5, 1980

Pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, an application has been filed on behalf of First Peoples Bank of New Jersey, Haddon Township (P. O. Westmont), New Jersey ("Peoples Bank"), an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of \$952,819,000 and total IPC deposits of $\$ 750,221,000$, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under the charter and title of Peoples Bank, with The Mainland Bank, Linwood. New Jersey, also an insured state nonmember bank, which has total resources of $\$ 35,050,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 26,823,000$. Incident to the transaction, the five offices of The Mainland Bank would be established as branches of Peoples Bank.

Peoples Bank was chartered in 1921 and operated as a national bank until March 21, 1979 when it converted to a state-chartered insured nonmember bank and withdrew from the Federal Reserve System. It presently operates 48 offices in eight counties of southern New Jersey and has experienced rapid growth, some of which can be attributed to the fact that it has consummated a total of seven merger transactions since late 1969.

The Mainland Bank was organized in 1972, and it operates a total of five offices in the eastern portion of Atlantic County in the coastal southeastern portion of New Jersey.

An application to acquire The Mainland Bank was initially submitted to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency in 1977 when Peoples Bank was under its jurisdiction and later, in 1978, to the Corporation in substantially the same form after the bank's conversion to a state charter. Both of these applications, each of which was structured as a purchase and assumption transaction involving a cash outflow from the resultant bank, were denied.

## Competition

The area in which the competitive impact of the proposed merger would be most direct and immediate is regarded as the trade area presently served by The Mainland Bank which includes the eastern portion of Atlantic County, New Jersey, excluding those communities which have developed along the barrier islands and separated from the mainland by a continuous series of bays, rivers, and inlets. A total of seven commercial banks operate 26 offices in the market with The Mainland Bank holding 13.0 percent of this area's IPC deposit base. The Mainland Bank ranks as the market's fourth largest bank, and holds a declining market share significantly smaller than the 40.8 percent share held by First National Bank of South Jersey which operates nine offices in and dominates this local market.

Peoples Bank is not represented in this relevant market as it operates only a single office in Atlantic County at Hammonton, located approximately 13 miles north of The Mainland Bank's Weymouth Branch. The proponents' nearest offices are located approximately seven air miles apart, in separate counties without easy access by road and are not regarded as being in direct competition to any significant degree. Peoples Bank's recent acquisition and expansion activity in adjoining Cape May County to the south has little impact upon this local market as the proponents' offices in this direction are approximately 12 miles apart. In each of these directions, intervening offices of other banks are present, and there is no evidence of any significant direct competition between the proponents.

New Jersey statutes permit statewide de novo branching activity, subject to certain home office protection provisions. The advent of legalized casino gambling and the potential economic renaissance in nearby Atlantic City is anticipated to have a favorable economic impact throughout much of the service area of The Mainland Bank. This area is regarded as a prime potential market for de novo expansion by not only Peoples Bank, but by a number of other commercial banking organizations in the state. The number of potential entrants to the market seems to assure the continuation of a competitive banking climate. Elimination of the potential for future competition between the proponents is not viewed as having a significant adverse impact.

Under these circumstances, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would not, in any section of the country, substantially lessen competition, tend to create a monopoly, or in any other manner be in restraint of trade.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

The Mainland Bank has recently been recapitalized, and its financial and managerial resources are considerably improved. While additions to the capital structure of Peoples Bank have been made, the overall level and composition of the bank's capital base remain of concern to the Corporation. The bank's capitalization is heavily skewed toward subordinated debt and preferred stock,
and its equity capital has not kept pace with growth. Recent efforts to augment capital have clearly fallen short of permanently resolving this chronic situation, and the Corporation is of the opinion that any acquisition plans should be held in abeyance until such time as the bank's equity capital level is significantly improved.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Peoples Bank would be able to offer a wider range of commercial banking services at offices of The Mainland Bank than have been available, however, such specialized banking services are already offered in the local market by other relatively large banking organizations serving the area. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served do not, in the public interest, outweigh the negative aspects of the proposal.

Based on the foregoing, the Board of Directors has concluded that the application should be, and hereby is, denied.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Resources } \\ & \text { (in thousands } \\ & \text { of dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Banking offices in operation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Before | After |
| Fulton Bank <br> Harrisburg. Pennsylvania to merge with | 450,048 | 20 | 23 |
| The Denver National Bank Denver, Pennsylvania | 57,897 | 3 |  |

Summary report by Attorney General, September 17, 1980
Lancaster County (1970 population 320,000) is located in eastern Pennsylvania. According to the Application, the county is the home of the Pennsylvania Dutch, is one of the top 20 farm markets in the country and ranks among the top 10 tourist attractions in the United States. It is also the Lancaster, Pennsylvania, SMSA and is considered by the Federal Reserve Bank in Philadelphia to be a banking market. Lancaster (1970 population 58,000 ), the principal city and county seat, is located approximately in the center of the county. Denver (1970 population 2,250 ) is approximately 18 miles north of Lancaster and approximately 17 miles south of Reading in Berks County.

The closest offices of Applicant and Bank (Applicant's office in Akron and Bank's main office in Denver) are only 7.8 miles apart. There are eight banking offices in the area between Akron and Denver, including seven offices controlled by three banks in Ephrata, where Applicant has been authorized to open a branch. According to the Application. Applicant draws a significant amount of business from Bank's primary service area as defined in the Application. Thus, it draws $\$ 7.0$ million in deposits and $\$ 6.9$ million in loans from that area, 1.8 percent of its total deposits and 2.3 percent of its net loans. Applicant's deposit and loan draw from Bank's primary service area, moreover, equal 14.1 percent of Bank's total deposits and 19.1 percent of its net loans. It therefore appears that the proposed merger would eliminate a significant amount of existing competition between Applicant and Bank.

Commercial banking in Lancaster County is concentrated. Of the 16 banks operating offices there, the four largest banking organizations, in terms of deposits held in county bank offices, held 66 percent of those deposits. Applicant is the second largest and Bank is the tenth largest banking organization in the county, controlling, respectively, 16.2 percent and 2.5 percent of local
deposits. If the proposed merger is consummated, the resulting bank would control 18.7 percent of local deposits and concentration among the four largest banks in the county would increase from 66 percent to 68.5 percent.*

Under Pennsylvania Iaw. Applicant and Bank each could be permitted to establish additional de novo offices in Lancaster County. Indeed, Applicant has already received authority to open a branching office in Ephrata, 6.6 miles from Banks home office. Applicant's proposed branch in Ephrata appears consistent with its branching history which has exhibited a tendency to branch north from the city of Lancaster toward Denver and Reading, 17 miles beyond. Therefore, if consummated, the proposed merger would eliminate the potential for increased future competition between Applicant and Bank.

We conclude that the proposed merger would have a significant adverse effect on competition.

## Basis for Corporation Denial, September 29, 1980

Fulton Bank, Harrisburg. Pennsylvania, an insured state nonmember bank with total resources of $\$ 450,048,000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 343,822,000$, has applied, pursuant to Section 18(c) and other provisions of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, for the Corporation's prior consent to merge, under its charter and title, with The Denver National Bank, Denver, Pennsylvania ("Denver National"), with total resources of $\$ 57.897 .000$ and total IPC deposits of $\$ 46,721,000$. Incident to the transaction, the three offices of Denver National would be established as branches of the resultant bank, which would then have with a total of 25 full-service approved offices.

## Competition

Fulton Bank recently relocated its main office to the city of Harrisburg in Dauphin County. Prior to July 1980, Fulton Bank was headquartered in Lancaster in Lancaster County. In addition to its main office, Fulton Bank operates four other offices in Dauphin County. In the northern half of Lancaster County. Fulton Bank operates 15 full-service branches and one remote service facility, and has received approval to establish two other branches. Lancaster and Dauphin Counties are located in southeastern Pennsylvania. Denver National, headquartered in Denver, operates one branch each in Adamstown and Reinholds. All three offices are located in northern Lancaster County near the Berks and Lebanon County borders.

The relevant market in which to assess the competitive impact of the proposed transaction is regarded as that area within a 15 -mile radius of Denver and extending as far as Reading to the northeast and as far as Lancaster to the southwest. The area, which had an estimated 1970 population of 369.838 , encompasses northern Lancaster County, adjoining portions of southeastern Lebanon County and southwestern Berks County. The economy of the area includes industry, agriculture and tourism.

Both proponents are represented in the relevant market with Fulton Bank presently operating 12 offices there. Indeed. Denver National's trade area is largely contained within Fulton Bank's trade area. The closest existing office of Fulton Bank to Denver National is located in Akron, only eight miles southwest of Denver. In addition, Fulton Bank has received approval to establish a branch in Ephrata, approximately seven miles south of Denver. In this context it appears that there is substantial competition existing between these two aggressive banks which would be eliminated by their merger.

[^4]Eighteen commercial banks, with 119 offices, serve the relevant market and aggregately control total IPC deposits of \$2,363,412,000, as of June 30, 1979. The two largest banks in the market, American Bank and Trust Co. of Pa., Reading, and Hamilton Bank, Lancaster, together control over 52 percent of such deposits. Ranking fourth, Fulton Bank presently holds a 9.4 percent share of the total IPC deposits in the market. After the acquisition of Denver National, which would add 1.9 percent to that share, Fulton Bank would rank third. The three largest banks in the market would then hold nearly 64 percent of the IPC deposits. The proposal would thus increase the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in an already highly concentrated market.

Pennsylvania statutes permit branching in a bank's home office county and any county contiguous thereto. Both Fulton Bank and Denver National have the financial and managerial resources to branch de novo into areas served by the other, and have demonstrated their ability to do so. Thus the proposed merger would result in the loss of this potential for competition to intensify between the proponents through de novo expansion.

In summary, the Board of Directors is of the opinion that the proposed transaction would have a substantially adverse effect on competition in that it would: (1) eliminate significant existing and potential competition; (2) increase the level of concentration of commercial banking resources in the relevant market; and, (3) eliminate a viable alternate source of banking services, without offsetting benefits, for the residents of the Denver market.

## Financial and Managerial Resources; Future Prospects

Fulton Bank and Denver National have satisfactory financial and managerial resources, and the resultant bank would have favorable future prospects. These factors add no weight in favor of approval.

## Convenience and Needs of the Community to be Served

Since Fulton Bank is already represented at numerous locations within the market, there would be no change in services offered for the market as a whole. Moreover, approval would result in a lessening of the commercial banking alternatives available in this market.

The Board of Directors, accordingly, believes that the application should be, and hereby is denied.

## PART FIVE <br> STATISTICS OF BANKS AND DEPOSIT INSURANCE

## NUMBER OF BANKS AND BRANCHES

Table 101. Changes in number and classification of banks and branches in the United States (States and other areas) during 1980
Table 102. Changes in number of commercial banks and branches in the United States (States and other areas) during 1980, by State
Table 103. Numbr of banking offices in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980 Banks grouped by insurance status and class of bank, and by State or area and type of office
Table 104. Number and assets of all commercial and mutual savings banks in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980

Banks grouped by class and asset size
Table 105. Number and domestic and foreign assets and deposits of all commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980

Banks grouped by asset size and State

Banks: Commercial banks include the following categories of banking institutions:

National banks;
Incorporated State banks, trust companies, and bank and trust companies regularly engaged in the business of receiving deposits, whether demand or time, except mutual savings banks;

Stock savings banks, including guaranty savings banks in New Hampshire;
Industrial and Morris Plan banks which operate under general banking codes, or are specifically authorized by law to accept deposits and in practice do so, or the obligations of which are regarded as deposits for deposit insurance.;

A regulated certificated bank in Georgia; government-operated banks in North Dakota and Puerto Rico; a savings institution, known as a "trust company," operating under special charter in Texas; the Savings Banks Trust Company in New York; the Savings Bank and Trust Company Northwest Washington in the State of Washington; and branches of foreign banks engaged in a general deposit business;

Private banks under State supervision, and such other private banks as are reported by reliable unofficial sources to be engaged in deposit banking.

Nondeposit trust companies include institutions operating under trust company charters which are not regularly engaged in deposit banking but are engaged in fiduciary business other than that incidental to real estate title or investment activities.

Mutual savings banks include all banks operating under State banking codes applying to mutual saving banks.

Institutions excluded. Institutions in the following categories are excluded, though such institutions may perform many of the same functions as commercial and savings banks:

Banks that have suspended operations or have ceased to accept new
deposits and are proceeding to liquidate their assets and pay off existing deposits;
Building and loan associations, savings and loan associations, credit unions, personal loan companies, and similar institutions, chartered under laws applying to such institutions or under general incorporation laws, regardless of whether such institutions are authorized to accept deposits from the public or from their members and regardless of whether such institutions are called "banks" (a few institutions accepting deposits under powers granted in special charters are included);

Morris Plan companies, industrial banks, loan and investment companies, and similar institutions except those mentioned in the description of institutions included;
Domestic agencies of foreign banks;
Institutions chartered under banking or trust company laws, but operating as investment or title insurance companies and not engaged in deposit banking or fiduciary activities;
Federal Reserve Banks and other banks, such as the Federal Home Loan Banks and the Savings and Loan Bank of the State of New York, which operate as rediscount banks and do not accept deposits except from financial institutions.
Branches: Branches include all offices of a bank other than its head office, at which deposits are received, checks paid, or money lent. Banking facilities separate from a banking house, banking facilities at government establishments, offices, agencies, paying or receiving stations, drive-in facilities, and other facilities operated for limited purposes are defined as branches under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, section 3(o), regardless of the fact that in certain States, including several that prohibit the operation of branches, such limited facilities are not considered branches within the meaning of State law.

Table 101. CHANGES IN NUMBER AND CLASSIFICATION OF BANKS AND BRANCHES IN THE UNITED STATES
(STATES AND OTHER AREAS) DURING 1980

| Type of change | All banks |  |  | Commercial banks and nondeposit trust companies |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mutual savings banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Insured | Non. insured | Total | Insured Total | Insured |  |  | Noninsured |  | Total | Insured | Noninsured |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Members F.R. System |  | Not members F.R. System | Banksof deposit ${ }^{1}$ | Nondeposit trust companies ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | National | State |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALL BANKING OFFICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of offices, December 31, 1980 | 57,232 | 56,237 | 995 | 53,649 | 53,171 | 24,217 | 5,768 | 23,186 | 359 | 119 | 3,583 | 3,066 | 517 |
| Number of offices, December 31, 1979 | 54,926 | 53,994 | 932 | 51,588 | 51,154 | 23,304 | 5,857 | 21,993 | 331 | 103 | 3,338 | 2,840 | 498 |
| Net change during year | +2,306 | +2,243 | +63 | +2,061 | +2,017 | +913 | -89 | +1,193 | +28 | +16 | +245 | +226 | +19 |
| Offices opened. | 2.800 | 2,708 | 92 | 2.498 | 2.433 | 1,065 | 247 | 1.121 | 48 | 17 | 302 | 275 | 27 |
| Banks.. | 271 | 206 | 65 | 270 | 205 | 61 | 28 | 116 | 48 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Branches | 2,529 | 2,502 | 27 | 2.228 | 2.228 | 1.004 | 219 | 1.005 | 0 | 0 | 301 | 274 | 27 |
| Offices closed | 494 | 472 | 22 | 437 | 417 | 201 | 64 | 152 | 19 | 1 | 57 | 55 | 2 |
| Banks.. | 142 | 138 | 4 | 138 | 135 | 44 | 16 | 75 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Branches | 352 | 334 | 18 | 299 | 282 | 157 | 48 | 77 | 17 | 0 | 53 | 52 | 1 |
| Changes in classification | 0 | +7 | -7 | 0 | +1 | +49 | -272 | +224 | -1 | 0 | 0 | +6 | -6 |
| Among banks . . | 0 | +2 | -2 | 0 | +1 | -40 | +8 | +33 | -1 | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 |
| Among branches . | 0 | +5 | -5 | 0 | 0 | +89 | -280 | +191 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +5 | -5 |
| BANKS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks, December 31, 1980 | 15,330 | 14,758 | 572 | 14,870 | 14,435 | 4,425 | 997 | 9,013 | 328 | 107 | 460 | 323 | 137 |
| Number of banks, December 31, 1979 | 15,201 | 14,688 | 513 | 14,738 | 14,364 | 4,448 | 977 | 8,939 | 283 | 91 | 463 | 324 | 139 |
| Net changes during year . | +129 | +70 | +59 | +132 | +71 | -23 | +20 | +74 | +45 | +16 | -3 | -1 | -2 |
| Banks beginning operation | 271 | 206 | 65 | 270 | 205 | 61 | 28 | 116 | 48 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| New banks . . . . . . . . | 242 | 206 | 36 | 241 | 205 | 61 | 28 | 116 | 29 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Banks added to count ${ }^{4}$ | 28 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Financial institution becoming bank of deposit | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Banks ceasing operation. | -142 | $-138$ | -4 | -138 | -135 | -44 | $-16$ | -75 | -2 | -1 | -4 |  |  |
| Absorptions, consolidations, and mergers | $-136$ | $-132$ | -4 | -135 | -132 | -43 | -16 | -73 | -2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| Closed because of financial difficulties.. | -3 | -3 | 0 | -3 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other liquidations . . . . . . . . . . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Discontinued deposit operations | -3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | $-36$ | 0 |
| Banks deleted from count... | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Noninsured banks becoming insured | 0 | +2 | -25 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | +1 | -1 |

Other changes in classification
National succeeding State bank
State succeeding national bank.........
Admission of insured bank to F.R. System
Withdrawal from F.R. System with continued insurance
Bank of deposit succeeding nondeposit trust company (noninsured)
Insured bank becoming a noninsured bank
Mutual savings bank converted to commercial bank $\qquad$

## Changes not involving number in any class

Change in title
Change in location
Change in
Absorption of noninsured, nondeposit financial institution by .....................
Change in location within city ..................



Changes in corporate powers
Granted trust powers .
To operate under general banking laws

## BRANCHES

## Number of branches, December 31, 1980



Branches opened for business. Facilities designated by Treasury
Absorbed bank converted to branch
Absorbed bank converted to branch and relocated
Branch replacing head office relocated
New branches.
Branches and/or facilities added to count ${ }^{4}$

## Branches discontinued

Facilities designated by Treasury
Branches
Branches and/or facilities deleted from count

## Other changes in classification

$\qquad$
Branches changing class as a result of conversion
Branches transferred through absorption, consolidation, or merger
Branches of insured bank withdrawing from F.R.S

## Changes not involving number in any class

Changes in operating powers of branches
Branches transferred through absorption, consolidation, or merge
Changes in title, location, or name of location

Table 102. CHANGES IN NUMBER OF COMMERCIAL BANKS AND BRANCHES IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS) DURING 1980, BY STATE

| State | In operation |  |  |  | Net change during 1980 |  | Beginning operation in 1980 |  |  |  | Ceasing operation in 1980 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31, 1980 |  | Dec. 31, 1979 |  |  |  | Banks |  | Branches |  | Banks |  | Branches |  |
|  | Banks | Branches | Banks | Branches | Banks | Branches | New | Other | New | Other | Absorptions | Other | Branches | Other |
| Total United States | 14,870 | 38,779 | 14,738 | 36,850 | +132 | +1,929 | 241 | 29 | 2,059 | 169 | 135 | 3 | 210 | 89 |
| 50 States and D.C. | 14,836 | 38,497 | 14,708 | 36,570 | +128 | +1,927 | 241 | 24 | 2,050 | 168 | 134 | 3 | 210 | 81 |
| Other areas . | 34 | 282 | 30 | 280 | +4 | +2 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| States |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama | 318 | 624 | 317 | 578 | +1 | +46 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alaska .. | 12 35 | 113 537 | 12 | 109 505 | NA | +4 +32 | 0 | 0 | 6 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 0 |
| Arizona. | $\begin{array}{r}35 \\ \\ \hline 65\end{array}$ | 537 | 27 261 | 505 393 | +8 +1 | +32 +22 | 3 1 | 5 0 | 33 21 | 0 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Arkansas. | 262 | 415 | 261 | 393 | +1 +40 | +22 +198 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 1 12 | 0 8 | 0 | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| California . | 297 | 4,288 | 257 | 4,090 | +40 | +198 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colorado ... | 442 | 111 | 410 | 97 | +32 | +14 | 33 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Connecticut . | 64 | 603 | 65 | 593 | -1 | +10 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delaware.. | 20 | 148 | 20 | 147 | NA | +1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| District of Columbia | 18 | 151 | 17 | 140 | +1 | +11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Floridal . . . . . . . . | 565 | 1,184 | 585 | 945 | -20 | +239 | 5 | 0 | 221 | 24 | 24 | 1 | 5 | 1 |
| Georgia | 435 | 876 | 439 | 829 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hawaii. | 12 | 165 | 12 | 163 | NA | $+2$ | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Idaho........... | 26 | 247 | 27 | 236 | -1 | +11 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Illinois . . . . . . . | 1.292 | 534 | 1,288 | 452 | +4 | +82 | 6 | 0 | 83 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Indiana | 407 | 1,129 | 406 | 1,082 | +1 | +47 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| lowa | 657 | 572 | 657 | 538 | 0 | +34 | 1 | 0 | 31 | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Kansas | 620 | 272 | 617 | 254 | +3 | +18 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 |
| Kentucky | 345 | 761 | 343 | 697 | +2 | +64 | 2 | 0 | 65 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 269 | 805 | 262 | 764 | +7 | +41 | 7 | 0 | 42 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Maine . | 41 | 308 | 41 | 299 | NA | +9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Maryland ....... | 102 | 942 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 | 42 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Massachusetts | 144 | 953 | 149 | 936 | -5 | +17 +159 | 0 | 0 | 19 180 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 2 |
| Michigan ${ }^{\text {I }}$. . | 376 | 2,159 | 372 | 2,000 | +4 | +159 | 6 | 0 | 180 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 764 | 273 | 762 | 227 | +2 | +46 | 2 | 1 | 48 28 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Mississippi. . . . . | 178 | 697 | 183 | 666 | -5 | +31 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 6 | 5 | 0 |  | 1 |

Digitized for FRASER
http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis


11979 branch total adjusted.
"Branches in the Canal Zone reclassified during 1980 from "domestic" to "foreign" effective October 1, 1979. NA - No activity.

Table 103. NUMBER OF BANKING OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS) DECEMBER 31, 1980 BANKS GROUPED ACCORDING TO INSURANCE STATUS AND CLASS OF BANK, AND BY STATE OR AREA AND TYPE OF OFFICE

| State and type of bank or office | All banks |  |  | Commercial banks and nondeposit trust companies |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mutual savings banks |  |  | Percentage insured 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Insured | Noninsured | Total | Insured |  |  |  | Noninsured |  | Total | Insured | Noninsured | All banks of deposit | Commercial banks of deposit | Mutual savings banks |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | Members F.R. System |  | Non- <br> mem- <br> bers <br> F.R. <br> Sys- <br> tem | Banks of deposit ${ }_{9}^{2}$ | Nondeposit trust com. panies ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Na tional | State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| United States-all offices | 57,232 | 56,237 | 995 | 53,649 | 53,171 | 24,217 | 5,768 | 23,186 | 359 | 119 | 3,583 | 3,066 | 517 | 98.3 | 99.1 | 85.6 |
| Banks ............. | 15,330 | 14,758 | 572 | 14,870 | 14,435 | 4,425 | 997 | 9.013 | 328 | 107 | 460 | 323 | 137 | 96.3 | 97.1 | 70.2 |
| Unit banks | 8,064 | 7.620 | 444 | 8,012 | 7.593 | 2,097 | 495 | 5.001 | 320 | 99 | 52 | 27 | 25 | 94.5 | 94.8 | 51.9 |
| Banks operating branches | 7,266 | 7.138 | 128 | 6,858 | 6,842 | 2,328 | 502 | 4,012 | 8 | 8 | 408 | 296 | 112 | 98.2 | 99.8 | 72.5 |
| Branches ${ }^{3}$.............. | 41,902 | 41,479 | 423 | 38,779 | 38,736 | 19,792 | 4.771 | 14.173 | 31 | 12 | 3,123 | 2.743 | 380 | 99.0 | 99.9 | 87.8 |
| 50 States \& D.C.-all offices | 56,916 | 55,946 | 970 | 53,333 | 52,880 | 24,163 | 5.768 | 22,949 | 335 | 118 | 3,583 | 3,066 |  | 98.3 | 99.2 |  |
| Banks ................. | 15,296 | 14.745 | 551 | 14,836 | 14.422 | 4.425 | 997 | 9,000 | 308 | 106 | 460 | 323 | $137$ | 96.4 | 97.2 | 70.2 |
| Unit banks .. | 8.042 | 7,618 | 424 | 7.990 | 7.591 | 2,097 | 495 | 4.999 | 301 | 98 | 52 | 27 | 25 | 94.7 | 95.0 | 51.9 |
| Banks operating branches | 7.254 | 7.127 | 127 | 6,846 | 6,831 | 2,328 | 502 | 4.001 | 7 | 8 | 408 | 296 | 112 | 98.2 | 99.8 | 72.5 |
| Branches ${ }^{3}$.............. | 41,620 | 41,201 | 419 | 38,497 | 38,458 | 19,738 | 4,771 | 13.949 | 27 | 12 | 3.123 | 2.743 | 380 | 99.0 | 99.9 |  |
| Other Areas-all offices | 316 | 291 | 25 | 316 | 291 | 54 | 0 | 237 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92.1 | 92.1 | 0.0 |
| Banks .... | 34 | 13 | 21 | 34 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.2 | 38.2 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 22 | 2 | 20 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | I | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9.1 | 9.1 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 12 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91.7 | 91.7 | 0.0 |
| Branches ${ }^{3}$. | 282 | 278 | 4 | 282 | 278 | 54 | 0 | 224 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.6 | 98.6 | 0.0 |
| State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alabama-all offices Banks | 942 318 | 942 318 | 0 | 942 318 | 942 318 | 477 99 | 53 24 | 412 195 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 100.0 | 100.0 100.0 | 0.0 0.0 |
| Unit banks ... | 135 | 135 | 0 | 135 | 135 | 30 | 13 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 183 | 183 | 0 | 183 | 183 | 69 | 11 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches ................. | 624 | 624 | 0 | 624 | 624 | 378 | 29 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Alaska-all offices | 130 | 130 | 0 | 125 | 125 | 84 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks | 14 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 1 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 12 | 12 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches . | 116 | 116 | 0 | 113 | 113 | 79 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Arizona-all offices. | 572 | 561 | 11 | 572 | 561 | 358 | 0 |  | O | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 0.0 |
| Banks ......... | 35 | 24 | 11 | 35 | 24 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68.6 | 68.6 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 21 | 10 | 11 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 14 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches | 537 | 537 | 0 | 537 | 537 | 355 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |



Table 103. NUMBER OF BANKING OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS)
DECEMBER 31, 1980-CONTINUED
BANKS GROUPED ACCORDING TO INSURANCE STATUS AND CLASS OF BANK, AND BY STATE OR AREA AND TYPE OF OFFICE


| Louisiana-all offices | 1.074 | 1,074 | 0 | 1.074 | 1,074 | 362 | 51 | 661 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Banks | 269 | 269 | 0 | 269 | 269 | 53 | 6 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 73 | 73 | 0 | 13 | 73 | 12 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 196 | 196 | 0 | 196 | 196 | 41 | 5 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches ............... | 805 | 805 | 0 | 805 | 805 | 309 | 45 | 451 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Maine-all offices | 478 | 478 | 0 | 349 | 349 | 126 | 42 | 181 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 129 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks | 70 | 70 | 0 | 41 | 41 | 14 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 5 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 65 | 65 | 0 | 38 | 38 | 13 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches | 408 | 408 | 0 | 308 | 308 | 112 | 39 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Maryland-all offices | 1,109 | 1,109 | 0 | 1.044 | 1,044 | 411 | 106 | 527 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks ......... | 105 | 105 | 0 | 102 | 102 | 31 | 5 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 15 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 90 | 90 | 0 | 87 | 87 | 29 | 5 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches ............... | 1.004 | 1.004 | 0 | 942 | 942 | 380 | 101 | 461 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Massachusetts-all offices | 1,764 | 1,240 | 524 | 1.097 | 1,090 | 498 | 75 | 517 | 6 | 1 | 667 | 150 | 517 | 70.3 | 99.4 |
| Banks .............. | 306 | 163 | 143 | 144 | 138 | 70 | 7 | 61 | 5 | 1 | 162 | 25 | 137 | 53.3 | 95.8 |
| Unit banks | 45 | 14 | 31 | 19 | 13 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 26 | $t$ | 25 | 31.1 | 68.4 |
| Banks operating branches | 261 | 149 | 112 | 125 | 125 | 64 | 7 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 24 | 112 | 57.1 | 100.0 |
| Branches ${ }^{3}$............. | 1,458 | 1.077 | 381 | 953 | 952 | 428 | 68 | 456 | 1 | 0 | 505 | 125 | 380 | 73.9 | 99.9 |
| Michigan-all offices | 2,535 | 2.532 | 3 | 2.535 | 2.532 | 1.185 | 609 | 738 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| Banks .......... | 2, 376 | - 375 | 1 | 376 | ${ }^{2} .535$ | 126 | 80 | 169 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| Unit banks | 64 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 64 | 13 | 13 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 312 | 311 | 1 | 312 | 311 | 113 | 67 | 131 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.7 | 99.7 |
| Branches .... | 2,159 | 2.157 | 2 | 2.159 | 2.157 | 1,059 | 529 | 569 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| Minnesota-all offices. |  |  |  |  |  | 341 | 39 | 653 | 1 |  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 99.6 | 99.6 |
| Banks | 765 | 761 | 4 | . 764 | , 760 | 204 | 33 | 523 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| Unit banks | 563 | 559 | 4 | 563 | 559 | 120 | 27 | 412 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.3 | 99.3 |
| Banks operating branches | 202 | 202 | 0 | 201 | 201 | 84 | 6 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches . . . . . . . . . . | 275 | 275 | 0 | 273 | 273 | 137 | 6 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mississippi-all offices | 875 | 874 | 1 | 875 | 874 | 310 | 21 | 543 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.9 | 99.9 |
| Banks | 178 | 177 | 1 | 178 | 177 | 37 | 5 | 135 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.4 | 99.9 99.4 |
| Unit banks | 32 | 31 | 1 | 32 | 31 | 2 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96.9 | 96.9 |
| Banks operating branches | 146 | 146 | 0 | 146 | 146 | 35 | 4 | 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches ................ | 697 | 697 | 0 | 697 | 697 | 273 | 16 | 408 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Missouri-all offices | 1,169 | 1,163 | 6 | 1,169 | 1,163 | 176 | 86 | 901 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.5 | 99.5 |
| Banks | 731 | 725 | 6 | 731 | 725 | 99 | 47 | 579 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.2 | 99.2 |
| Unit banks | 393 | 387 | 6 | 393 | 387 | 47 | 21 | 319 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.5 | 98.5 |
| Banks operating branches | 338 | 338 | 0 | 338 | 338 | 52 | 26 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches ............... | 438 | 438 | 0 | 438 | 438 | 77 | 39 | 322 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |  | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Montana-all offices |  | 199 |  | 202 | 199 | 75 | 50 | 74 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.5 | 98.5 |
| Banks | 166 | 163 | 3 | 166 | 163 | 55 | 44 | 64 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.2 | 98.2 |
| Unit banks | 137 | 134 | 3 | 137 | 134 | 42 | 38 | 54 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97.8 | 97.8 |
| Banks operating branches | 29 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 13 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches | 36 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 20 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Table 103. NUMBER OF BANKING OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS)
DECEMBER 31, 1980-CONTINUED
BANKS GROUPED ACCORDING TO INSURANCE AND CLASS OF BANK, AND BY STATE OR AREA AND TYPE OF OFFICE

| State and type of bank or office | All banks |  |  | Commercial banks and nondeposit trust companies |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mutual savings banks |  |  | Percentage insured ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Insured | Noninsured | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Insured | Noninsured | All banks of deposit | Commercial banks of deposit | Mutual savings banks |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nebraska-all offices | 747 | 740 | 7 | 747 | 740 | 322 | 16 | 402 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 0.0 |
| Banks .......... | 464 | 457 | 7 | 464 | 457 | 117 | 9 | 331 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks. | 372 | 365 | 7 | 372 | 365 | 76 | 7 | 282 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.1 | 98.1 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches . | 92 | 92 | 0 | 92 | 92 | 41 | 2 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches ................. | 283 | 283 | 0 | 283 | 283 | 205 | 7 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Nevada-all offices | 154 | 152 | 2 | 154 | 152 | 98 | 25 | 29 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.7 | 98.7 | 0.0 |
| Banks ........ | 11 | 9 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81.8 | 81.8 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 7 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches ............... | 143 | 143 | 0 | 143 | 143 | 95 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| New Hampshire-all offices | 321 | 320 | 1 | 236 | 235 | 133 | 7 | 95 | 0 | 1 | 85 | 85 | 0 | 99.7 | 99.6 | 100.0 |
| Banks ............... | 101 | 100 | 1 | 76 | 75 | 36 | 4 | 35 | 0 | , | 25 | 25 | 0 | 99.0 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 29 | 28 | 1 | 22 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 96.6 | 95.5 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 72 | 72 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 29 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches ............... | 220 | 220 | 0 | 160 | 160 | 97 | 3 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| New Jersey-all offices | 1,978 | 1,978 | 0 | 1,757 | 1,757 | 1,071 | 231 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 221 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks | 189 | -189 | 0 | 169 | 169 | 90 | 15 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 24 | 24 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 165 | 165 | 0 | 148 | 148 | 79 | 15 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Branches . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.789 | 1.789 | 0 | 1.588 | 1.588 | 981 | 216 | 391 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 201 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| New Mexico-all offices | 334 | 333 | 1 | 334 | 333 | 162 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.7 | 99.7 | 0.0 |
| Banks ...... | 90 | 89 | 1 | 90 | 89 | 40 | 6 | 43 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.9 | 98.9 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 23 | 22 | 1 | 23 | 22 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95.7 | 95.7 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 67 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 67 | 29 | 4 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches . . . . . . . . . . . . | 244 | 244 | 0 | 244 | 244 | 122 | 14 | 108 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| New York-all offices | 5.146 | 5.041 | 105 | 3,735 | 3,630 | 1,977 | 1,275 | 378 | 98 | 7 | 1,411 | 1.411 | 0 | 98.0 | 97.2 | 100.0 |
| Banks ........... | 428 | 325 | 103 | 317 | 214 | 113 | 43 | 58 | 96 | 7 | 111 | 111 | 0 | 75.9 | 67.5 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 164 | 63 | 101 | 161 | 60 | 29 | 12 | 19 | 94 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 38.4 | 37.3 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 264 | 262 | 2 | 156 | 154 | 84 | 31 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 108 | 108 | 0 | 99.2 | 98.7 | 100.0 |
| Branches ${ }^{3}$.............. | 4.718 | 4.716 | 2 | 3,418 | 3,416 | 1.864 | 1.232 | 320 | 2 | 0 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 0 | 100.0 | 99.9 | 100.0 |
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Table 103. NUMBER OF BANKING OFFICES IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS) DECEMBER 31, 1980-CONTINUED
banks grouped according to insurance status and class of bank, and by state or area and type of office


| West Virginia-all offices Banks | 301 | 301 | 0 | 301 | 301 | 139 | 35 | 127 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit banks | 173 | 173 | 0 | 173 | 173 | 79 | 23 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 64 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 64 | 30 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches ........... | 64 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 64 | 30 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Wisconsin-all offices | 1,169 | 1,163 | 6 | 1,166 | 1,160 | 301 | 49 | 810 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 99.5 | 99.5 | 100.0 |
| Banks | 644 | 638 | 6 | 641 | 635 | 132 | 27 | 476 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 100.0 |
| Unit banks | 391 | 385 | 6 | 388 | 382 | 82 | 18 | 282 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 98.5 | 98.5 | 100.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 253 | 253 | 0 | 253 | 253 | 50 | 9 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches ................ | 525 | 525 | 0 | 525 | 525 | 169 | 22 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Wyoming-all offices | 106 | 105 | 1 | 106 | 105 | 48 | 25 | 32 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.1 | 99.1 | 0.0 |
| Banks ...... | 103 | 102 | 1 | 103 | 102 | 47 | 25 | 30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 100 | 99 | 1 | 100 | 99 | 46 | 25 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches .. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Other Areas |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pacific Islands-all offices ${ }^{4}$ | 31 | 28 |  | 31 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90.3 | 90.3 | 0.0 |
| Banks .. | 4 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | $t$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Branches ${ }^{5}$. | 27 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |
| Puerto Rico-all offices | 256 | 240 | 16 | 256 | 240 | 26 | 0 | 214 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 0.0 |
| Banks . ...... | 24 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 13 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 11 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90.9 | 90.9 | 0.0 |
| Branches 6 . | 232 | 228 | 4 | 232 | 228 | 26 | 0 | 202 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands-all offices | 29 | 23 | 6 | 29 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79.3 | 79.3 | 0.0 |
| Banks . . . . . . . . . . . | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Unit banks | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Banks operating branches | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 00 |
| Branches ${ }^{\text {P }}$.............. | 23 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 |

Nondeposit trust companies are excluded in computing these percentages
2Seven noninsured branches in the Pacific Islands of insured banks are included with parent banks. California: 1 branch operated by a State nonmember bank in Puerto Rico.
Massachusetts: 1 branch operated by a noninsured bank in New York
New York: 17 branches operated by 3 State nonmember banks in Puerto Rico
Oregon: 1 branch operated by a national bank in California.
Pennsylvania: 2 branches operated by a noninsured bank in New York and a national bank in New Jersey Washington: 3 branches operated by a national bank in California.
4 United States possessions: American Samoa, Guam, and Northern Mariana Island
$s$ and Marshall islands
nly where indicated
American Samoa: 1 insured branch operated by a State nonmember bank in Hawail.

Guam: 15 insured branches operated by 2 State nonmember banks in Hawaii, 3 State nonmember banks and a national bank in California, 2 national banks in New York and a State nonmember bank in Guam
Caroline Islands: 3 noninsured branches operated by a State nonmember bank in Hawaii
Northern Mariana Islands: 4 insured branches operated by a State nonmember bank in California, a State nonmember bank in Hawaii and a State nonmember bank in Guam
Marshall islands: 2 noninsured branches operated by a State nonmember bank in Hawail.
Trust territories: 2 noninsured branches operated by a State nonmember bank in Guam.
6Puerto Rico: 25 insured branches operated by 2 national banks in New York, and a national bank in California.
Virgin Islands: 23 insured branches operated by 2 national banks in New York, a national bank in California, and a national bank in Pennsylvania.
Includes noninsured nondeposit trust companies - members of the Federal Reserve System
Includes FDIC insured domestic branches ("IBA reporters") of toreign banks.

Table 104. NUMBER AND DOMESTIC ASSETS OF ALL COMMERCIAL AND MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER 31,1980 BANKS GROUPED BY CLASS AND ASSET SIZE (Amounts in Millions of Dollars)

| Asset size (domestic) | All banks | Insured commercial banks |  |  |  | Noninsured banks and trust companies | Mutual savings banks |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Members F.R. System |  | Nonmembers F.R. System |  | Insured | Noninsured |
|  |  |  | National | State |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks <br> Less than $\$ 5.0$ million $\$ 5.0$ to 9.9 million $\$ 10.0$ to 24.9 million $\$ 25.0$ to 49.9 million $\$ 50.0$ to 99.9 million $\$ 100.0$ to 299.9 million $\$ 300.0$ to 499.9 million $\$ 500.0$ to 999.9 million $\$ 1.0$ to 4.9 billion $\$ 5.0$ billion or more | $\begin{array}{r}900 \\ 1,939 \\ 4.677 \\ 3,588 \\ 2.080 \\ 1.316 \\ 233 \\ 201 \\ 198 \\ 32 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 658 1,911 4,651 3,546 1,969 1,158 196 159 158 29 | $\begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 287 \\ 1,132 \\ 1,233 \\ 815 \\ 574 \\ 95 \\ 91 \\ 104 \\ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 45 \\ 98 \\ 314 \\ 232 \\ 136 \\ 95 \\ 21 \\ 21 \\ 26 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 539 \\ 1,526 \\ 3,205 \\ 2,081 \\ 1,018 \\ 489 \\ 80 \\ 47 \\ 28 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 216 \\ 26 \\ 11 \\ 7 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 1 \\ 10 \\ 19 \\ 74 \\ 109 \\ 31 \\ 38 \\ 38 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 16 \\ 34 \\ 48 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total banks .......... | 15.164 | 14.435 | 4.425 | 997 | 9.013 | 269 | 323 | 137 |
| Amount of domestic assets Less than $\$ 5.0$ million $\$ 5.0$ to 9.9 million $\$ 10.0$ to 24.9 million $\$ 25.0$ million to 49.9 million $\$ 50.0$ to 99.9 million $\$ 100.0$ to 299.9 million $\$ 300.0$ to 499.9 million $\$ 500.0$ to 999.9 million $\$ 1.0$ to 4.9 billion $\$ 5.0$ billion or more | 2,673 14,702 78,335 127,421 143,643 210,861 90,400 137,893 404,451 494,592 | 2,413 14,512 77,919 125,799 135,521 183,841 75,781 107,845 332,554 476,790 | $\begin{array}{r} 287 \\ 2,209 \\ 19,548 \\ 44,144 \\ 56,451 \\ 92,744 \\ 36,466 \\ 62,215 \\ 226,202 \\ 329,307 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 150 $\$ 742$ 5,887 8,272 9,298 15,927 8,190 14,517 57,359 147,483 | 1,976 <br> 11,562 <br> 53,085 <br> 73,383 <br> 69,711 <br> 75,170 <br> 31,125 <br> 31,113 <br> 48,993 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 260 \\ 175 \\ 153 \\ 253 \\ 214 \\ 110 \\ 460 \\ 1,296 \\ 2,422 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ \\ 6 \\ 167 \\ 793 \\ 5,388 \\ 19,060 \\ 12,242 \\ 27,632 \\ 69,475 \\ 17,802 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}\$ \\ 0 \\ 8 \\ 96 \\ 575 \\ 2.520 \\ 7.851 \\ 1,197 \\ 1,121 \\ \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Total domestic assets | \$1,704,972 | \$1.532.975 | \$869,571 | \$267,224 | \$396,179 | \$5.343 | \$152,566 | \$14,088 |

Does not include assets of branches of U.S. banks in "Other areas."

Table 105．NUMBER AND DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN ASSETS AND DEPOSITS OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES
（STATES AND OTHER AREAS），DECEMBER 31， 1980
BANKS GROUPED BY ASSET SIZE AND STATE
（AMOUNTS IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS）

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Banks with assets（domestic and foreign）of－} \\
\hline State \& All
banks \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Less } \\
\text { than } \\
\$ 5 \text { million }
\end{gathered}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 5.0 \text { million } \\
\& \$ 9.9 \text { million }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\$ 10.0 \text { million } \\
\text { to } \\
\$ 24.9 \text { million }
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\
\& \text { to } 49.9 \text { million }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\
\& \$ 99.9 \text { million }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\left|\begin{array}{l}
\$ 100.0 \text { million } \\
\text { to } \\
\$ 299.9 \text { million }
\end{array}\right|
\] \& \[
\left|\begin{array}{l}
\$ 300.0 \text { million } \\
\$ 499.9 \text { million }
\end{array}\right|
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\
\& \text { to } \\
\& \$ 999.9 \text { million }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\
\& \$ 4.9 \text { billion }
\end{aligned}
\] \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& \$ 5.0 \text { billion } \\
\& \text { or } \\
\& \text { more }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Total United States and Other Areas Banks \\
Total Assets \\
Total Deposits \\
States
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
14,704 \\
\$ 1,861.189 .4 \\
1,483.053 .6
\end{array}
\] \& 874
\(\$ 2.673 .3\)
\(2,157.6\) \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1.937 \\
\$ 14.687 .9 \\
12.890 .0
\end{array}
\] \& 4,662
\(\$ 78.072 .3\)
\(69,541.6\) \& S
S126．552．
\(111,788.8\) \& 1,972
\(\$ 133,75.1\)
\(199,397.6\) \& 1,156
\(\$ 18,20.5\)
\(157,140.6\) \& 198
\(\$ 76,492.4\)
\(63,402.8\) \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
158 \\
\$ 107,392.4 \\
87,650.7
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
157 \\
\$ 319,932.3 \\
241,624.1
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
37 \\
\$ 816,930.4 \\
617,460.2
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Alabama \\
Banks \\
Assets \\
Deposits
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
318 \\
\$ 16,858.6 \\
14,089.0
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
6 \\
\$ 22.3 \\
18.0
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
35 \\
\$ 266.0 \\
234.5
\end{array}
\] \& 133
\(\$ 2.231 .2\)
\(1,979.1\) \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
90 \\
\$ 3.055 .2 \\
2.704 .9
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
32 \\
\$ 2.076 .4 \\
1,838.5
\end{array}
\] \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
14 \\
\$ 2.454 .6 \\
2,109.4
\end{array}
\] \& S
\(\$ 432.0\)
368.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
5 \\
\$ 3.418 .3 \\
2.677 .3
\end{array}
\] \& \＄2．902．6 \({ }_{2}{ }^{2}\) \& 二 \\
\hline Alaska Banks Assets Deposits \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
12 \\
\$ 22.251 .1 \\
1,756.7
\end{array}
\] \& － \& － \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
\$ 22.3 \\
18.7
\end{array}
\] \& \(\$ 45.1\)
39.2 \& \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 203.5 \\
180.0
\end{array}
\] \& \％
\(\$ 775.2\)
579.8 \& ＝ \& a
\＄1，205．1
2
939.0 \& \(=\)
\(=\) \& ＝ \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Arizona \\
Banks \\
Assets \\
Deposits
\end{tabular} \& a
\(\$ 135\)
\＄1364．3
\(11,36.0\) \& 14
\(\$ 22.2\)
7.4 \& \＄12．6 \({ }_{11.1}\) \& 199
\(\$ 1435\)
125.8 \& \begin{tabular}{r} 
¢ \\
\hline 70.2 \\
64.7
\end{tabular} \& S33．0

77.8 \& | \＄ |
| ---: |
| 402.4 |
| 365.2 | \& S

$\$ 499.3$
432.5 \& \％
7718
637.6 \&  \& r
\＄5，64．
$4,803.2$ <br>
\hline  \& 262
$\$ 11,099.0$

$9,498.1$ \& | \％ |
| ---: |
| 19.8 |
| 16.3 | \& 32

$\$ 242.9$
212.7 \& 94
$\$ 1.565 .3$
$1,396.3$ \& 70
$\$ 2,513.4$
$2,230.0$ \& 36
$\$ 2,382.9$
$2,111.0$ \& 17
$\$ 2.623 .8$
$2,223.6$ \& \％
$\$ 1.141 .8$
873.6 \& \＄
$\$ 601.2$
434.5 \& 二 \& $=$
$=$ <br>

\hline  \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
296 \\
\$ 237,991.6 \\
192,605.2
\end{array}
$$ \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
26 \\
\$ 60.8 \\
24.3
\end{array}
$$
\] \& 29

$\$ 224.0$
160.7 \& \％
¢1，
\＄1．3
878.8 \& r
$\$ 2.284 .2$
$1,998.1$ \& 2
\＄2，942．4
$2,581.6$ \& 43
$\$ 7,067.6$
$6,276.5$ \& 11
S3，920．0

$3,350.4$ \& | \％ |
| ---: |
| 6.041 .3 |
| $6,82.4$ | \& a

$\$ 13,670.9$

$11,056.4$ \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 199,729.2 \\
160,195.9
\end{array}
$$ <br>

\hline | Colorado |
| :--- |
| Banks |
| Assets |
| Deposits | \& 442

$\$ 16.615 .0$
13.655 .3 \& 122
$\$ 2878$
188.5 \& 61
$\$ 436.5$
357.4 \& 129
$\$ 2.148 .8$
$1,885.0$ \& \％
\＄2，51．
2，232．1 \& 2
$\$ 2,269.4$
$1,995.6$ \& \＄3，401．2
$2,872.1$ \& 二 \& 1
$\$ 1.853 .7$
$1,442.0$ \& \＄3．636．5 ${ }^{2}$ \& － <br>

\hline | Connecticut |
| :--- |
| Banks |
| Assets |
| Deposits | \& a

64
$\$ 13,949.6$
$11,599.3$ \& － \& $\begin{array}{r}\text { 2 } \\ \\ \$ 2 \\ 19.2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 17
$\$ 322.7$
285.0 \& 17
$\$ 633.3$
553.1 \& 11
$\$ 804.8$
702.8 \& r
$\$ 9977$
826.5 \& S
S
26．1．
263.5 \& 4
\＄2，712．0
$2,380.4$ \& 1.
$\$ 8$
$\$ 8,141.7$
$6,528.5$ \& 二 <br>

\hline | Delaware |
| :--- |
| Banks |
| Assets |
| Deposits | \& 20

$\$ 3,685.9$
2.903 .1 \& \％
3
3
3.2 \& 3
$\$ 23.2$
20.9 \& 5
$\$ 80.9$
73.2 \& r
$\$ 97.3$
84.6 \& $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ \& 1.1
$\$ 119.3$
108.4 \& S
S454．7
397.5 \& a
$\$ 1.340 .0$
$1,157.2$ \& $\begin{array}{r}\text { \％} \\ \text { \＄1，493．8 } \\ \hline 995.5\end{array}$ \& 二 <br>

\hline  \& $$
\begin{array}{r}
17 \\
\$ 8.648 .3 \\
6,976.9
\end{array}
$$ \& 二 \& ＝ \& 4

$\$ 72.4$
61.2 \& 3
$\$ 144.3$
124.7 \& \％
$\$ 121.7$
110.9 \& \％
$\$ 427.8$
373.5 \& 二 \& \＄2，043．0
1.612 .5 \& $\$ 5.839 .2$
$4,694.0$ \& 二 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 105．NUMBER AND DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN ASSETS AND DEPOSITS OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES （STATES AND OTHER AREAS），DECEMBER $31,1980-$ CONTINUED

BANKS GROUPED BY ASSET SIZE AND STATE
（AMOUNTS IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS）

| State | All banks | Banks with assets（domestic and foreign）of－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ \$ 5 \text { million } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 9.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 24.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 99.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 299.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 4.9 \text { billion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5.0 \text { billion } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |
| Florida Banks Assets Deposits | $\begin{array}{r} 565 \\ \$ 48,035.4 \\ 40,556.7 \end{array}$ | 11 $\$ 21.7$ 9.2 | 30 $\$ 244.2$ 203.2 | $\begin{array}{r} 142 \\ \$ 2.531 .7 \\ 2,229.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 145 \\ \$ 5,189.5 \\ 4,623.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 117 \\ \$ 8,164.9 \\ 7,230.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \\ \$ 14,480.8 \\ 12,443.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ \$ 7,258.1 \\ 6,086.9 \end{array}$ | 9 $\$ 5.721 .8$ 4.381 .1 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \$ 4,422.7 \\ 3,348.5 \end{array}$ | － |
| Georgia Banks ． Assets Deposits | $\begin{array}{r} 435 \\ \$ 23,266.1 \\ 18,894.0 \end{array}$ | 28 $\$ 99.7$ 87.7 | 65 $\$ 501.2$ 441.8 | $\begin{array}{r} 160 \\ \$ 2,705.6 \\ 2,401.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119 \\ \$ 4,064.8 \\ 3,589.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ \$ 2,389.2 \\ 2,084.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 18 \\ \$ 2.626 .9 \\ 2,249.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ \$ 1,132.0 \\ 909.7 \end{array}$ | － | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \$ 9,746.8 \\ 7,129.5 \end{array}$ | 二 |
| Hawail Banks Assets Deposits | 12 $\$ 5,431.8$ 4.809 .1 | 1 $\$ .0$ .0 | 1 $\$ 8.3$ 6.7 | 1 $\$ 21.4$ 0 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ \hline\end{array}$ | － | 3 $\$ 614.3$ 557.0 | 2 $\$ 765.6$ 699.3 | 二 | a \＄3，933．6 $3,494.8$ | 二 |
| Idaho Banks ．．． Assets Deposits | 26 $\$ 5.106 .1$ $4,262.1$ | 二 | 3 $\$ 27.6$ 23.3 | 9 $\$ 140.6$ 124.3 | 7 $\$ 159.7$ 144.7 | 4 $\$ 273.0$ 232.6 | r $\$ 290$ 257.0 | 1 $\$ 375.9$ 317.6 | 1 $\$ 593.6$ 492.8 | a $\$ 3.245 .2$ 2.669 .8 | 二 |
| Illinois Banks ．． Assets Deposits | 1,260 $\$ 141,218.7$ $109,217.4$ | 51 $\$ 174.0$ 144.5 | 164 $\$ 1,238.9$ $1,097.9$ | $\begin{array}{r} 427 \\ \$ 7,100.2 \\ 6,323.8 \end{array}$ | 291 $\$ 10,492.6$ $9,288.0$ | 178 $\$ 12,165.1$ $10,557.6$ | 128 $\$ 19,608.4$ 16.449 .9 | 13 $\$ 4.998 .7$ $4,202.3$ | \％ $\$ 1.176 .8$ 851.0 | 2 \＄3，786．9 $2,849.5$ | 4 $\$ 80,476.9$ $57,452.9$ |
| Indiana Banks ．．． Assets Deposits | 407 $\$ 32,724.1$ $27,112.6$ | 5 $\$ 10.8$ 8.7 | 28 $\$ 222.2$ 194.0 | 109 $\$ 1,929.0$ $1,738.1$ | 123 $\$ 4,460.6$ $3,963.9$ | 75 $\$ 5,346.0$ $4,705.6$ | 51 $\$ 7,796.3$ $6,660.0$ | 10 $\$ 3,9288.4$ $3,269.0$ | 3 $\$ 1,986.6$ $1,504.7$ | 3 $\$ 7,044.4$ $5,068.6$ | － |
| lowa Banks ．． Assets Deposits | 657 $\$ 21,751.3$ $18,491.9$ | 20 $\$ 62.7$ 54.9 | 112 $\$ 865.8$ 775.6 | 277 $\$ 4.474 .6$ $4,021.6$ | 164 $\$ 5.830 .1$ $5,200.2$ | 54 $\$ 3,619.5$ $3,193.3$ | 25 $\$ 3,696.4$ $3,024.4$ | 2 $\$ 925.4$ 635.8 | \％ $\$ 1,206.8$ 843.9 | 1 $\$ 1.070 .0$ 742.2 | 二 |
| Kansas Banks ． Assets Deposits | 620 $\$ 16,598.7$ $14,078.5$ | 83 $\$ 290.0$ 258.4 | 139 $\$ 1.027 .3$ 920.3 | 219 $\$ 3,595.2$ $3,232.7$ | 106 $\$ 3,745.1$ $3,318.0$ | 57 $\$ 3,850.4$ $3,374.1$ | 12 $\$ 2.051 .0$ $1,483.6$ | 3 $\$ 1.137 .1$ 831.4 | 1 $\$ 902.6$ 660.1 | 二 | 二 |
| Kentucky Banks Assets Deposits | 345 $\$ 19,441.2$ $16,090.0$ | 13 $\$ 41.3$ 36.1 | 34 $\$ 258.1$ 229.9 | 117 $\$ 2,006.0$ 1.787 .7 | 107 $\$ 3,831.0$ $3,421.1$ | 44 $\$ 3,047.4$ $2,657.6$ | 23 $\$ 3,2888$ $2,858.0$ | 3 $\$ 1,228.3$ 856.9 | 2 $\$ 1.724 .8$ $1,278.6$ | 2 $\$ 4.075 .6$ $2,964.1$ | 二 |
| Louisiana <br> Banks <br> Assets $\qquad$ <br> Deposits | 269 $\$ 24,944.7$ $21,194.8$ | 4 $\$ 16.9$ 13.8 | 14 $\$ 106.6$ 92.1 | 61 $\$ 1.091 .2$ 975.8 | 82 $\$ 3.014 .3$ $2,701.6$ | 63 $\$ 4.248 .1$ $3,784.1$ | 27 $\$ 4.660 .2$ $4,088.8$ | 7 $\$ 2.619 .0$ $2,198.4$ | r \＄5，164．7 $4,204.5$ | 3 $\$ 4.023 .6$ $3,135.5$ | 二 |
| Maine Banks $\qquad$ Assets Deposits | 41 $\$ 3,465.1$ $2,895.4$ | － | 2 $\$ 16.5$ 14.3 | 6 $\$ 97.1$ 86.7 | 14 $\$ 442.0$ 384.9 | 12 $\$ 801.8$ 688.2 | 3 $\$ 549.1$ 459.8 | 4 $\$ 1,558.6$ $1,261.5$ | － | － | － |



Table 105．NUMBER AND DOMESTIG AND FOREIGN ASSETS AND DEPOSITS OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES （STATES AND OTHER AREAS），DECEMBER 31， 1980 －CONTINUED

BANKS GROUPED BY ASSET SIZE AND STATE
（AMOUNTS IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS）

| State | All banks | Banks with assets（domestic and foreign）of－ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 5$ million | $\$ 5.0$ million to $\$ 9.9$ million | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 24.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\$ 50.0$ million to $\$ 99.9$ million | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 299.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 4.9 \text { billion } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5.0 \text { billion } \\ & \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |
| North Carolina Banks Assets Deposits | 80 $\$ 25,664.2$ $20,269.7$ | 2 $\$ 8.4$ 6.1 | 7 $\$ 54.4$ 47.7 | 16 $\$ 275.3$ 237.4 | 20 $\$ 733.6$ 637.8 | 14 $\$ 960.9$ 829.8 | 7 $\$ 1.09419$ 941.3 | 6 $\$ 2,205.0$ $1,902.7$ | 3 $\$ 1,807.1$ $1,581.4$ | 3 $\$ 6,276.0$ $4,984.4$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \$ 12.248 .6 \\ 9,101.0 \end{array}$ |
| North Dakota Banks Assets Deposits | 178 $\$ 5.332 .9$ 4.523 .4 | 9 $\$ 25.2$ 19.5 | 31 $\$ 231.9$ 206.1 | $\begin{array}{r} 85 \\ \$ 1,402.8 \\ 1,249.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ \$ 1,019.7 \\ 903.6 \end{array}$ | 15 $\$ 1.007 .6$ 889.0 | 7 $\$ 942.1$ 820.6 | － | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \$ 703.6 \\ 435.6 \end{array}$ |  | － |
| Ohio Banks ． Assets Deposits | 385 $\$ 566.282 .9$ $43,727.8$ | 6 $\$ 25.5$ 22.3 | 38 $\$ 290.1$ 254.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ \$ 1,754.5 \\ 1.558 .3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \\ \$ 3,103.6 \\ 2,761.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 65 \\ \$ 4.503 .4 \\ 3.902 .7 \end{array}$ | 56 $\$ 8.952 .8$ 7.594 .2 | 9 $\$ 3.515 .4$ 2.942 .6 | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ \$ 4.704 .8 \\ 3.858 .0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ \$ 24.233 .4 \\ 17,219.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1 \\ \$ 5.199 .3 \\ 3.614 .0 \end{array}$ |
| Oklahoma Banks Assets Deposits | 503 $\$ 22,841.8$ $19,379.4$ | 39 $\$ 115.6$ 99.2 | 99 $\$ 753.9$ 672.3 | 156 $\$ 2.504 .8$ $2,248.1$ | 117 $\$ 4.061 .0$ $3,627.0$ | 60 $\$ 4.032 .3$ 3.575 .4 | 24 $\$ 3.529 .2$ 3.055 .6 | 3 $\$ 1.115 .8$ 984.3 | 1 $\$ 639.1$ 501.4 | 4 $\$ 6,090.1$ $4,616.1$ | ＝ |
| Oregon Banks Assets Deposits | 82 $\$ 12,966.4$ $10,578.4$ | 7 $\$ 16.1$ 7.9 | 15 $\$ 110.9$ 88.9 | 27 $\$ 397.5$ 346.1 | 17 $\$ 615.0$ 545.1 | 7 $\$ 494.7$ 439.9 | 4 $\$ 521.2$ 469.7 | 2 $\$ 836.3$ 747.7 | 1 $\$ 835.8$ 684.9 | r $\$ 9.138 .9$ 7.248 .2 | 二 |
| Pennsylvania Banks Assets Deposits | 362 $\$ 89,558.0$ $71,208.5$ | 3 $\$ .3$ .2 | 21 $\$ 159.9$ 132.6 | 80 $\$ 1.425 .0$ 1.274 .2 | 83 $\$ 3,081.0$ $2,743.7$ | 83 $\$ 5,958.3$ $5,302.7$ | 53 $\$ 9,231.7$ 8.187 .4 | 12 $\$ 5.050 .4$ 4.333 .1 | 13 $\$ 8.844 .4$ 7.528 .7 | 10 $\$ 22.676 .3$ 17.749 .7 | $\begin{array}{r} 4 \\ \$ 33,130.7 \\ 23,956.3 \end{array}$ |
| Rhode island Banks Assets Deposits | 17 $\$ 6.969 .3$ 5.487 .1 | 4 $\$ 1.7$ 1.3 | 二 | 5 $\$ 83.7$ 70.8 | 2 $\$ 68.6$ 57.5 | 1.1 $\$ 54.4$ 48.1 | a \＄369．1 304.4 | － | － | 3 $\$ 6.391 .8$ $5,005.0$ | － |
| South Carolina Banks Assets Deposits | 85 $\$ 7,635.1$ $6,324.9$ | 5 $\$ 19.7$ 13.7 | 12 $\$ 77.3$ 65.6 | 32 $\$ 535.6$ 467.6 | 15 $\$ 498.0$ 426.5 | 13 $\$ 971.3$ 832.5 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { r } \\ \$ 289 \\ \hline 254.8\end{array}$ | 2 $\$ 774.2$ 695.1 | 1 $\$ 876.5$ 699.4 | 3 $\$ 3,592.9$ 2.869 .7 | － |
| South Dakota Banks Assets Deposits | 153 $\$ 5.085 .1$ 4.535 .1 | 13 $\$ 52.9$ 46.3 | 39 $\$ 312.6$ 282.4 | 63 $\$ 995.6$ 894.0 | 19 $\$ 649.4$ 583.1 | 12 $\$ 919.6$ 823.5 | 3 $\$ 483.2$ 420.2 | 3 $\$ 1.093 .7$ 973.2 | 1 $\$ 578.3$ 512.3 | － | － |
| Tennessee Banks Assets Deposits | 353 $\$ 23,803.9$ $19,875.0$ | 12 $\$ 37.7$ 33.6 | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ \$ 281.6 \\ 252.8 \end{array}$ | 116 $\$ 1,978.4$ $1,780.3$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \\ \$ 3,486.5 \\ 3,107.8 \end{array}$ | 51 $\$ 3.595 .0$ $3,189.1$ | 28 $\$ 4.064 .4$ $3,521.2$ | 1 $\$ 416.0$ 344.4 | 4 $\$ 2.614 .0$ 2.039 .7 | \＄7，330． 5 $5,606.1$ | 二 |



Note: Includes data for noninsured nondeposit trust companies.
Excludes data for domestic branches of foreign banks and U.S. branches of Puerto Rico banks.
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## ASSETS AND LIABiLITIES OF BANKS

Table 106. Assets and liabilities of all commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), June 30, 1980

Banks grouped by insurance status and class of bank
Table 107. Assets and liabilities of all commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980

Banks grouped by insurance status and class of bank
Table 108. Assets and liabilities of all mutual savings banks in the United States (States and other areas), June 30, 1980, and December 31, 1980

Banks grouped by insurance status
Table 109. Assets and liabilities of insured commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), December call dates, 1975-1980
Table 110. Assets and liabilities of insured commercial banks (domestic and foreign offices), United States and other areas, 1974-1977
Table 110A. Assets and liabilities of insured commercial banks (domestic and foreign offices), United States and other areas, December 31, 1978
Table 110B. Assets and liabilities of insured commercial banks (domestic and foreign offices), United States and other areas, December 31, 1979
Table 110C. Assets and liabilities of insured commercial banks (domestic and foreign offices), United States and other areas, December 31, 1980
Table 111. Assets and liabilities of insured mutual savings banks in the United States (States and other areas), December call dates, 1975-1980
Table 112. Percentages of assets, liabilities, and equity capital of insured commercial banks operating throughout 1980 in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980

Banks grouped by amount of assets
Table 113. Percentages of assets and liabilities of insured mutual savings banks operating throughout 1980 in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980

Banks grouped by amount of assets
Table 114. Distribution of insured commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), December 31, 1980

Banks grouped according to amount of assets and by ratios of selected items to assets or deposits

## Commercial banks

Insured banks having total resources of $\$ 25$ million or more are required to report on the basis of accrual accounting. Where the results would not be significantly different, at the option of the bank, trust department accounts and certain other accounts may be reported on a cash basis. All banks, regardless of size or accounting system, are required to report unearned income on loans in the Report of Condition, Schedule A (loans). All banks, regardless of size or accounting system, are required to report income taxes on a current basis. The income taxes must be computed on the amount of income and expense included in the Report of Income.

Each insured bank having foreign offices is required to submit a consolidated report including these offices; however, except for table 110, tables on pages 243-271 contain only the domestic assets and liabilities of banks. Beginning in 1969, all majority-owned bank premises subsidiaries are fully consolidated; other majority-owned domestic subsidiaries (but not commercial bank subsidiaries) are consolidated if they meet any of the following criteria: (a) any subsidiary in which the parent bank's investment represents 5 percent or more of its equity capital accounts, (b) any subsidiary whose gross operating revenues amount to 5 percent of the parent bank's gross operating revenues, or (c) (beginning in December 1972) any subsidiary whose "Income (loss) before income taxes and securities gains or losses" amounts to 5 percent or more of the "Income (loss) before income taxes and securities gains or losses" of the parent bank. Beginning in 1972, investments in subsidiaries not consolidated in which the bank directly or indirectly exercises effective control are reported on an equity (rather than cost) basis with the investment and undivided profits adjusted to include the parent's share of the subsidiaries' net worth.

In the case of insured banks with branches outside the 50 States and D.C., net amounts due from such branches are included in "Other assets" and net amounts due to such branches are included in "Other liabilities." Branches of insured banks outside the 50 States and D.C. are not included in the count of banks. Data for such branches are not included in the figures for the States in which the parent banks are located.

From 1969 through 1975, all reserves on loans and securities, including the reserves for bad debts set up pursuant to Internal Revenue Service rulings, were included in "Reserves on loans and securities" on the liability side of the balance sheet. Beginning in 1976, the IRS reserve is divided as follows: (a) the "valuation" portion of the reserve (plus any other loan loss
profits," or "reserves for contingencies and other capital reserves" (preferably the former). The valuation reserve on securities, formerly shown on the liabilities side, is included in "reserve for contingencies and other capital reserves" beginning in 1976.
"Unearned income on loans," previously reported in "other liabilities," is reported separately as an exclusion from gross loans and total assets beginning March 31, 1976.

Beginning March 31, 1979, "deposits accumulated for the payment of personal loans" was eliminated from deposits. Such "deposits" are required to be deducted from the appropriate loan category before completion of Report of Condition Schedule A (loans).
The category "Trading account securities" was added to the condition report of commercial banks in 1969 to obtain this segregation for banks that regularly deal in securities with other banks or with the public. Banks occasionally holding securities purchased for possible resale report these under "Investment securities."
Assets and liabilities held in or administered by a savings, bond, insurance, real estate, foreign, or any other department of a bank, except a trust department, are consolidated with the respective assets and liabilities of the commercial department. "Deposits of individuals, partnerships, and corporations" include trust funds deposited by a trust department in a commercial or savings department. Other assets held in trust are not included in statements of assets and liabilities.

Demand balances with, and demand deposits due to, banks in the United States, except private banks and American branches of foreign banks, exclude reciprocal interbank deposits. (Reciprocal interbank deposits arise when two banks maintain deposit accounts with each other.)
In 1976, the caption "Capital notes and debentures" was changed to "subordinated notes and debentures," to be shown in the liabilities section of the Report of Condition. Accordingly, "capital accounts" became the "equity capital" section.
In 1978 an abbreviated Report of Condition was instituted for banks with less than $\$ 100$ million in total consolidated assets. Beginning with December 1978, other liabilities for borrowed money include interestbearing demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury.
Asset and liability data for noninsured banks are tabulated from reports pertaining to the individual banks. In a few cases, these reports are not as detailed as those submitted by insured banks.

## Mutual savings banks

The Reports of Income and Condition were significantly revised in 1979. The intent of the revisions was to provide more meaningful information
concerning the operations and condition of the mutual institutions as well as to bring reporting by such institutions into closer conformance to accounting principles.

## Report of Income

In addition to obtaining more detail concerning operating income and expenses, the reporting format and instructions were changed to reflect interest and dividends paid or accrued as an operating expense. Gains and losses on mortgage loans, real estate and other transactions are included in other operating income or other operating expenses rather than being separately stated. Income taxes are reflected separately on operating income, securities transactions and extraordinary items. The reporting of the provision for possible loan losses requires an expense based on management discretion rather than expense reflecting net loan losses.

## Report of Condition

Significant changes to this form include the separate reporting and deduction of a valuation reserve against real estate loans and other loans. Deposit categories were changed to reflect industry practice. A Memoranda schedule and a Supplemental Schedule H were added to provide informa-
tion concerning the market value of bond and equity investments, selected asset and liability average figures, and past due and non-accrual real estate loans. Maturity distributions of security investments, time deposits and borrowed funds were incorporated into the report.

Domestic branches and agencies of foreign banks, table 107A, includes assets and liabilities of insured and noninsured domestic branches and agencies ('IBA Reporters") of foreign banks. Beginning with June 30, 1980, these offices report to the Federal Reserve Board.

## Sources of data

Insured banks: see p. 273; noninsured banks: State banking authorities and reports from individual banks.
NOTE: - Tables with Report of Condition financial data may not balance as a result of certain noninsured banks submitting balance sheet data but not submitting supporting detail in some of the schedules. Some noninsured banks that did not submit financial data are included in the counts of banks.

- Tables may not balance due to rounding of data.

Table 106. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS),
JUNE 30, 1980
BANKS GROUPED BY INSURANCE STATUS AND CLASS OF BANK
(Amounts in millions of dollars)


Table 106. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), JUNE 30, 1980 - CONTINUED
BANKS GROUPED BY INSURANCE STATUS AND CLASS OF BANK
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability or expense item | Total | Insured banks |  |  |  |  | Noninsured banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Members of Federal Reserve System |  |  | Not members of F.R System | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Banks } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { deposit }{ }^{\text {² }} \end{gathered}$ | Nondeposit trust companies ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | Total | National | State |  |  |  |  |
| All other installment loans for household, family and other personal expenditures <br> Single payment loans for household, family and other personal expenditures <br> All other loans | $\begin{aligned} & 45,617 \\ & 29,967 \\ & 19,559 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45,607 \\ & 29,962 \\ & 19,540 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29,121 \\ & 19,458 \\ & 16,312 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23,736 \\ & 15,656 \\ & 11,557 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,385 \\ & 3,802 \\ & 4,755 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16,485 \\ 10,504 \\ 3,228 \end{array}$ | 10 5 19 | $\begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 5 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Total loans and securities <br> Lease financing receivables <br> Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, and other assets representing bank premises <br> Real estate owned other than bank premises <br> All other assets | $\begin{array}{r} 1.128,859 \\ 10,753 \\ 23,975 \\ 21,160 \\ 81,492 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,123,818 \\ 10,753 \\ 23,942 \\ 2,145 \\ 80,681 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 805,903 \\ 9,788 \\ 16,723 \\ 1,590 \\ 72,962 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 634,006 \\ 7,324 \\ 13,741 \\ 1,286 \\ 53,877 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 171,896 \\ 2,464 \\ 2,982 \\ 304 \\ 19,084 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 317.915 \\ 965 \\ 7,219 \\ 7566 \\ 7,720 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.041 \\ 0 \\ 32 \\ 15 \\ 810 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4.635 \\ 0 \\ 15 \\ 14 \\ .142 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 406 \\ 0 \\ 18 \\ 1 \\ 669 \end{array}$ |
| Total liabilities and equity capital ............................ | 1,446,638 | 1,440,378 | 1,071,826 | 820.919 | 250,907 | 368,551 | 6,260 | 5,086 | 1.174 |
| Business and personal deposits-total Individuals, partnerships and corporations-demand Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-savings Individuals and nomprofit organizations-savings Corporations and other profit organizations-savings Individual partnerships, and corporations-time Certified and officers checks, traveler's checks, | 947.844 <br> 316,208 <br> 197,602 <br> 188,091 <br> 417,590 | 947.314 <br> 315,891 <br> 197.544 <br> 188.052 9.492 <br> 417,456 | $\begin{array}{r} 662,342 \\ 232,028 \\ 132,205 \\ 126,038 \\ 6,167 \\ 285,400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{5 2 3 . 4 5 1} \\ 178,286 \\ 106,761 \\ 101,809 \\ 40,952 \\ 230,964 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138,891 \\ 5,742 \\ 25,444 \\ 24,230 \\ 1,215 \\ 54,436 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 284,972 \\ 8,863 \\ 65,339 \\ 62,013 \\ 3,326 \\ 132,056 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 530 \\ 317 \\ 58 \\ 40 \\ 18 \\ 134 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 502 \\ 296 \\ 58 \\ 40 \\ 18 \\ 134 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 21 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| letters of credit-demand | 16,444 | 16,423 | 12,709 | 7.441 | 5,268 | 3,713 | 22 | 15 | 7 |
| Government deposits-total <br> United States Government-demand <br> United States Government-savings <br> United States Government-time <br> States and political subdivisions-demand <br> States and political subdivisions-savings <br> States and political subdivisions-time | 84,079 2,205 81 6866 18,11 3,839 59,157 | $\begin{array}{r} 83,585 \\ 2,205 \\ 81 \\ 686 \\ 17,967 \\ 3,827 \\ 58,819 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 52,226 \\ 1,534 \\ 65 \\ 435 \\ 11,902 \\ 2,323 \\ 35,967 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 43,439 \\ 1,256 \\ 62 \\ 310 \\ 9,715 \\ 1,808 \\ 30,288 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8.787 \\ 278 \\ 3 \\ 125 \\ 2,187 \\ 515 \\ 5,679 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 31,358 \\ 671 \\ 17 \\ 251 \\ 6,065 \\ 1,503 \\ 22,852 \end{array}$ | 495 1 0 0 144 12 338 | $\begin{array}{r} 495 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 144 \\ 12 \\ 338 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| All other deposits-total <br> Demand <br> Savings <br> Time | $\begin{aligned} & 79.205 \\ & 64,471 \\ & 48 \\ & 14,686 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 79.169 \\ 64,446 \\ 48 \\ 14,676 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75.434 \\ 62,278 \\ 36 \\ 13,120 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 41,886 \\ 32,311 \\ 34 \\ 9,540 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.549 \\ 29,967 \\ 3 \\ 3,579 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,734 \\ 2,167 \\ 11 \\ 1,556 \end{array}$ | 36 26 0 10 | 31 21 0 10 | 5 5 0 0 |
| Total deposits <br> Demand <br> Savings Time | $\begin{array}{r} 1.111,863 \\ 417,421 \\ 201,569 \\ 492,856 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,110,067 \\ 416,931 \\ 201,500 \\ 491,637 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 790,002 \\ & 320,452 \\ & 134,629 \\ & 334,922 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 608,776 \\ & 229,009 \\ & 108,665 \\ & 271,102 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 181,227 \\ 91,443 \\ 25,965 \\ 63,820 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 320,065 \\ 96,479 \\ 66,870 \\ 156,715 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.796 \\ 490 \\ 70 \\ 1,219 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.695 \\ 458 \\ 70 \\ 1,150 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 33 \\ 0 \\ 69 \end{array}$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miscellaneous liabilities-total ............. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 225.357 | 221.448 | 203.157 | 151,412 | 51.745 | 18.291 | 2.909 | 2,986 | 922 |
| under agreement to repurchase ......... | 124.838 | 123.657 | 113.940 | 86,546 | 27.394 | 9,717 | 1,181 | 1,171 | 9 |
| Interest bearing demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury and other liabilities for borrowed money .. | 26,476 | 24,962 | 24.093 | 15.591 | 8,503 | 1,869 | 513 | 510 | 4 |
| Mortgage indebtedness and liability |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for capitalized leases <br> All other liabilities | 2,137 71,906 | 2,131 69,698 | 1,630 63,494 | 1,328 47,947 | 301 15,548 | 502 6,203 | 6 2,208 | 0 1,305 | 6 904 |
| Total liabilities (excluding subordinated notes and debentures) | 1,337,220 | 1,331,515 | 993,160 | 760,188 | 232,972 | 338.356 | 5,705 | 4,681 | 1.024 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 6,387 | 6.308 | 4.765 | 3.553 | 1,212 | 1,544 | 79 | 77 | 2 |
| Equity capital-total | 103.031 | 102.554 | 73.902 | 57.178 | 16.724 | 28.652 | 477 | 328 | 149 |
| Preferred stock-par value .................... | 142 | 134 | 36 | 33 | 3 | 98 | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Preferred stock-shares outstanding (in thousands) | 5,484 21.160 | 5,398 20.967 | 452 14.834 | 11.722 | 123 3.112 | 4.946 6.134 | 86 | 78 | 88 |
| Common stock-shares outstanding (in thousands) . | 2,248,414 | 2,243,271 | 1,395,126 | 1,144,602 | 250,524 | 848,145 | 5,143 | 2,204 | 2,939 |
| Surplus . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 36,485 | 36,345 | 24,568 | 18,295 | 6,273 | 11,777 | 140 | 94 | 46 |
| Undivided profits and reserve for contingencies and other capital reserves | 45,244 | 45,108 | 34,464 | 27,129 | 7,336 | 10,643 | 136 | 75 | 61 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Of total assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from depository institutions .... U. $\mathrm{K}_{\text {S. }}$ U... | 13.78\% | 13.82\% | 15.38\% | 13.48\% | 21.59\% | 9.27\% | 5.77\% | 5.52\% | 6.86\% |
| Government agencies and corporations ............... | 10.07 | 10.06 | 8.59 | 8.77 | 8.01 | 14.35 | 12.48 | 14.54 | 3.57 |
| All other securities ............................. | 10.65 | 10.62 | 10.03 | 10.12 | 9.76 | 12.33 | 17.56 | 19.60 | 8.75 |
| Loans (including tederal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell) | 57.97 | 58.00 | 57.26 | 59.04 | 51.44 | 60.15 | 51.00 | 57.62 | 22.33 |
| All other assets .......................... | 7.53 | 7.50 | 8.74 | 8.60 | 9.20 | 3.91 | 13.19 | 2.73 | 58.49 |
| Total equity capital | 7.12 | 7.12 | 6.89 | 6.97 | 6.67 | 7.77 | 7.62 | 6.45 | 12.67 |
| Of total assets other than cash and U.S. Treasury securities: Total equity capital ${ }^{3}$ | 8.92 | 8.92 | 8.71 | 8.60 | 9.13 | 9.48 | 8.75 | 7.48 | 14.03 |
| Memoranda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standby letters of credit-total ............ | \$31,921 | \$31,921 | \$30,056 | \$19,389 | \$10,667 | \$1,865 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Time certificates of deposits in denominations of \$100,000 or | 189,786 | 189,762 | 143,028 | 110,608 | 32,420 | 46,734 | 24 | 24 | 0 |
| Other time deposits in amounts of \$100,000 or more ...... | 26,282 | 26,236 | 22,274 | 18,911 | 3,363 | 3,962 | 46 | 46 | 0 |
| Number of banks at end of period | 14,664 | 14,408 | 5,407 | 4.426 | 981 | 9,001 | 256 | 156 | 100 |

Note: Refer to footnotes on Table 107.
Note: Refer to footnotes on Table 107 .
Does not include financial data for 37 noninsured banks that did not file Reports of Condition.
Amounts are not adjusted for incomplete reporting by some noninsured banks.
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Table 107. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER 31, 1980
BANKS GROUPED BY INSURANCE STȦTUS AND CLASS OF BANK
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability or expense item | Total | Insured banks |  |  |  |  | Noninsured banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Members of Federal Reserve System |  |  | Not members of F.R. System | Total | ```Banks of deposit'``` | Nondeposit trust companies ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  | Total | National | State |  |  |  |  |
| Total assets ....................................................... | \$1,543.545 | \$1.538.794 | \$1.142.386 | \$875.162 | \$267.224 | \$396.408 | \$4.751 | \$3.937 | \$814 |
| Cash and due from depository institutions-total .................. Cash items in process of collection ................ | $\begin{array}{r} 202,300 \\ 81,145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201,981 \\ 81,008 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 164,299 \\ 7,291 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 115.404 \\ 51.400 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48,895 \\ & 25,891 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 37,682 \\ 3,718 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319 \\ & 137 \end{aligned}$ | 207 77 | $\begin{array}{r} 112 \\ 60 \end{array}$ |
| Demand balances with commercial banks <br> in the United States <br> All other balances with depository institutions in the U. $\dot{S}$. | $49,311$ | $49,193$ | 30,813 | 18,891 | 11,921 | 18,380 | 119 | 65 | 54 |
| and with banks in foreign countries Balances with Federal Reserve Banks Currency and coin | $\begin{aligned} & 21,944 \\ & 29,996 \\ & 19,922 \end{aligned}$ | 21,871 29.993 19,917 | 11,678 29.795 14,722 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.470 \\ 23.892 \\ 11,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,209 \\ & 5,903 \\ & 2,971 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.192 \\ 198 \\ 5,194 \end{array}$ | 73 3 5 | $\begin{array}{r} 54 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$ |
| Securities - total ............................................ | 326.005 | 324.301 | 215.331 | 168.191 | 47.140 | 108.970 | 1.705 | 1.562 | 143 |
|  | 104,468 | 104,058 | 66,608 | 51.231 | 15,377 | 37,451 | 410 | 363 | 47 |
| and corporations <br> Obligations of States and political subdivisions in the U.S. <br> All other securities | $\begin{array}{r} 59,390 \\ 146,537 \\ 15,611 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59,069 \\ 145,902 \\ 15,271 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 35,252 \\ 101,021 \\ 12,450 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28,763 \\ 78,842 \\ 9,355 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,489 \\ 22,179 \\ 3,095 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23,817 \\ 44,881 \\ 2,821 \end{array}$ | 321 634 340 | 311 588 299 | 9 46 41 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 71,374 | 70.135 | 50,382 | 39,148 | 11,234 | 19,753 | 1.238 | 1.017 | 221 |
| Loans, net <br> Plus: Allowances for possible ioan losses <br> Loans, total <br> Plus: Unearned income on loans | $\begin{array}{r} 812.196 \\ 9,853 \\ 822,049 \\ 19,490 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 811,147 \\ 9,818 \\ 820,965 \\ 19,454 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 599.443 \\ 7,670 \\ 607,113 \\ 12,633 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 468.365 \\ 54.851 \\ 474,216 \\ 10,201 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 131,078 \\ 1,819 \\ 132,897 \\ 2,432 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 211,703 \\ 213,148 \\ 21,852 \\ 6,821 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.049 \\ 35 \\ 1,084 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.038 \\ 35 \\ 1,072 \\ 36 \end{array}$ | 12 0 12 0 |
| Loans, gross | $2635 \overline{57}$ | 840,418 |  |  | 135,329 | 220,672 | $3 \overline{7}$ |  | $\overline{2}$ |
| Real estate Ioans - Total | $263,557$ | 263,220 | 180,572 | 148,530 22 | 32,042 | 82,647 | 337 | 335 |  |
| Construction and land development ................................................... | 36,768 8,713 | 36,726 8,553 | 28,450 3,751 | 22,395 3,164 | 6,055 | 8,276 4,802 | 43 160 | 43 160 | 0 |
| Secured by 1-4 family residential properties .............. | 147,572 | 147, 499 | 102,091 | 85,458 | 16,633 | 45,409 | 72 | 70 | 2 |
| Secured by multifamily ( 5 or more) residential properties .. | 6,555 63,949 | 6,505 63,936 | 4,650 41,631 | 3,597 33,917 | 1,054 7,714 | 1,855 22,306 | 50 12 | 49 12 | 0 |



Table 107. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF ALL COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER 31, 1980 - CONTINUED
BANKS GROUPED BY INSURANCE STATUS AND CLASS OF BANK
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability or expense item | Total | Insured banks |  |  |  |  | Noninsured banks |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total | Members ofFederal Reserve System |  |  | Notmembers of F.R. System | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Banks } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { deposit' } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nondeposit } \\ \text { trust } \\ \text { companies } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | Total | National | State |  |  |  |  |
| Total deposits <br> Demand <br> Savings <br> Time | $\begin{array}{r} 1.193 .968 \\ 430.066 \\ 201,297 \\ 559,577 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.192 .246 \\ 432.287 \\ 201.217 \\ 558,742 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 847.936 \\ & 328.373 \\ & 134,388 \\ & 385,174 \end{aligned}$ | 656.752 238.331 108.575 309,846 | $\begin{aligned} & 191.183 \\ & 9.043 \\ & 25.812 \\ & 75.328 \end{aligned}$ | 344.31 103.914 66.829 173,568 173,56 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.722 \\ 80 \\ 835 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.648 \\ 709 \\ 80 \\ 831 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 70 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |
| Miscellaneous liabilities-total | 235.605 | 232.681 | 212.520 | 155.118 | 57.402 | 20.162 | 2.923 | 2.337 | 587 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 133.461 | 132,461 | 121,445 | 91,210 | 30,235 | 11,016 | 1,000 | 974 | 26 |
| Interest bearing demand notes issued <br> and other liabilities for borrowed money <br> Mortgage indebtedness and liability for capitalized leases All other liabilities | $\begin{array}{r} 28.015 \\ 2.237 \\ 71,891 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27,482 \\ & 2,229 \\ & 70,509 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25,387 \\ & 1,661 \\ & 1,647 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.236 \\ & 1.354 \\ & 47,317 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10,151 \\ 1306 \\ 16,710 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,095 \\ & 568 \\ & 6,482 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 532 \\ 8 \\ 1,383 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 525 \\ & 0 \\ & 837 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7 \\ 8 \\ 546 \end{array}$ |
| Total liabilities (excluding subordinated notes and debentures) .. | 1.429.145 | 1.424.927 | 1.060.455 | 811.870 | 248.585 | 364.472 | 4.218 | 3.556 | 662 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures ............................ | 6.337 | 6.267 | 4.645 | 3.423 | 1.222 | 1.622 | 70 | 69 | 1 |
| Equity capital-total <br> Preferred stock-par value <br> Preferred stock-shares outstanding (in thousands) <br> Common stock-par value <br> Common stock-shares outstanding (in thousands) Surplus <br> Undivided profits and reserve for contingencies and | $\begin{array}{r} 108.108 \\ 5.48 \\ 51,818 \\ 2.3168 \\ 237.880 \\ 37.929 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 107.599 \\ 5.35 \\ 21.687 \\ 2,313,790 \\ 37.776 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77,285 \\ 58 \\ 500 \\ 1.259 \\ 1,40.916 \\ 25,295 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 59.868 \\ 34 \\ 416 \\ 1.1039 \\ 1.206 .543 \\ 18.990 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.417 \\ 4 \\ 84 \\ 3.355 \\ 254.373 \\ 6.305 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 30.314 \\ 4.778 \\ 6.738 \\ 652.382 \\ 852.474 \\ 12.481 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 509 \\ 13 \\ 80 \\ 187 \\ 3.490 \\ 153 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 359 \\ 13 \\ 80 \\ 190 \\ 994 \\ 114 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 37 \\ 2.496 \\ 39 \end{array}$ |
| other capital reserves ............................... | 48,164 | 48,011 | 36,657 | 28,905 | 7.753 | 11,354 | 153 | 80 | 72 |


| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Of total assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from depository institutions .................. | 13.11 | 13.13 | 14.38 | 13.19 | 18.30 | 9.51 | 6.71 | 5.25 | 13.80 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obigations of other | 10.62 | 10.60 | 8.92 | 9.14 | 8.18 | 15.46 | 15.38 | 17.14 | 6.88 |
| All other securities ....................................... | 10.50 | 10.47 | 9.93 | 10.08 | 9.46 | 12.03 | 20.50 | 22.53 | 10.67 |
| Loans (including federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell) | 57.88 | 57.91 | 57.55 | 58.66 | 53.94 | 58.93 | 48.88 | 53.08 |  |
| All other assets ........... | 7.89 | 7.89 | 9.21 | 8.94 | 10.13 | 4.07 | 8.53 | 2.01 | 40.05 |
| Total equity capital | 7.00 | 6.99 | 6.77 | 6.84 | 6.52 | 7.65 | 10.71 | 9.11 | 18.42 |
| Of total assets other than cash and U.S. Treasury securities : Total equity capital ${ }^{3}$ | 8.74 | 8.73 | 8.48 | 8.45 | 8.58 | 9.44 | 12.64 | 10.65 | 22.89 |
| Memoranda |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standby letters of credit-total .............................. | - | 37, 237 | 34,785 | 23,372 | 11,413 | 2,452 | - | - | - |
| Time certificates of deposits in denominations of $\$ 100,000$ or more | 238,346 | 237,949 | 184,199 | 143,165 | 41,034 | 53,750 | 397 | 393 | 4 |
| Other time deposits in amounts of \$100,000 or more | 20,604 | 20,574 | 16,900 | 13,030 | 3,870 | 3,674 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
| Number of banks at end of period | 14,704 | 14,435 | 5,422 | 4,425 | 997 | 9,013 | 269 | 161 | 108 |

${ }^{1}$ Excludes domestic branches of foreign banks
 computation of ratios of equity capital to assets.
Note: Does not include financial data for 1 State nonmember bank in liquidation and

Digficted for FRASER
http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Table 108. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF ALL MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), JUNE 30, 1980, AND DECEMBER 31, 1980
BANKS GROUPED BY INSURANCE STATUS
(Amounts in millions of dollars)


Digitized for FRASER commercial and industrial loans

| Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (include purchased paper): <br> Installment loans to repair and modernize residential property <br> 0ther installment loans for household, family, and other personal expenditures <br> Single-payment loans for household family, and other personal expenditures <br> All other loans | 898 | 791 | 106 | 920 | 796 | 124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.891 | 2.585 | 305 | 3.101 |  |  |
|  | 2.89 | 2,585 | 305 | 3.101 | 2.729 | 372 |
|  | 1,593 | 1,459 | 134 | 1.822 | 1,661 | 161 |
|  | 296 | 194 | 102 | 1,313 | 1,172 | 141 |
| Total net loans and securities | 150.184 | 138.736 | 11.448 | 153.818 | 140.822 | 12.987 |
| Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, capital leases, and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| other assets representing bank premises | 1.556 | 1.428 | 129 | 1,619 | 1,466 | 154 |
| Real estate owned other than bank premises | 310 | 283 | 26 | 330 | . 288 | 41 |
| Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies | 156 | 144 | 12 | 166 | 160 | 6 |
| Other assets ....................................................... | 2.574 | 2.472 | 102 | 2.859 | 2.716 | 143 |
| Total liabilities and surplus accounts | 162.826 | 150,377 | 12.449 | 166.653 | 152.566 | 14.088 |
| Deposits-total | 145.845 | 134.659 | 11.186 | 150.000 | 137.314 | 12.686 |
| Savings and time deposits-total | 143.485 | 132,320 | 11.165 | 147.640 | 134.979 | 12.661 |
| Savings deposits-total | 55,196 | 50,048 | 5,149 | 52.886 | 47.379 | 5.506 |
| Subject to transfer by order (interest-bearing) | 1,727 | 1,146 | 581 | 2.044 | 1.322 | . 722 |
| Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 53.469 | 48.902 | 4.568 | 50.841 | 46.057 | 4.784 |
| Time deposits-total | 88,288 | 82,272 | 6.017 | 94.754 | 87.600 | 7.155 |
| Demand deposits-total | 2.361 | 2.340 | 21 | 2.360 | 2.335 | 25 |
| Subject to transfer by order (noninterest-bearing) | 1.190 | 1,187 | 3 | 1.265 | 1.263 | 2 |
| Other . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.171 | 1.153 | 18 | 1.095 | 1.072 | 24 |
| Miscellaneous Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| under agreements to repurchase .... | 2.107 | 2.099 | 8 | 1.658 | 1.652 | 6 |
| Mortgage indebtedness and liability for capital leases ................... | 66 | 64 | 2 | 80 | 68 | 12 |
| Other liabilities for Dorrowed money .... | 2.552 | 2.453 | 100 | 2.817 | 2.719 | 98 |
| Other liabilities .................... | 1.075 | 934 | 141 | 1.074 | 906 | 168 |
| Total liabilities | 151.646 | 140.210 | 11.437 | 155.544 | 142.659 | 12.885 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 378 | 378 | 0 | 459 | 374 | 85 |
| Surplus accounts-total | 10.802 | 9.790 | 1.013 | 10.650 | 9.533 | 1.116 |
| Surplus ......... | 5.083 | 4.528 | 555 | 5.044 | 4.398 | 646 |
| Undivided profits ........................................................... | 4.391 | 4.085 | 306 | 4.508 | 4.065 | 443 |
| 0ther surplus reserves . . . . . ............................................... | 1,329 | 1.178 | 151 | 1.098 | 1.070 | 28 |
| Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Of total assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from depository institutions | 2.22 | 2.20 | 2.51 | 2.62 | 2.57 |  |
| U.S. Treasury .......................... | 11.96 | 12.01 | 11.32 | 12.69 | 12.73 | 12.22 |
| All other securities | 17.01 | 17.33 | 13.07 | 16.15 | 16.47 | 12.71 |
| Net loans (including federal funds sold and |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| securities purchased under agreements to resell) | 65.99 | 65.58 | 70.97 | 65.56 | 65.19 | 69.58 |
| All other assets | 2.82 | 2.88 | 2.17 | 2.99 | 3.04 | 2.45 |
| Total surplus accounts ..................................................... | 6.63 | 6.51 | 8.14 | 6.39 | 6.25 | 7.93 |
| Of total assets other than cash and U.S. Government obligations: <br> Total surplus accounts | 7.73 | 7.59 | 9.44 | 755 | 738 | 9.36 |
| Number of banks | 464 | 325 | 139 | 460 | 323 | 137 |

'Does not include figures for noninsured banks that did not file Reports of Condition ( 44 in June and 26 in December) Note: Amounts are not adjusted for incomplete reporting by some noninsured banks

Table 109. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER CALL DATES, 1975-1980
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability, or expense item | Dec. 31, 19752 | Dec. 31, 1976 | Dec. 31, 1977 | Dec. 31, 1978 | Dec. 31, 1979 | Dec. 31, 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets | 938,888 | 1,011,274 | 1,137,795 | 1,273,189 | 1,405,666 | 1,538,794 |
| Cash and due from banks-total <br> Cash items in process of collection <br> Demand balances with commercial banks in the United States <br> All other balances with depository institutions in the U.S and with banks in foreign countries. . <br> Balances with Federal Banks <br> Currency and coin | 129,023 47.333 32,169 10,387 26,779 12,355 | $\begin{array}{r} 130,210 \\ 48,369 \\ 33,022 \\ 10,664 \\ 25,964 \\ 12,191 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 6 0 , 3 8 2} \\ 66,451 \\ 39,238 \\ 11,352 \\ 29,339 \\ 14,002 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 178,327 \\ 75,292 \\ 42,572 \\ 10,494 \\ 34,398 \\ 15,571 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 192,421 \\ 81,934 \\ 47,941 \\ 11,704 \\ 32,240 \\ 18,602 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 201,981 \\ 81,008 \\ 49,193 \\ 21,871 \\ 299,993 \\ 19,917 \end{array}$ |
|  | 227.832 81.008 33.286 100.802 12.736 | 249,965 96,884 34,325 103,505 15,251 | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{2 5 8 , 4 0 5} \\ 95,961 \\ 35,812 \\ 112,899 \\ 13,733 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 268,778 \\ 89,699 \\ 42,316 \\ 123,511 \\ 13,251 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 285,484 \\ 88,221 \\ 49,314 \\ 132,568 \\ 15,381 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 324,301 \\ 104,058 \\ 59,069 \\ 145,902 \\ 15,271 \end{array}$ |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 37,362 | 45,856 | 49,881 | 48.756 | 61,066 | 70,135 |
| Loans, net . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Plus: Allowances for possible loan losses. | 488,721 6.070 | 518,737 6,195 | 591,328 695 | 682,867 7,715 | 766,831 88,959 | 811,147 9,818 |
| Loans, total ................. Plus Unearned income on loans . . . | 494,792 7.490 | 524.933 12.625 | 598,023 14,703 | 690,581 17.727 | 775,790 20,532 | 820,965 19,454 |
| Loans, gross. | 502,282 | 537,558 | 612,726 | 708,308 | 796,322 | 840,418 |
| Real estate loans-total | 136,196 | 150,987 | 178.632 | 213,625 | 244,796 | 263,220 |
| Construction and land development 1 | 0 | 17.348 | 21,389 | 27,269 | 32,929 | 36,726 |
| Secured by farmland | 6,370 | 6.718 | 7.730 | 8.481 | 8,563 | 8.553 |
| Secured by 1-to 4-family residential properties | 77.030 | 81.110 | 96,757 | 118,477 | 137.346 | 147,499 |
| Secured by multi-family (5 or more) residential properties | 5.900 | 4.440 | 4.907 | 5,723 | 6,305 | 6.505 |
| Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 46,896 | 41.370 | 47,849 | 53,675 | 59.653 | 63,936 |
| Loans to financial institutions . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 38,968 | 35,848 | 36.817 | 43,459 | 41,919 | 46,617 |
| Loans for purchasing or carrying securities | 10.879 | 15,088 | 17.111 | 14,380 | 13,501 | 12,539 31564 |
| Loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers | 20.139 | 23,216 | 25,713 | 28,192 | 31,037 | 31,564 |
| Commercial and industrial loans . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 175,947 106849 | 178,635 118.863 | 197.077 141.257 | 223,244 167,675 | 257,678 187,790 | 282,889 181,611 |
| vans to individuals-total . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 106,849 33,509 | 1788825 39825 | 19.862 | 61.051 | 67,805 | 62.019 |
| Credit cards and related plans . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12,352 | 14,430 | 18.476 | 24,497 | 29.959 | 29.872 |
| To purchase mobile homes (excluding travel trailers) ................ All other installment loans for household, family and other personal | 8,668 | 8,738 | 9,125 | 9,735 | 10.659 | 10.375 |
| All other installment loans for household, family and other personal expenditures. <br> Single payment loans for household, family and other personal expenditures | 29,100 23,221 | 31.549 24.321 | 35.852 27.943 | 41,854 30,539 | 47,140 32,228 | $\begin{aligned} & 46,142 \\ & 33,203 \end{aligned}$ |
| All other loans ..................................................... | 13.304 | 14,920 | 16,118 | 17.733 | 19.600 | 21.978 |
| Total loans and securities | 753,915 | 814,558 | 899,614 | 1,000,400 | 1,113,381 | 1,205,583 |

Lease financing receivables
Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, and other assets representing bank premises
Real estate owned other than bank premises
All other assets
...................................................

## Total liabilities and equity capital

Business and personal deposits-total
Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-demand
Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-savings
Individuals and nonprofit organizations-savings.
Corporations and other profit organizations-savings 1
Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-time
Deposits accumulated for payment of personal loans-time
Centified and officers' checks, travelers' checks. letters of credit-deman
Government deposits-total
United States Government-demand
United States Government-savings 1
United States Government-time
States and political subdivisions-demand
States and political subdivisions-time
All other deposits-total.
Demand
Savings
Time
Total deposits
Demand
Saving

Miscellaneous liabilities-total
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase Interest bearing demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury and other liabilities for borrowed money
Mortgage indebtedness and liability for capitalized leases. .......................... All other liabilities

## Total liabilities (excluding subordinated notes and debentures)

## Subordinated notes and debentures

Equity capital-total
Preferred stock-par value
Common stock-par value
Surplus
Undivided profits and reserve for contingencies and other capital reserves

| 4.413 | 5.119 | 5.810 | 7,658 | 9,952 | 11,675 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15,598 | 16.703 | 18,345 | 20,551 | 22.606 | 25.481 |
| 1,910 | 2,894 | 3,095 | 2.476 | 2.086 | 2,147 |
| 34,030 | 41,789 | 50,548 | 63,776 | 65.221 | 91,927 |
| 938.888 | 1,011,274 | 1,137,795 | 1,273,189 | 1,405,666 | 1,538,794 |
| 647.240 | 697,388 | 777,178 | 857,642 | 933,831 | 1,028,384 |
| 247.869 | 256,807 | 287,844 | 309,348 | 334.126 | 334,359 |
| 160,654 | 197,661 | 215.198 | 216.503 | 203,132 | 197.641 |
| 160,654 | 189.029 | 204,454 | 205.568 | 193,337 | 187,700 |
| 0 | 8.632 | 10.744 | 10,935 | 9,795 | 9,941 |
| 227.692 | 231,212 | 259,896 | 316,146 | 380,624 | 479,868 |
| 280 | 144 | 100 | 110 | 0 |  |
| 10,746 | 11.564 | 14,140 | 15,535 | 15,949 | 16,516 |
| 70,708 | 71,946 | 84,642 | 88,241 | 86,768 | 84,567 |
| 3.127 | 3,043 | 7.341 | 2.726 | 2.407 | 2.461 |
| 0 | 57 | 58 | 83 | 73 | 77 |
| 588 | 686 | 829 | 866 | 949 | 740 |
| 18,879 | 17,989 | 19,209 | 19,202 | 18.933 | 18,440 |
| 0 | 6,051 | 4,789 | 4,299 | 3,794 | 3,456 |
| 48,113 | 44.121 | 52,415 | 61,065 | 60,612 | 59,393 |
| 63,079 | 61,593 | 67,454 | 70,502 | 74.006 | 79.295 |
| 40.800 | 44.566 | 50,222 | 53,474 | 60,139 | 60.510 |
| 0 | 114 | 28 | 44 | 50 | 44 |
| 21,999 | 16,913 | 17.204 | 16,984 | 13,817 | 18.742 |
| 780,747 | 830,927 | 929,274 | 1,016,385 | 1,094,605 | 1,192,246 |
| 321.421 | 333,969 | 378,756 | 400,285 | 431,553 | 432,287 |
| 160.654 | 203,882 | 220.074 | 220,929 | 207,050 | 201,217 |
| 298,672 | 293,076 | 330.445 | 395,171 | 456.002 | 558.742 |
| 87,787 | 102,976 | 123,501 | 163,522 | 207.864 | 232,681 |
| 52,190 | 70,299 | 82,952 | 91.292 | 112,149 | 132,461 |
| 4.604 | 5,081 | 6,694 | 22,792 | 27.875 | 27,482 |
| 775 | 805 | 1,039 | 2.035 | 2,107 | 2.229 |
| 30.217 | 26,792 | 32.816 | 47,404 | 65.733 | 70.509 |
| 868,533 | 933,903 | 1,052.775 | 1,179,907 | 1,302.469 | 1,424.927 |
| 4,399 | 5,123 | 5,739 | 5,865 | 5,956 | 6,267 |
| 65,956 | 72,249 | 79,280 | 87,418 | 97,241 | 107,599 |
|  | 67 | 99 | 114 | 126 | 135 |
| 15,565 | 16,221 | 17.265 | 18.158 | 20.274 | 21.677 |
| 26,706 | 28,894 | 31.085 | 33,203 | 35,329 | 37.776 |
| 23,637 | 27.066 | 30.831 | 35,943 | 41.513 | 48.011 |

## $N$
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Table 109. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS),
DECEMBER CALL DATES, 1975-1980-CONTINUED
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability, or expense item | Dec. 31, 19752 | Dec. 31, 1976 | Dec. 31, 1977 | Dec. 31, 1978 | Dec. 31, 1979 | Dec. 31, 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PERCENTAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Of total assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.74 | 12.88 | 14.10 | 14.01 | 13.69 | 13.13 |
| U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of other U.S. Government agencies and corporations . | 12.17 | 12.97 | $11.58$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.37 \\ & 10.74 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9.78 \\ 10.53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.60 \\ & 10.47 \end{aligned}$ |
| All other securities | 12.09 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans lincluding federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell) | 56.68 | 56.44 | 56.94 | 58.07 | 59.53 | 57.91 |
| All other assets . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 5.31 | 5.96 | 6.25 | 6.81 | 6.47 | 7.89 |
| Total equity capital . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.02 | 7.14 | 6.97 | 6.87 | 6.92 | 6.99 |
| Of total assets other than cash and U.S. Treasury securities: Total equity capital | 9.05 | 9.21 | 8.99 | 8.70 | 8.64 | 8.73 |
| Number of banks at end of period . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 14,384 | 14.411 | 14.412 | 14,391 | 14,364 | 14.435 |

[^5]Table 110. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS (DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN OFFICES),
UNITED STATES AND OTHER AREAS, 1974-1977
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

|  | Dec. 31, 1974 | Dec. 31, 1975 | Dec. 31, 1976 | June 30, 1977 | Dec. 31, 1977 ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total assets | 1,045,972 | 1,095,389 | 1,182,391 | 1,228,366 | 1,339,393 |
| Cash and due from banks | 178,295 | 189,407 | 203,772 | 208.284 | 242,983 |
| Securities-total | 197,019 | 231,527 | 254,383 | 259,475 | 264,526 |
| U.S. Treasury securities | 51,886 | 80,963 | 96.874 | 97,234 | 96,026 |
| Obligations of U.S. Government agencies and corporations | 31,088 | 33,281 | 34,324 | 34,390 | 35,818 |
| Obligations of States and political subdivisions.......... | 96,801 | 100,873 | 103,589 | 108,721 | 113.020 |
| Other bonds, notes, and debentures .......... |  |  | 9,595 | 9,864 | 10,543 |
| Corporate stock................ Trading account securities . . . . . | 9,201 8,043 | 10,771 5,699 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,751 \\ & 8,251 \end{aligned}$ | 1,809 7.457 | $\begin{array}{r}1.854 \\ 7.265 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 39,005 | 36,993 | 45,861 | 40,899 | $\begin{array}{r}\text { 7,265 } \\ \hline 49.845\end{array}$ |
| Loans, net . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  | 620,867 | 656,224 | 715.852 |
| Plus: Reserve for possible loan losses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | 6,348 | 656,224 6,675 | 718.852 6,894 |
| Loans, total ...................... | 580,597 | 586,056 | 627,215 | 662,899 | 722,746 |
| Direct lease financing . | 3,274 | 4.055 | 5,816 | 6.187 | 6,977 |
| Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, and assets representing bank premises | 14,675 | 16.054 | 17,243 | 17,944 | 19.010 |
| Real estate owned other than bank premises . | 829 | 1.936 | 2,974 | 3,162 | 3,134 |
| Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies . | 750 | 790 | 955 | 941 | 987 |
| Customers liability on acceptances outstanding | 10,633 | 7.096 | 11,865 | 14,433 | 14,281 |
| Other assets | 20,896 | 21,476 | 18,654 | 20,817 | 21.797 |
| Total liabilities and equity capital | 1,045,972 | 1,095,389 | 1,182,391 | 1,228,366 | 1,339,393 |
| Total deposits. | 871.225 | 915,856 | 991,913 | 1,022,062 | 1,116,618 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 50.980 | 52.609 | 70,435 | 75,821 | 83,315 |
| Other liabilities for borrowed money. | 8,368 | 7,934 | 9,510 | 11,563 | 13.147 |
| Mortgage indebtedness . | 725 | 774 | 826 | 856 | 1.048 |
| Acceptances executed and outstanding | 14,131 | 11,292 | 12,048 | 14,594 | 14.432 |
| Other liabilities | 28,427 | 29.031 | 20.172 | 22,335 | 25,712 |
| Total liabilities (excluding subordinated notes and debentures) | 973.857 | 1,017.497 | 1,104,905 | 1,147.232 | 1.254.272 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 4,261 | 4.422 | 5.221 | 5,450 | 5,831 |
| Reserves on loans and securities-total2. | 8.780 | 9.193 | ....... | . ...... | . |
| Reserve for losses on loans | 8.466 | 8.792 | .... | ...... | . |
| Other reserves on loans. ... Reserves on securities. | 144 169 | 212 189 | . | . ...... |  |
| Equity capital-total . | 59.075 | 64.276 | 72,266 | 75,684 | 79,291 |
| MEMORANDA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standby letters of credit outstanding 3 | $\ldots$ | . . | 16,410 | 17.199 | 20.044 |
| Time certificates of $\$ 100,000$ or more: 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time certificates of deposit . . . | . . . | ...... | 114.172 | 112,054 | 135,756 |
| Other time deposits................ |  |  | 23,308 | 24,504 | 26,367 |
| Number of banks. | 14,228 | 14.384 | 14.411 | 14,441 | 14.412 |

Table 110A. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS (DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN OFFICES),
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

## Total Assets

Cash and due from depository institutions
Cash items in process of collection and unposted debits
Demand balances with commercial banks in the U.S.
Time and savings balances with commercial banks in U.S
Balances with other depository institutions in the U.S.
With foreign brans in foreign countries
With orer banks in foreign countries
Balances with central banks
Balances with Federal Reserve banks
Balances with other central banks
Currency and coin.

| Domestic only banks and reporting branches | Banks with foreign offices |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consolidated } \\ & \text { Total (Columns } 1 \\ & \text { and 4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign offices and Edge and agreement subsidiaries | Domestic offices | Consolidated reports |  |
| $\mathbf{6 6 0 , 6 3 1}$ | 239,821 | 607,879 | 847,700 | 1,508,331 |
| 71.061 | 96.182 | 106.833 | 203,015 | 274.076 |
| 17.121 | 1,883 | 58.021 | 59,904 | 77.025 |
| 25,602 | 4.611 | 16,959 | 21,570 | 47.172 |
| 2,673 | 1.118 | 985 | 2,103 | 4,776 |
| 2,072 | 231 | 75 | 306 | 2,378 |
| 1.348 | 83,643 | 3.080 | 86,723 | 88,072 |
| N/A | 18,392 | 529 | 18,921 | N/A |
| N/A | 65.252 | 2,551 | 67.802 | N/A |
| 12.549 | 4.460 | 21.867 | 26,326 | 38,875 |
| 12.549 | 566 | 21,844 | 22.410 | 34,959 |
| N/A | 3,894 | 23 | 3,916 | N/A |
| 9,696 | 236 | 5,847 | 6,083 | 15.779 |
| 174,557 | 7.736 | 94,124 | 101,860 | 276,417 |
| 59.084 | 42 | 30.629 | 30,671 | 89.755 |
| 30,072 | 4 | 12.239 | 12.243 | 42.316 |
| 80.767 | 203 | 42.659 | 42.863 | 123.630 |
| 3,824 | 6,611 | 1.546 | 8,156 | 11,980 |
| 483 | 183 | 943 | 1,126 | 1,609 |
| 326 | 692 | 6,108 | 6.801 | 7.127 |
| 24,965 | 199 | 23,809 | 24,008 | 48,973 |
| 366,017 | 143.903 | 314,027 | 457,930 | 823.947 |
| 3,587 | 242 | 4.139 | 4.381 | 7.968 |
| 369,604 | 144,145 | 318,166 | 462,311 | 831.915 |
| 12.479 | 1.026 | 5,176 | 6.202 | 18,681 |
| 382.083 | 145,172 | 323,342 | 468,513 | 850,596 |
| 138,172 | 4,336 | 74.579 | 78,915 | 217.087 |
| 4.509 | 22,824 | 38,054 | 60,878 | 65,386 |
| 1.079 | 81 | 7.219 | 7,300 | 8,379 7 |
| 562 | 2.353 | 4.202 | 6,556 | 7.118 |
| N/A | 453 | 1.670 | 2.123 | N/A |
| N/A | 1.901 | 2.532 | 4.433 | N/A |
| 228 | 15.566 | 9.932 | 25.499 | 25,727 |
| $N / A$ | 1577 | 467 | 844 | N/A |
| N/A | 15.189 | 9,465 | 24,655 | N/A |
| 638 | 285 | 7,296 | 7,581 | 8,219 15 |
| 1.491 | 4.539 | 9,405 | 13,943 | 15,434 15,341 |
| 2,574 23,952 | 961 456 | 11,806 4,239 | + 4 , 695 | 15,341 28,646 |
| 93,251 | 85,566 | 129.733 | 215.299 | 308.550 |
| N/A | 3.380 | 120.744 | 124.125 | N/A |
| N/A | 82.186 | 8.988 | 91,174 | N/A |
| 113.449 | 4.787 | 53.582 | 58,370 | 171.819 |
| 6,176 | 26,241 | 11,349 | 37,591 | 43,767 |
| N/A | 22.966 | 2.420 | 25,386 | N/A |
| N/A | 3.275 | 8.930 | 12.205 | N/A |
| 1.696 | 1.405 | 5.955 | 7.361 | 9.057 |
| 12,372 | 825 | 8.149 | 8,974 | 21,346 |
| 922 | 120 | 1.465 | 1.585 | 2,507 |
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Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies
Customer's liability on acceptances outstanding $\qquad$

## Total liabilities and equity capital



Certified and officers checks, travelers checks, and letters of credit sold for cash. $\qquad$
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurcha $\qquad$
Interest-bearing demand notes and other liabilities for borrowed money
Mortgage indebtedness .
and outstanding $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Acceptances executed and outstanding
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Other liabilities.

$\qquad$
Subordinated notes and debentures

## Equity capital-total

## ...................

## Memoranda

Standby letters of credit outstanding $\qquad$
Time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more
Time certificates of deposits
Other time deposits.
Number of banks.
Number of reporting branches

| 47 | 321 | 703 | 1,024 | 1.071 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 344 | 3.803 | 18,652 | 22.455 | 22,799 |
| 8.650 | -14,673 | 34.161 | 19,488 | 28,138 |
| 660,631 | 239,821 | 607,879 | 847,700 | 1,508,331 |
| 573,247 | 220,620 | 439,811 | 660,431 | 1.233.678 |
| 496,986 | 68,308 | 342,049 | 410,357 | -907.343 |
| 171,270 | N/A | 137,447 | N/A | N/A |
| 146.448 | N/A | 69,657 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| 179.269 | N/A | 134.945 | N/A | N/A |
| 2.066 | 204 | 1.591 | 1,794 | 3,860 |
| 1,624 | N/A | 1,079 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 55 | N/A | 26 | N/A | N/A |
| 387 | N/A | 485 | N/A | N/A |
| 57,250 | 225 | 27,150 | 27,376 | 84,626 |
| 14,282 | N/A | 4,877 | N/A | N/A |
| 3.567 | N/A | 715 | N/A | N/A |
| 39,401 | N/A | 21.559 | N/A | N/A |
| 156 | 33,490 | 8.129 | 41,619 | 41,775 |
| 40 | $N / A$ | 1.798 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 1 | N/A | 16 | $N / A$ | $N / A$ |
| 115 | N/A | 6,315 | N/A | N/A |
| 8.680 | 15,696 | 42,651 | 58,347 | 67.027 |
| 7.148 | N/A | 35.311 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 3 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 1.529 | N/A | 7.340 | N/A | N/A |
| 475 | 99,950 | 9.404 | 109,354 | 109,829 |
| 228 | N/A | 8,223 | N/A | N/A |
| 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A |
| 247 | N/A | 1,181 | N/A | N/A |
| 980 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 980 |
| 719 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $N /$ A |
| 21 | N/A | N/A | N/A | $N / A$ |
| 240 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 6,654 | 2.745 | 8.837 | 11,582 | 18.236 |
| 21,146 | 144 | 70,112 | 70.255 | 91,401 |
| 6,238 | 10.189 | 16,569 | 26,759 | 32.996 |
| 915 | 43 | 1,129 | 1.172 | 2.087 |
| 344 | 3.843 | 18.834 | 22.677 | 23,021 |
| 7.566 | 4.669 | 19.324 | 23,994 | 31,560 |
| 609,456 | 239,508 | 565.779 | 805.287 | 1,414,743 |
| 2,576 | 294 | 3.290 | 3,584 | 6.159 |
| 48,599 | 19 | 38,810 | 38,829 | 87.428 |
| 2.428 | 6.853 | 16.438 | 23.291 | 25,719 |
| 67,455 | $N / A$ | 110,068 | N/A | N/A |
| 9.408 | N/A | 17.139 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 14.236 | ....... | ....... | 155 | 14.391 |
| 19 | . . . ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | . ...... |  | 19 |
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```
Total Assets
Cash and due from depository institutions
    Cash items in process of collection and unposted debits
    Demand balances with commercial banks in the U.S.
    Time and savings balances with commercial banks in U.S.
    Balances with other depository institutions in the U.S.
    Balances with banks in foreign countries
    With foreign branches of other U.S. banks
    With other banks in foreign countries
    Wances with central bank
    Balances with Federal Reserve banks
    Balances with other central banks
    her central banks
Currency and coin
Securities-total.
    S. Treasury securities
    Obligations of U.S. Government agencies and corporations
    Obligations of States and political subdivisions.
    Other bonds, notes, and debentures
    Corporate stock.
    Trading account securities
Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell
Loans, net .......................
Less: reserv
Less: unearned income on loans
Loans, gross
    Real estate loans (including only loans secured primarily by real estate)
    Loans to financial institutions
    To real estate investment trusts and mortgage companies
    To commercial banks in the U.S.
    To U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks
    To other commercial banks in the U.S.
    To banks in foreign countries
    To foreign branches of other U.S. banks
    To other banks in foreign countries
    To finance companies in the U
    To other financial institutions
    Loans for purchasing or carrying securities (secured and unsecured)
    Loans to farmers.
    Commercial and industrial loans (except those secured primarily by real estate)
    T U.S. addressees (domicile)
    To non US addressees (do), +
    To non-U.S. addressees (domicile) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 
    Loans to individ
    Loans to foreign governments and official institutions
    Loans to fore
Direct lease financing
Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, and other assets representing bank premises
```

| Domestic only banks and reporting branches | Banks with foreign offices |  |  | ConsolidatedTotal (Columnsand 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Foreign offices and Edge and agreement subsidiaries | Domestic offices | Consolidated reports |  |
| 712,740 | 291,128 | 688,210 | 979,338 | 1,692,078 |
| 76.794 | 114,608 | 115,200 | 229.808 | 306,602 |
| 18,117 | 1.786 | 63,722 | 65,508 | 83,625 |
| 28.120 | 5.186 | 19,812 | 24,998 | 53.118 |
| 3,058 | 1.719 | 901 | 2.620 | 5,678 |
| 2,581 | 69 | 57 | 125 | 2.707 |
| 2,046 | 102,042 | 2.723 | 104,766 | 106,811 |
| N/A | 26,090 | 994 | 27.084 | N/A |
| N/A | 75,952 | 1.729 | 77,681 | N/A |
| 11,611 | 3,519 | 20,671 | 24.190 | 35.800 |
| 11,611 | 421 | 20,630 | 21,051 | 32,661 |
| N/A | 3.098 | 41 | 3,139 | N/A |
| 11,261 | 288 | 7.313 | 7.601 | 18.863 |
| 180,654 | 8,676 | 104,593 | 113.269 | 293.924 |
| 57,941 | 205 | 30,280 | 30.485 | 88.426 |
| 33,885 | 49 | 15.429 | 15.478 | 49,363 |
| 84,289 | 475 | 48.043 | 48.518 | 132.808 |
| 3,585 | 6,631 | 1,668 | 8.299 | 11,884 |
| 492 | 169 | 1,003 | 1.173 | 1.665 |
| 462 | 1.146 | 8.170 | 9,316 | 9,778 |
| 36,014 | 355 | 24,908 | 25,263 | 61,277 |
| 392,338 | 160,105 | 371,417 | 531.522 | 923,860 |
| 3,945 | 225 | 5,014 | 5.239 | 9,183 |
| 396,283 | 160,329 | 376,431 | 536,760 | 933,043 |
| 13.868 | 1,390 | 6,595 | 7.985 | 21,853 |
| 410,151 | 61.720 | 383,026 | 544,746 | 954,896 |
| 150,245 | 5,347 | 93,699 | 99,047 | 249.291 |
| 4,033 | 27.895 | 37,621 | 65.515 | 69,548 |
| 907 | 104 | 6,538 | 6.642 | 7.548 |
| 531 | 538 | 3.740 | 4.278 | 4.808 |
| N/A | 256 | 1.396 | 1.653 | N/A |
| N/A | 282 | 2,344 | 2.625 | N/A |
| 280 | 20,338 | 7.583 | 27.921 | 28,201 |
| N/A | 320 | 314 | 635 | N/A |
| N/A | 20,017 | 7.269 | 27.286 | N/A |
| 535 | 295 | 8.891 | 9.186 | 9,720 |
| 1.781 | 6.621 | 10,868 | 17.489 | 19.270 |
| 2,382 | 1,072 | 11.021 | 12,094 | 14.476 |
| 26,137 | 422 | 4.883 | 5.305 | 31.442 |
| 99,500 | 94,478 | 157.149 | 251,626 | 351.127 |
| N/A | 5,060 | 148,717 | 153.777 | N/A |
| N/A | 89,418 | 8.432 | 97.850 | N/A |
| 121,100 | 5,533 | 66,085 | 71,618 | 192,718 |
| 6.755 | 26,973 | 12,568 | 39,540 | 46,295 |
| N/A | 23.511 | 1.843 | 25,353 | N/A |
| N/A | 3.462 | 10.725 | 14,187 | N/A |
| 1.895 | 1,824 | 8.048 | 9,871 | 11.766 |
| 13.519 | 974 | 9.057 | 10.031 | 23.550 |
| 892 | 122 | 1.117 | 1.239 | 2.132 |
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Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies

## Customer's liability on acceptances outstanding

$\qquad$ Other assets

| 42 | 518 | 553 | 1.070 | 1,113 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 403 | 6.756 | 25,399 | 32,155 | 32.558 |
| 10.189 | $-2,810$ | 27,919 | 25,109 | 35,298 |
| 712.740 | 291,128 | 688.210 | 979,338 | 1,692,078 |
| 615.267 | 272.493 | 475,308 | 747801 |  |
| 538.328 | 87,895 | 376,165 | 464.060 | 1,002,389 |
| 178,741 | N/A | 154,800 | N/A | N/A |
| 134,101 | N/A | 68,662 | N/A | N/A |
| 225.487 | N/A | 152.702 | N/A | N/A |
| 1,864 | 215 | 1,554 | 1,769 | 3.632 |
| 1.417 | N/A | 981 | N/A | N/A |
|  | N/A | 21 | N/A | $N / A$ |
| 397 | N/A | 551 | N/A | N/A |
| 56,896 | 290 | 26,150 | 26,441 | 83,337 |
| 13,598 | N/A | 5,280 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 3,177 | N/A | 608 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 40,121 | N/A | 20,262 | N/A | N/A |
| 211 | 36,769 | 6.847 | 43,616 | 43.827 |
| 40 | N/A | 2.111 | $N / \mathrm{A}$ | N/A |
| 1 | N/A | 21 | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 170 | N/A | 4.715 | N/A | N/A |
| 9.753 | $17.936$ | 45.344 | 63,280 | 72,852 |
| 7.884 | N/A | 40,078 | N/A | N/A |
|  | N/A |  | N/A | N/A |
| 1.683 | N/A | $5,266$ | N/A | $N / A$ |
| 449 | 126,248 | 10,199 | 136.447 | 136,895 |
| 249 | N/A | 8.924 | N/A | N/A |
| 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | $N / A$ |
| 200 | N/A | 1.275 | N/A | N/A |
| 1.092 | N/A | N/A | $N / A$ | 1,092 |
| 738 | N/A | N/A | $N / A$ | N/A |
| 21 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 333 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 6.855 | 3.139 | 9.049 | 12.189 | 19,044 |
| 24,802 | 198 | 87.347 | 87.545 | 112,347 |
| 5.933 | 11.674 | 21.919 | 33,593 | 39,526 |
| 901 | 43 | 1.205 | 1.248 | 2,149 |
| 403 | 5.825 | 26.613 | 32,438 | 32.841 |
| 9,371 | 597 | 28,684 | 29.281 | 38,652 |
| 656,677 | 290,831 | 641.076 | 931.907 | 1,588,584 |
| 2.618 | 297 | 3.338 | 3.635 | 6,253 |
| 53,445 | 0 | 43,796 | 43,796 | 97.241 |
| 3.228 | 6,687 | 24,816 | 31,503 | 34.731 |
| 79,584 7890 | N/A N/A | 107,331 18.046 | N/A $N / A$ | N/A |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $19$ |  | ..... | 164 | 14,364 19 |



## Memoranda

Standby letters of credit outstanding

$\qquad$

Time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more

Time certificates of deposits

Other time deposits
Number of banks
Number of reporting branches

Table 110C. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS (DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN OFFICES), UNITED STATES AND OTHER AREAS, DECEMBER 31, 1980 (Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability or expense item | Domestic only banks and reporting branches | Banks with foreign offices |  |  | Consolidated Total (Columns 1 and 4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Foreign offices and edge and agreement subsidiaries | Domestic offices | Consolidated reports |  |
| Total Assets | 764.286 | 322,720 | 768,688 | 1,091,408 | 1,855,695 |
| Cash and due from depository institutions | 82,619 | 130,527 | 118,790 | 249,317 | 331,936 |
| Cash items in process of collection and unposted debits . | 16,755 | 2,177 | 64,134 | 66,311 | 83,065 |
|  | 27,527 | 4,656 | 21,660 | 26,316 | 53,843 |
| Time and savings balances with commercial banks in the U.S. . . . . . . . . | 6,205 5,705 | 1,422 | 1,049 | 2,472 300 | 8,677 |
| Balances with other depository institutions .............................. Balances with banks in foreign countries ........................ | 5,705 4,331 | 118,711 | 178 3,923 | 122,634 | 6,005 126,965 |
| With foreign branches of other U.S banks ................................ | N/A | 25,562 | 1,109 <br> 1.1014 | -26,671 | N/A |
| With other banks in foreign countries ... | N/A | 93,149 | 2,814 | 95,963 | N/A |
| Balances with central banks . . ........ | 10,319 | 3,130 | 19,733 | 22,862 | 33,182 |
| Balances with Federal Reserve banks | 10,319 | . 389 | 19,674 | 20,062 | 30,382 |
| Balances with other central banks .. | N/A | 2,741 | 59 | 2,800 | N/A |
| Currency and coin ............... | 11,777 | 308 | 8,113 | 8,421 | 20,198 |
| Securities-total .......... |  | 10.489 |  | 126.332 | 334.406 |
| U.S. Treasury Securities ................................. | 69,531 43,233 | 403 9 | 34,527 15,836 | 34,930 <br> 15,845 | 104,461 59,078 |
| Obligations of States and political subdivisions ........... | 90,464 | 751 | 55,057 | 55,808 | 146,272 |
| Other bonds, notes, and debentures ........... | 4,012 | 7.750 | 1,669 | 9,420 | 13,433 |
| Corporate stock ......... | 493 | 168 | 1,111 | 1,279 | 1,771 |
| Trading account securities | 341 | 1,408 | 7,643 | 9,050 | 9,391 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell ........ | 43.494 | 305 | 26.528 | 26,833 | 70,327 |
| Loans, net | 398.792 | 184,629 | 409,009 | 593,638 | 992.429 |
| Less: allowance for possible loan losses Loans, total ........................... | 4,210 403,002 | 184,864 | 5,608 414,616 | 5,843 599,481 | 10,053 1.002483 |
| Less: unearned income on loans | 13,037 | 1,678 | 6,307 | 7,984 | 21,021 |
| Loans, gross | 416,039 | 186,542 | 420,923 | 607,465 | 1,023,504 |
| Real estate loans (including only loans secured primarily by real estate) | 153,493 | 6,741 | 108,883 | 115,624 | 269,117 |
| Loans to financial institutions $\ldots$........................................ | 5,077 | 35,003 | 41,092 | 76.095 | 81,172 |
| To real estate investment trusts and mortgage companies | . 756 | 104 | 4,859 | 4,963 | 5,719 |
| To commercial banks in the U.S. ............ | 1,388 | 678 | 5,403 | 6,081 | 7.469 |
| To U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks | N/A | 282 | 2,200 | 2,482 | N/A |
| To other commercial banks in the U.S. ............................... | N/A | 396 | 3,203 | 3,599 | N/A |
| To banks in foreign countries | 375 | 26,751 | 9,956 | 36,708 | 37,082 |
| To foreign branches of other U.S. banks | N/A | 324 | 391 | 714 | N/A |
| To other banks in foreign countries | N/A | 26,427 | 9,566 | 35,993 | N/A |
| To finance companies in the U.S. | 474 | 782 | 9,886 | 10,268 | 10,741 |
| To other financial institutions | 2,085 | 7,088 | 10,987 | 18,075 | 20.160 |

Loans for purchasing or carrying securities (secured \& unsecured)
Loans to farmers ....................................................................... To U.S. addressees (domicile)
To non-U.S. addressees (domicile)
Loans to individuals for household, family and other personal expenditures All other loans
Loans to foreign governments and official institutions
Direct lease financing
Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, and other assets representing bank premises
Real estate owned other than bank premises
Investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies
Customer's liability on acceptances outstanding

## Other assets <br> Total liabilities and equity capita <br> and equity capital

```
Total deposits
        Individuals, partnerships and corporations ......................................
        Demand
        Savings
        U.S. Government
        Demand
        Demand
        Time
States and political subdivisions in the U.S
        Demand
            Saving
        Time
            Foreign governments and official institutions
            Demand
            Savings
            Deposits of commercial banks in the U.S.
            Deposits of
            eavings
        Time
Deposits of banks in foreign countries
        emand
        Saving
        Time
    All other deposits
```




```
        Time
        Saving
            emand
            eavings
                ............................................
                    l...
                            Ment ...
```



```
                    ....
```



```
....
```



outstanding ...............................
$\qquad$

N
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Table 110C. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS (DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN OFFICES),
UNITED STATES AND OTHER AREAS, DECEMBĖR 31, $1980^{\prime}$ - CONTINUED
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability or expense item | Domestic only banks and reporting branches | Banks with foreign offices |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consolidated } \\ & \text { Total (Columns } 1 \\ & \text { and 4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Foreign offices and edge and agreement subsidiaries | Domestic offices | Consolidated reports |  |
| Certified and officers checks, travelers checks, and letters of credit sold for cash | 7,181 | 1,853 | 9,295 | 11,148 | 18,329 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 30,201 | 809 | 102,260 | 103,070 | 133,271 |
| Interest-bearing demand notes and others liabilities for borrowed money | 5,336 | 14,735 | 22,131 | 36,866 | 42,201 |
| Mortgage indebtedness | 998 | 13 | 1,231 | 1,244 | 2,242 |
| Acceptances executed and outstanding | 324 | 7,288 | 33,279 | 40,567 | 40,891 |
| Other liabilities | 10.555 | 5,582 | 25,643 | 31,225 | 41,780 |
| Total liabilities [excluding subordinated notes and debentures] .............. | 703,136 | 322,439 | 715,972 | 1,038,411 | 1,741,547 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 2,513 | 281 | 3,754 | 4,035 | 6,549 |
| Equity Capital-lotal . | 58,637 | 0 | 48,962 | 48,962 | 107,599 |
| MEMORANDA |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standby letters of credit outstanding ................................... | 4,318 | 9,702 | 32,912 | 42,614 | 46,933 |
| Time deposits of $\$ 100,000$ or more: <br> Time certificates of deposit <br> Other time deposits | $\begin{array}{r} 89,595 \\ 7,298 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N / A \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 146,533 \\ 12,288 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N / A \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ | $N / A$ $N / A$ |
| Number of banks <br> Number of reporting branches | 14,256 | - | 二 | 178 | 14,434 0 |

[^6]Table 111. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED MUTUAL SAVING BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER CALL DATES, 1975-1980
(Amounts in millions of dollars)


Table 111. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED MUTUAL SAVING BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS),
DECEMBER CALL DATES, 1975-1980-CONTINUED
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Asset, liability, or surplus account | Dec. 31, 1975 | Dec. 31, 1976 | Dec. 31, 1977 | Dec. 31, 1978 | Dec. 31. 1979 | Dec. 31, 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures (include purchased paper): <br> Installment loans to repair and modernize residential property <br> Other installment loans for household, family, and other personal expenditures <br> Single-payment loans for household, family, and other personal expenditures . <br> All other loans | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 1.985 \\ N / A \\ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 2.412 \\ N / A \\ 71 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 2.892 \\ N / A \\ 70 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 3.686 \\ N / A \\ 87 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 717 \\ 2.577 \\ 1.319 \\ 207 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 796 \\ 2.729 \\ 1,661 \\ 1,172 \end{array}$ |
| Total net loans and securities | 101,233 | 113,975 | 124,528 | 133,025 | 137,364 | 140,822 |
| Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, capital leases, and other assets representing bank premises <br> Real estate owned other than bank premises <br> Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies <br> Other assets | $\begin{array}{r} 964 \\ 418 \\ 94 \\ 1,479 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.064 \\ 490 \\ 113 \\ 1.687 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.162 \\ 444 \\ 115 \\ 1.858 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,267 \\ 382 \\ 120 \\ 2.099 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.389 \\ 295 \\ 151 \\ 2.296 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.466 \\ 288 \\ 160 \\ 2.716 \end{array}$ |
| Total liabilities and surplus accounts . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 121,071 | 120,840 | 132,201 | 142,353 | 147,112 | 152,566 |
| Deposits-total <br> Savings and time deposits-total <br> Savings deposits -total <br> Subject to transfer by order (interest-bearing) <br> Other <br> Time deposits-total <br> Demand deposits - total <br> Subject to transfer by order (noninterest-bearing) <br> Other | $\begin{array}{r} 110.583 \\ 109.554 \\ 70.307 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 70,307 \\ 39.246 \\ 1.030 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 1.030 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110,999 \\ 109,896 \\ 67,295 \\ N / A \\ 67,295 \\ 42,601 \\ 1,103 \\ N / A \\ 1,103 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 121,266 \\ 119,734 \\ 70,383 \\ N / A \\ 70,383 \\ 49,351 \\ 1,532 \\ N / A \\ 1,532 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129,450 \\ 127,600 \\ 64,292 \\ N / A \\ 64,292 \\ 63,309 \\ 1,850 \\ N / A \\ 1.850 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132,338 \\ 129,675 \\ 54,483 \\ 49,825 \\ 49.657 \\ 75,192 \\ 2,663 \\ 1,541 \\ 1,122 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137,314 \\ 134,979 \\ 47,379 \\ 1,322 \\ 46,057 \\ 87,600 \\ 2,335 \\ 1,263 \\ 1,072 \end{array}$ |
| Miscellaneous liabilities: <br> Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase <br> Mortgage indebtedness and liability for capital leases <br> Other liabilities for borrowed money <br> Other liabilities. | $\begin{array}{r} 109 \\ N / A \\ 482 \\ 1,476 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 69 $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ 356 1.440 | 169 N/A 484 1.473 | $\begin{array}{r} 579 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ 1.026 \\ 1.646 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.643 \\ 66 \\ 1.959 \\ 876 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.652 \\ 68 \\ 2.719 \\ 906 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Total liabilities | 112,650 | 112,864 | 123,392 | 132,700 | 136,883 | 142,659 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures. | 196 | 213 | 353 | 354 | 382 | 374 |
| Surplus accounts - total <br> Surplus. <br> Undivided profits. <br> Other surplus reserves | $\begin{gathered} 8,224 \\ 8,224 \\ N / A \\ N / A \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.763 \\ 7.763 \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \\ \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.456 \\ & 8.456 \\ & \text { N/A } \\ & \text { N/A } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9,299 \\ & 9.299 \\ & N / A \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{9 , 8 4 7} \\ & 4,526 \\ & 4.167 \\ & 1.155 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.533 \\ & 4.398 \\ & 4.065 \\ & 1.070 \end{aligned}$ |
| PERCENTAGES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from depository institutions <br> U.S. Treasury <br> All other securities <br> Net loans (including federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell) <br> All other assets <br> Total surplus accounts | $\begin{array}{r} 1.94 \\ 8.92 \\ 19.35 \\ 67.12 \\ 2.68 \\ 6.79 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.81 \\ 10.92 \\ 20.51 \\ 63.98 \\ 2.78 \\ 6.42 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.68 \\ 11.72 \\ 20.21 \\ 63.68 \\ 2.71 \\ 640 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.51 \\ 11.39 \\ 19.20 \\ 64.18 \\ 2.72 \\ 6.53 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.99 \\ 11.82 \\ 17.74 \\ 65.64 \\ 2.81 \\ 6.69 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.57 \\ 12.73 \\ 16.47 \\ 65.19 \\ 3.04 \\ 6.25 \end{array}$ |
| Of total assets other than cash and U.S. Government obligations: Total surplus accounts. | 7.62 | 7.36 | 7.39 | 7.59 | 7.77 | 7.38 |
| Number of banks. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 476 | 329 | 323 | 325 | 324 | 323 |
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Table 112. PERCENTAGES OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND EQUITY CAPITAL OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS OPERATING THROUGHOUT 1980 IN THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER AREAS, DECEMBER 31, 1980 BANKS GROUPED BY AMOUNT OF ASSETS

| Asset, liability, or equity capital item | All banks | Banks with assets of - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ \$ 5.0 \text { million } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ 9.9 \text { million } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \$ 10.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ 24.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & 99.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ 299.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ 499.9 \text { million } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\ \text { to } \\ 4.9 \text { billion } \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 5.0$ billion or more |
| Total assets | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Cash and due from depository institutions <br> U.S. Treasury securities <br> Obligations of other U. $\stackrel{S}{ }$. Government agencies | $\begin{gathered} 13.1 \% \\ 6.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \% \\ & 15.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.1 \% \\ 13.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.8 \% \\ 10.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \% \\ & 9.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \% \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.9 \% \\ 8.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \% \\ 8.2 \end{gathered}$ | 13.9\% | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \% \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \% \\ 3.8 \end{gathered}$ |
| and corporations | 3.9 | 10.4 | 10.1 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 3.1 |  |
| Obligations of States and political subdivisions All other securities | 9.5 1.0 | 3.1 .7 | 5.7 .5 | 10.0 .5 | 12.5 .6 | 13.3 .5 | 13.1 .6 | 11.7 | 11.1 .9 | 9.6 1.0 | 1.5 1.6 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 4.5 | 10.5 | 8.1 | 6.6 | 5.6 | .5 4.9 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 4.8 | 1.6 2.7 |
| Loans, net . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 52.7 | 46.7 | 49.8 | 51.8 | 52.2 | 52.6 | 52.1 | 52.0 | 51.5 | 51.8 | 54.4 |
| Unearned income on loans | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.0 | . 7 |
| Allowance for possible loan losses | 6 | . 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 5 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 | . 6 | 8 |
| Loans, gross ..................... | 54.6 | 48.5 | 51.7 | 54.0 | 54.6 | 55.1 | 54.5 | 54.2 | 53.7 | 53.4 | 55.9 |
| Real estate loans | 17.1 | 12.1 | 15.2 | 18.4 | 20.9 | 21.5 | 21.1 | 19.1 | 18.3 | 15.5 | 13.8 |
| Loans to financial institutions ................. | 3.0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | . 1 | . 4 | .7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 6.3 |
| Loans for purchasing or carrying securities Loans to finance agricultural production and other | . 8 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 7 | . 7 | . 8 | 1.6 |
| loans to farmers .....i.................... | 2.1 | 14.9 | 13.8 | 9.8 | 6.0 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 7 | . 6 | 8 |
| Commercial and industrial loans ............ | 18.4 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 15.6 | 17.1 | 16.6 | 19.3 | 24.2 |
| personal expenditures | 9.6 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 10.7 | 11.2 | 11.9 | 11.9 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 10.2 | 6.2 |
| Single-payment loans for personal expenditures All other loans | 2.2 1.4 | 9.1 .8 | 9.1 .9 | 3.4 .9 | 1.5 .9 | 3.4 .8 | 2.7 .8 | 1.8 1.0 | 12.3 1.2 | 2.1 1.7 | 6.2 1.0 2.0 |
| All other assets ${ }^{1}$ | 8.5 | 2.7 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 10.0 | 14.0 |
| Total liabilities and equity capital | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Deposits-total .............................. |  |  |  |  | 83.8\% | 88.0\% | 85.6\% | 83.2\% | 81.4\% | 73.7\% | 66.8\% |
| Demand deposits ........................... | 28.2 | 32.3 | 27.1 | 26.1 |  | 26.1 | 27.1 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 28.5 | 29.4 |
| Time and savings .......................... | 49.3 | 54.9 | 61.8 | 63.1 | 63.0 | 61.9 | 58.5 | 54.0 | 52.1 | 45.2 | 37.4 |
| Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-demand Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-time | 21.8 | 28.5 | 24.0 | 23.0 | 22.8 | 23.2 | 23.0 | 23.8 | 23.6 | 22.6 | 19.0 |
| Individuals, partnerships, and corporations-time and savings | 44.0 | 47.8 | 55.3 | 56.9 | 57.2 | 56.1 | 52.4 | 47.7 | 45.8 | 40.0 | 33.1 |
| U.S. Government | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 2 |
| States and political subdivisions | 5.3 | 9.7 | 8.5 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 2.2 |
| Certified and officers' checks ... | 1.1 | . 8 | . 7 | . 8 | . 9 | . 9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | . 9 | 1.4 |
| All other deposits .............................. | 5.1 | . 2 | . 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 10.9 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 8.6 | . 2 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 13.4 |
| Interest-bearing demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury and other liabilities for borrowed money | 1.8 | . 0 | 0 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 7 | . 8 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.5 |
| All other liabilities ${ }^{2}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 4.6 | . 5 | . 7 | . 9 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.4 | 9.4 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 4 | . 0 | . 1 | . 1 | . 2 | . 3 | . 4 | . 5 | . 5 | . 7 | . 3 |
| Equity capital ....................................... | 7.0 | 11.9 | 10.0 | 8.9 | 3.3 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
| Number of banks | 14,231 | 575 | 1,832 | 4.617 | 3,540 | 1,967 | 1,158 | 196 | 159 | 158 | 29 |

Digitized for FRAS $\begin{aligned} & \text { IIncludes "trading account securities." } \\ & \text { Undes "Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries." }\end{aligned}$
http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Table 113. PERCENTAGES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS OPERATING THROUGHOUT 1980 IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER 31, 1980 BANKS GROUPED BY AMOUNT OF ASSETS

| Asset, liability, or surplus account | All banks | Banks with total assets of-: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 25.0$ million | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 99.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 299.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1 \text { billion } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |
| Total assets <br> Cash and due from depository Institutions Currency and coin <br> Demand balances with commercial banks in the United States Other balances with depository institutions Cash items in process of collection | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |
|  | 2.57 0.33 | 2.74 | 4.46 | 3.21 | 3.00 | 3.11 | 2.42 0.36 | 2.40 0.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.52 | 0.96 | 0.91 | 0.79 | 0.73 | 0.58 | 0.47 | 0.46 |
|  | 1.63 | 0.92 | 2.82 | 1.69 | 1.62 | 2.00 | 1.48 | 1.62 0.07 |
|  | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.11 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities-total- <br> U.S. Treasury, agency, and corporation obligations Corporate bonds <br> Obligations of states and political subdivisions in the U.S. <br> Other bonds, notes and debentures | 29.20 | 24.57 | 23.38 | 21.09 | 23.05 | 25.27 | 28.92 | 31.74 |
|  | 12.73 | 15.19 | 11.53 | 10.52 | 11.10 | 13.64 | 13.62 10.00 | 12.82 |
|  | 10.52 | 4.24 | 7.53 | 5.60 | 6.72 | 7.45 |  |  |
|  | 1.53 | 1.16 | 0.63 | 0.66 | 0.92 | 0.80 | 1.28 | 1.90 |
|  | 2.09 | 1.64 | 1.00 | 1.01 | 1.31 | 1.29 | 1.82 | 2.54 |
| Corporate stock-total | 2.33 | 2.34 | 2.70 | 3.29 | 3.00 |  |  |  |
| Bank ................. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.19 2.14 | 0.42 | 0.32 | 0.51 2.78 | 0.43 | 0.25 | 0.23 | 0.09 |
| Other . | 2.14 | 1.92 | 2.38 | 2.78 | 2.57 | 1.84 | 1.96 | 2.10 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 2.09 | 3.38 | 2.77 | 2.81 | 2.40 | 2.60 | 1.73 | 2.01 |
| Loans, net <br> Real estate loans, gross <br> Less: Unearned income <br> Less: Allowance for possible loans losses <br> Real estate loans, net <br> Construction and land development <br> Secured by farmland <br> Secured by 1 - to 4 -family residential properties; Insured by FHA or guaranteed by VA Conventional | 63.11 | 67.29 | 66.52 | 70.13 | 68.64 | 66.00 | 63.99 |  |
|  | 58.70 | 60.43 | 60.21 | 64.13 | 63.72 | 61.33 | 60.06 | 56.46 |
|  | 0.31 0.11 | 0.16 0.05 | 0.02 0.18 | 0.07 0.05 | 0.11 0.10 | 0.18 0.07 | 0.32 0.09 | 0.38 0.13 |
|  | 58.28 | 60.22 | 60.01 | 64.01 | 63.50 | 61.07 | 59.65 | 55.94 |
|  | 0.88 | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.92 | 1.13 | 1.33 | 1.09 | 0.70 |
|  | 0.02 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25.86 | 49.15 | 49.31 | 50.33 | 45.05 | 36.71 | 26.12 | 18.29 |
| Secured multifamily (5 or more) residential properties: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.91 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  | 2.15 | 2.57 |
| Conventional . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.76 | 1.14 | 2.30 | 1.63 | 3.70 | 5.87 | 7.28 | 9.50 |
| Secured by nonfarm nonresidential properties .. | 9.54 | 5.48 | 4.24 | 5.77 | 7.14 | 7.00 | 8.63 | 11.00 |
| Other loans, gross ................................... | 5.06 | 7.30 | 6.90 | 6.49 | 5.52 | 5.42 | 4.59 | 4.94 |
| Less: Unearned income . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 0.22 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.24 | 0.14 |
| Less: Allowance for possible loan losses ......... | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Other loans, net .................................. | 4.82 | 7.07 | 6.50 | 6.12 | 5.14 | 4.93 | 4.34 | 4.79 |

Loans to financial institutions
To real estate investment trusts and mortgage companies To domestic commercial and foreign banks
To other financial institutions
Loans for purchasing or carrying securities To brokers and dealers in securities
Other loans for purchasing or carrying securities
Loans to finance agricultural production (except those secured primarily by real estate
Commercial and industrial loans (except those secured primarily by real estate
Loans to individuals for household, family and other personal expenditures (include purchased paper): repair and modernize residential Other installment loans for household, family, and other other personal expenditures ................ Single-payment loans for household, family, and other personal expenditures
All other loans

## Total net loans and securities

Bank premises, furniture and fixtures, capital leases, and other assets representing bank premises Investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and associated companies
Other assets

## Total liabilities and surplus accounts

Deposits-total
Savings and time deposits-tota
Savings deposits-total
Subject to transfer by order (interest-bearing) Other
Teme deposits-total
emand deposits-tota
ubject to transfer by order (noninterest-
Other. $\qquad$

| $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.16 \\ & 0.06 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.09 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.17 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.05 \\ & 0.01 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.23 \\ & 0.02 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.15 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.67 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.30 | 0.53 | 0.73 | 0.77 |
| 0.52 | 0.60 | 1.17 | 1.02 | 0.88 | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.31 |
| 1.79 | 3.25 | 3.64 | 2.84 | 2.25 | 2.11 | 1.64 | 1.61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 0.77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.44 \\ & 0.56 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.74 \\ & 0.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.74 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.65 \\ & 0.25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17 \\ & 0.09 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.79 \\ & 1.22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 92.30 | 91.87 | 89.90 | 91.22 | 91.70 | 91.27 | 92.91 | 92.48 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.96 \\ & 0.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17 \\ & 0.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.70 \\ & 0.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.21 \\ & 0.18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.24 \\ & 0.14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.98 \\ & 0.15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.83 \\ & 0.21 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.10 \\ & 1.78 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 0.68 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 1.04 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00 \\ & 1.17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.05 \\ & 1.47 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.13 \\ & 1.51 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.11 \\ & 1.69 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \\ & 1.96 \end{aligned}$ |
| 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| 90.00 88.47 31.05 0.87 30.19 5.42 1.53 | 91.48 90.70 36.45 5.56 30.89 54.25 0.78 | $\begin{array}{r} 91.41 \\ 89.83 \\ 37.08 \\ 3.22 \\ 33.86 \\ 52.75 \\ 1.58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.26 \\ 90.12 \\ 35.17 \\ 3.17 \\ 32.00 \\ 54.95 \\ 1.14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.61 \\ 89.40 \\ 33.31 \\ 1.38 \\ 31.93 \\ 56.08 \\ 1.22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.78 \\ 89.29 \\ 31.67 \\ 0.90 \\ 30.77 \\ 57.62 \\ 1.50 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90.44 \\ 88.84 \\ 34.88 \\ 0.80 \\ 34.08 \\ 53.97 \\ 1.60 \end{array}$ | 89.53 87.92 28.95 0.60 28.35 58.97 1.61 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 0.83 \\ & 0.70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.51 \\ & 0.27 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.17 \\ & 0.42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.02 \\ & 0.12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.86 \\ & 0.35 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09 \\ & 0.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.85 \\ & 0.75 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.76 \\ & 0.84 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 113. PERCENTAGES OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF INSURED MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS OPERATING THROUGHOUT 1980 IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER 31, 1980-CONTINUED BANKS GROUPED BY AMOUNT OF ASSETS

| Asset, liability, or surplus account | All banks | Banks with total assets of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 25.0$ million | $\$ 25.0$ million to $\$ 49.9$ million | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 99.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 299.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | ```$1 billion or more``` |
| Miscellaneous liabilities: <br> Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase <br> Mortgage indebtedness and liability for capital losses Other liabilities for borrowed money Other liabilities | 1.08 0.04 1.78 0.59 | 0.10 0.00 0.00 0.18 | 0.54 0.00 0.44 0.40 | 0.06 0.02 0.50 0.56 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.32 \\ & 0.04 \\ & 1.19 \\ & 0.61 \end{aligned}$ | 0.32 0.04 1.54 0.57 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.67 \\ & 0.03 \\ & 1.43 \\ & 0.55 \end{aligned}$ | 1.56 0.05 2.15 0.61 |
| Total llabilities | 93.51 | 91.76 | 92.80 | 92.41 | 92.76 | 93.25 | 93.13 | 93.90 |
| Subordinated notes and debentures | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.35 |
| Surplus accounts-total <br> Surplus <br> Undivided profits <br> Other surplus reserves | 6.25 2.88 2.66 0.70 | 7.65 4.28 3.01 0.36 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.08 \\ & 4.15 \\ & 2.73 \\ & 0.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.53 \\ & 2.79 \\ & 3.62 \\ & 1.11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.17 \\ & 2.75 \\ & 3.45 \\ & 0.96 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.63 \\ & 2.54 \\ & 3.24 \\ & 0.85 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.75 \\ & 2.92 \\ & 3.38 \\ & 0.45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.74 \\ & 2.94 \\ & 2.13 \\ & 0.68 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Number of banks | 323 | 11 | 19 | 74 | 109 | 31 | 38 | 41 |

Table 114. DISTRIBUTION OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS),
BANKS GROUPED ACCORDING TO AMOUNT OF ASSETS AND BY RATIOS OF SELECTED ITEMS TO ASSETS OR DEPOSITS

| Ratios (in percent) | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \text { banks } \end{gathered}$ | Banks with assets of - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Less } \\ \text { than } \\ \$ 5 \text { million } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \$ .0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 9.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 24.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 99.9 \text { to million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { \$299.9 million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\ & \$ 4.9 \text { billion } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \$ 5.0 \text { billion } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| Ratios of cash and due from depository institutions to total assets of Less than 3.0 <br> 3.0 to 5.99 <br> 6.0 to 8.99 <br> 9.0 to 11.99 <br> 12.0 to 14.99 <br> 15.0 to 17.99 <br> 21.0 to 23.99 <br> 24.0 or more | $\begin{aligned} & 241 \\ & 3.721 \\ & 4.563 \\ & 2.785 \\ & 1.370 \\ & 747 \\ & 409 \\ & 232 \\ & 367 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14 \\ 149 \\ 163 \\ 129 \\ 71 \\ 42 \\ 25 \\ 21 \\ 44 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 570 \\ 579 \\ 301 \\ 149 \\ 89 \\ 66 \\ 34 \\ 75 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 104 \\ 1.421 \\ 1.431 \\ 831 \\ 387 \\ 214 \\ 99 \\ 69 \\ 95 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 48 \\ 972 \\ 1,253 \\ 653 \\ 290 \\ 139 \\ 85 \\ 41 \\ 65 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 15 \\ 429 \\ 680 \\ 467 \\ 181 \\ 96 \\ 52 \\ 22 \\ 27 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12 \\ 164 \\ 354 \\ 270 \\ 182 \\ 84 \\ 42 \\ 20 \\ 30 \end{array}$ | 71 42 58 34 24 14 5 8 | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 32 \\ 43 \\ 43 \\ 24 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ 28 \\ 28 \\ 29 \\ 36 \\ 30 \\ 13 \\ 9 \\ 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} - \\ \hline 1 \\ 4 \\ 12 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$ |
| Ratios of U.S. Treasury securities <br> to total assets of- <br> Less than 3.0 <br> 3.0 to 5.99 <br> 9.0 to 11.99 <br> 12.0 to 14.99 <br> 15.0 to 17.99 <br> 18.0 to 20.99 21.0 to 23.99 <br> 24.0 to 26.99 <br> 27.0 to 29.99 <br> 30.0 to 32.99 <br> 33.0 to 35.99 36.0 or more | $\begin{array}{r} 1,925 \\ 2.818 \\ 2.847 \\ 2.081 \\ 1.514 \\ 1.052 \\ 711 \\ 435 \\ 308 \\ 225 \\ 117 \\ 116 \\ 286 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 109 \\ & 77 \\ & 85 \\ & 70 \\ & 61 \\ & 45 \\ & 44 \\ & 30 \\ & 25 \\ & 22 \\ & 12 \\ & 17 \\ & 61 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 218 \\ & 268 \\ & 288 \\ & 266 \\ & 203 \\ & 182 \\ & 105 \\ & 97 \\ & 75 \\ & 56 \\ & 37 \\ & 31 \\ & 85 \end{aligned}$ | 647 857 843 631 502 364 278 157 111 86 40 42 93 | $\begin{aligned} & 508 \\ & 726 \\ & 704 \\ & 564 \\ & 392 \\ & 232 \\ & 161 \\ & 85 \\ & 62 \\ & 46 \\ & 46 \\ & 16 \\ & 16 \\ & 34 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 242 \\ 430 \\ 479 \\ 291 \\ 202 \\ 142 \\ 82 \\ 45 \\ 24 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119 \\ 274 \\ 331 \\ 177 \\ 120 \\ 65 \\ 32 \\ 18 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 23 \\ 60 \\ 44 \\ 30 \\ 20 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 24 45 32 38 9 6 2 2 1 $=$ $=$ $=$ | 28 62 39 14 4 8 1 -2 - $=$ $=$ | 7 19 2 -1 $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ |
| Ratios of obligations of States and political subdivisions to total assets ofLess than 1.0 <br> 1.0 to 2.49 <br> 2.5 to 4.99 <br> 5.0 to 7.49 7.5 to 9.99 <br> 10.0 to 12.49 <br> 12.5 to 14.99 <br> 15.0 to 17.49 <br> 17.5 to 19.99 <br> 20.0 to 24.99 <br> SER <br> isfed.org/ | $\begin{array}{r} 1, .117 \\ 582 \\ 608 \\ 1.141 \\ 1.486 \\ 2.014 \\ 2.247 \\ 2.015 \\ 1.377 \\ \hline 832 \\ 757 \\ 259 \end{array}$ | 277 112 78 68 41 27 26 12 5 4 4 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 382 \\ & 207 \\ & 209 \\ & 318 \\ & 239 \\ & 184 \\ & 142 \\ & 96 \\ & 56 \\ & 34 \\ & 33 \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | 352 1722 205 440 627 745 686 563 370 221 187 83 | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \\ 54 \\ 64 \\ 666 \\ 323 \\ 353 \\ 640 \\ 621 \\ 438 \\ 278 \\ 266 \\ 268 \end{array}$ | 24 19 28 75 121 257 375 398 273 169 180 50 | 5 11 15 40 61 151 247 240 184 104 80 20 | $\begin{array}{r} 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ 5 \\ 53 \\ 39 \\ 47 \\ 31 \\ 27 \\ 15 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | - 4 3 8 18 28 42 31 16 4 4 1 | - <br>  <br> 1 <br> 15 <br> 24 <br> 43 <br> 38 <br> 22 <br> 8 <br> 3 <br> 1 <br> - | - <br> 2 <br> 6 <br> 9 <br> 7 <br> 4 <br> 1 <br> $=$ <br> $=$ <br> $=$ |


| Ratios of net loans to total assets of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratios of net loans to total assets ofLess than 20 | 182 | 62 | 42 | 43 | 22 | 7 | 4 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| 20.0 to 24.99 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 161 | 31 | 47 | 48 | 22 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 25.0 to 29.99 ............................ | 275 | 31 | 44 | 107 | 54 | 21 | 16 | - | 1 | $1$ | - |
| 30.0 to 34.99 ............................ | 568 | 51 | 124 | 184 | 120 | 52 | 25 | 2 | ${ }^{6}$ | 3 | 1 |
| 35.0 to 39.99 | 916 | 57 | 158 | 326 | 179 | 87 | 68 | $15$ | $15$ | $11$ | $\square$ |
| 40.0 to 44.99 | 1,516 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \\ & 82 \end{aligned}$ | 210 303 | $486$ | $\begin{aligned} & 378 \\ & 557 \end{aligned}$ | $210$ | $106$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 42 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 4 |
| 45.0 to 49.99 | 2,193 2,775 | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \\ & 95 \end{aligned}$ | 303 316 | $\begin{aligned} & 665 \\ & 846 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 557 \\ & 706 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 301 \\ & 427 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 181 \\ & 257 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 50.0 to 54.99 55.0 to 59.99 | 2,775 | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \\ & 75 \end{aligned}$ | 316 268 | $\begin{array}{r} 846 \\ 835 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 706 \\ & 711 \end{aligned}$ | 427 450 | $\begin{array}{r} 257 \\ 265 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 39 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 39 \\ & 32 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 43 \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 60.0 to 64.99 | 1,926 | 54 | 219 | 648 | 511 | 269 | 166 | 17 | 23 | 14 | 5 |
| 65.0 to 69.99 | 884 | 30 | 125 | 324 | 215 | 109 | 53 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 3 |
| 70.0 to 74.99 | 263 | 13 5 | 40 15 | $\begin{array}{r}112 \\ \hline 27\end{array}$ | 57 14 | 23 4 | 11 4 | 5 | 1 | $\frac{\square}{2}$ | 1 |
| 75.0 or more . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |
| Ratios of total demand deposits to total deposits of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than $20 . . . . . . . . . .$. | 1,959 |  | 215 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | - |
| 20.0 to 24.99 | 3,053 3.089 | 850 | 406 457 | $\begin{array}{r}1.123 \\ \hline 979\end{array}$ | 803 773 | 386 441 | 216 249 | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 38 \end{aligned}$ | 9 17 | $\stackrel{6}{2}$ | $\overline{3}$ |
| 30.0 to 34.99 | 2.348 | 120 | 312 | 731 | 558 | 334 | 195 | $38$ | 31 | 28 | $3$ |
| 35.0 to 39.99 . | 1,639 | 81 | 203 | 466 | 383 | 239 | 185 | 25 | 30 | 23 | 4 |
| 40.0 to 44.99 | 1,059 | 57 | 129 | 305 | 246 | 140 | 100 | 24 | 26 | 24 | 8 |
| 45.0 to 49.99 | 531 | 35 | 75 | 150 | 103 | 69 | 46 | 19 | 13 | 19 | 2 |
| 50.0 to 54.99 | 318 168 | 27 | 43 23 | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | 36 13 | 29 11 | 15 5 | $9$ | 17 8 | 3 |
| 55.0 to 59.99 60.0 to 64.99 | 168 71 | 20 15 | 23 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 28 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13 \\ 6 \end{array}$ |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 8 3 | 3 |
| 65.0 or more | 200 | 66 | 38 | 42 | 24 | 11 | 12 | 3 | - | 3 | 1 |
| Ratios of total equity capital to total assets of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5.0 \text { to } 5.49$ | 273 509 | 3 | 9 18 | 43 83 | 57 114 | 41 95 | 51 | 22 | $\begin{array}{r}17 \\ \hline 25\end{array}$ | 24 34 | 6 5 |
| 5.50 to 5.99 | 509 789 | 6 | 18 40 | 83 | 114 193 | 95 159 | $\begin{aligned} & 106 \\ & 141 \end{aligned}$ | 27 23 | 25 35 | -34 | 5 |
| 6.00 to 6.49 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 6.50 to 6.99 . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | +1899 | 17 | 71 | 326 | 356 | 224 | $\begin{aligned} & 141 \\ & 191 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \\ & 36 \end{aligned}$ | - 22 | 24 | 6 |
|  | 1,269 | 22 | 132 | 493 | 420 | 285 | 169 | 36 29 | 17 | $\xrightarrow{7}$ | 3 |
| 7.50 to 7.99 ............... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.779 | 26 | 198 | 563 | 540 | 289 | 137 | 14 | 5 | 7 | - |
| 8.00 to 8.49 .............................. | 1,612 | 41 | 196 | 566 | 420 | 266 | 102 | 10 | 10 | 1 | - |
| 8.50 to 8.99 | 1,378 | 34 | 177 | 501 | 404 | 182 | 67 | 6 | 3 | 6 | - |
| 9.00 to 9.49 | 1,067 | 45 | 168 | 425 | 279 | 95 | 48 | 4 | 1 | 2 | - |
| 9.50 to 9.99 | 846 626 | 47 31 | 135 114 | 325 259 | 197 149 | 100 46 | 34 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 1 3 | - |
| 10.00 to 10.49 10.50 to 10.99 | 626 469 | 31 33 | 114 82 | 259 191 | 149 103 | 46 41 | 19 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\bigcirc$ |
| 11.00 to 11.49 . | 364 | 24 | 75 | 151 | 74 | 30 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| 11.50 to 11.99 ............................ | 306 | 24 | 71 | 115 | 57 | 24 | 12 | 1 | 2 | - | - |
| 12.00 or more | 1,352 | 299 | 420 | 406 | 146 | 57 | 18 | 6 | - | - | - |

Table 114. DISTRIBUTION OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), DECEMBER 31, 1980 - CONTINUED BANKS GROUPED ACCORDING TO AMOUNT OF ASSETS AND BY RATIOS OF SELECTED ITEMS TO ASSETS OR DEPOSITS

|  |  | Banks with assets of- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratios (in percent) | All banks | Less than $\$ 5$ million | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \$ 5.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 9.9 \text { million } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 24.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 99.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 299.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 4.9 \text { billion } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Ratios of total equity capital to total assets other than cash and government securities ofLess than 7.0 <br> 7.0 to 8.49 <br> 8.5 to 9.99 <br> 10.0 to 11.49 $\qquad$ <br> 11.5 to 12.99 <br> 13.0 to 14.49 $\qquad$ <br> 14.5 to 15.99 <br> 16.0 to 17.49 <br> 17.5 to 18.99 <br> 19.0 to 20.49 <br> 20.5 to 21.99 <br> 22.0 or more | $\begin{array}{r} 377 \\ 1,424 \\ 2,831 \\ 3,002 \\ 2,197 \\ 1,391 \\ 929 \\ 594 \\ 379 \\ 269 \\ 193 \\ 849 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5 \\ 7 \\ 27 \\ 36 \\ 55 \\ 60 \\ 55 \\ 54 \\ 40 \\ 32 \\ 28 \\ 259 \end{array}$ | 10 41 139 287 273 258 182 149 109 78 68 317 | $\begin{array}{r} 60 \\ 277 \\ 743 \\ 1.007 \\ 862 \\ 557 \\ 388 \\ 243 \\ 146 \\ 111 \\ 70 \\ 187 \end{array}$ | 74 361 844 889 612 313 192 98 56 36 17 54 | $\begin{array}{r} 62 \\ 258 \\ 589 \\ 506 \\ 252 \\ 135 \\ 78 \\ 32 \\ 21 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 21 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69 \\ 288 \\ 353 \\ 219 \\ 113 \\ 55 \\ 28 \\ 15 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 29 \\ 55 \\ 55 \\ 27 \\ 14 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ \hline 1 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 20 55 43 21 9 6 3 1 1 - - | $\begin{array}{r} 37 \\ 73 \\ 31 \\ 9 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ = \\ = \\ = \end{array}$ | 11 9 7 1 1 - $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ |
| Number of banks ........................ | 14,435 | 658 | 1,911 | 4.651 | 3,546 | 1,969 | 1,158 | 196 | 159 | 158 | 29 |

# (Amounts in millions of dollars) 

Table 115. Income of insured commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), 1975-1980
Table 116. Ratios of income of insured commercial banks in the United States(States and other areas), 1975-1980

Table 117. Income of all insured commercial banks in the United States (States and other areas), 1980 Banks grouped by class of bank
Table 118. Income of all insured commercial banks operating throughout 1980 in the United States (States and other areas)

## Banks grouped by amount of assets

Table 119. Ratios of income of all insured commercial banks operating throughout 1980 in the United States (States and other areas)

Banks grouped according to amount of assets
Table 120. Income of insured mutual savings banks in the United States (States and other areas), 1975-1980

Table 121. Ratios of income of insured mutual savings banks in the United States (States and other areas), 1975-1980

The income data received and published by the Corporation relate to commercial and mutual savings banks insured by the Corporation.

## Commercial banks

Banks having total assets of $\$ 25$ million or more are required to report consolidated income accounts on an accrual basis. Where the results would not be significantly different, certain accounts may be reported on a cash basis. Smaller banks continue to have the option of submitting their reports on a cash or an accrual basis, except that unearned income on loans and income taxes must be reported on a current accrual basis.

Prior to 1976, insured banks were required to submit a consolidated Report of Income, including all majority-owned domestic premises subsidiaries and other nonbank subsidiaries that were significant according to certain tests. Beginning in 1976, the consolidated income report must also
include all majority-owned Edge Act and Agreement Corporations, and all majority-owned significant foreign subsidiaries.

Banks were required to report income and expenses more frequently beginning in 1976 . Banks having total assets of $\$ 300$ million or more submit quarterly statements and other insured banks submit semiannual reports. In this report, income data are included for all insured banks operating at the end of the respective years, unless indicated otherwise. In addition, when appropriate, adjustments have been made for banks in operation during part of the year but not at the end of the year.
Several changes were made in 1976 in the format of the income reports submitted by banks, mainly involving additional separate items on the face of the report. Those changes are indicated in several historical data tables, with explanatory notes where necessary.

In 1976, the method used for determining "provision for possible loan losses" was changed significantly. Also, beginning in 1976, "memoranda" data in table 115 and elsewhere on charge-offs and recoveries to loan loss reserves include also the gross charge-offs and recoveries on loans by banks not on a reserve basis of accounting (see p. 274).
In December 1978 an abbreviated Report of Income was instituted for banks with total consolidated assets of less than $\$ 100$ million.
"Applicable income taxes" on income before securities gains or losses is an estimate of the tax liability that a bank would incur if its taxes were based solely on operating income and expenses. The amount reported by each bank consists of Federal, State and local, and foreign income taxes, estimated using the tax rates applicable to the reporting bank. Income taxes currently payable, and deferred income taxes, are included.
The memoranda item "total provision for income taxes" includes applicable taxes on operating income, securities gains and losses and extraordinary items, and deferred income taxes resulting from "timing" differences. For banks generally the transfers to reserve for bad debts have exceeded the provision for loan losses and consequently have tended to reduce tax liability. (Since enactment of the Tax Reform Act of 1969, additions to loan loss reserves for Federal tax purposes have been subject to a schedule of limitations that will eventually put these reserves on a current experience basis.)

## Mutual savings banks

Beginning December 31, 1971, income and expenses for mutual savings banks are reported on a consolidated basis in the same manner as required of commercial banks, including all domestic branches, domestic bank premises subsidiaries, and other significant nonbanking domestic subsidiaries.
Beginning in 1972, banks with total resources of $\$ 25$ million or more are required to prepare their reports on the basis of accrual accounting. All banks are required to report income taxes on a current accrual basis.
Under operating income, certain income from securities formerly in the "other" category are shown separately beginning in 1971. Income from U.S. Treasury securities is combined with income from U.S. Government agency and corporation securities. Somewhat fewer items are detailed under operating expenses. Beginning in 1971, actual net loan losses (charge-offs less recoveries) are included as an expense item in the operating section of the report. In 1970 and prior years, the amounts shown for this expense item were "recoveries credited to valuation adjustment provisions on real estate mortgage loans" less the "realized losses charged to Digitize ${ }^{W}$ for FNaldation adjustment provisions on [these] loans," which were reported in http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/ Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis
those years in the memoranda section. Beginning in 1979, the amount to be expensed as a provision is based on management discretion and is expected to be reflective of the adequacy of the existing valuation reserve and the current condition of the loan portfolio.

The nonoperating sections of the report were condensed in 1971, with realized gains and losses on securities, mortgage loans, and real estate reported "net" rather than in separate sections and captions as before. In 1979, these items were no longer required to be reported separately. They are to be included in with other operating income or other operating expenses. Detailed data formerly reported on reconcilement of valuation adjustment provisions were almost entirely eliminated, except for a reconciliation of surplus. For additional discussion of reporting changes in 1979, (see p. 241).

## Sources of data

National banks and State banks in the District of Columbia: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

State banks members of the Federal Reserve System: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
Other insured banks: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. NOTE: Tables may not balance due to rounding of data.

## REPORTING OF LOSSES AND RESERVES FOR LOSSES ON LOANS, 1948-1980

## Commercial banks

Use of the reserve method of loan accounting was greatly encouraged when, in 1947, the Internal Revenue Service set formal standards for loan loss transfers to be permitted for Federal tax purposes. In their reports submitted to the Federal bank supervisory agencies prior to 1948 , insured commercial banks included in nonoperating income the amounts of recoveries on loans (applicable to prior charge-offs for losses) which included, for banks using the reserve method, transfers from loan loss reserves. Direct charge-offs and losses on loans, and transfers to reserves were included together in nonoperating expenses. Banks using the reserve method were not required to report separately their actual losses, that is, charges against loan loss reserves. (In statements of condition prior to 1948, insured banks reported loans on a net basis only, after allowance for loan loss reserves. Beginning with the June 30, 1948 report, banks were required to report gross loans, with total valuation reserves, those set up pursuant to Internal

Revenue Service regulations, and other reserves shown separately. However, instalment loans ordinarily continued to be reported net if the instalment payments were applied directly to the reduction of the loan.)
Beginning with the year 1948, the income reports were revised to show separately, in a memoranda section, the losses charged to reserves. These items continued to be combined in the nonoperating expense section until 1961. Recoveries credited to reserves were also itemized in the memoranda section beginning in 1948, as were the amounts transferred to and from reserves during the year. Each of these debits and credits was segregated as to reserves set up pursuant to IRS regulations, and other reserves. Losses and recoveries, and transfers to and from reserves, but not the specific tax-related transfers, were separately reported in the Corporation's published statistics.
Several important revisions were made in the format of the income reports of commercial banks in 1969. A new entry entitled "provisions for loan losses" was included under operating expenses. This item included actual loan losses (charge-offs less recoveries) during the year or, at the option of the bank, an amount derived by applying the average loan loss percentage for the five most recent years to the average amount of loans during the current year. Banks had the option also of providing a larger amount in any year than the amount indicated by the formula. Beginning in 1976, required use of the formulas was discontinued. Banks are instructed to expense an amount which in the judgment of bank management will maintain an adequate reserve, and to provide a fully reviewable record for bank examination purposes of the basis for the determination of the loanloss provision.
Also beginning in 1976, banks not on a reserve basis report gross chargeoffs and recoveries; the difference-net losses-is reported as the "provision for possible loan losses" in operating expenses. Banks continue to
report all transfers to and from reserves in the memoranda section of the income statement, but this detailed information is not included in the tables to follow.

## Mutual savings banks

While mutual savings banks reported loan losses and transfers to loss reserves prior to 1951, the Corporation's published statistics did not show these data separately, as was the case also for recoveries and transfers from reserves. When the reporting form was revised extensively in 1951, these various nonoperating expenses were itemized, and a memoranda section was added to show also the losses and recoveries in reserve accounts. "Realized" losses (and recoveries) for which no provision had been made, and transfers were included in the nonoperating expense (income) section, while direct write-downs and other loan losses for which provision had been made, were reported separately in a memoranda account.
Following 1951, the loan loss section of the reports of condition and income and expense remained unchanged until 1971. Beginning in 1971, the income report was revised in a manner similar to changes in 1969 applicable to commercial banks, to show actual net loan losses as operating expenses. (Mutual savings banks did not have the option available to commercial banks of reporting losses based on recent years' average experience.) At the same time, all valuation reserves were merged into surplus accounts on statements of condition submitted to the Federal supervisory agencies. In 1979, loan loss reporting was again revised in a manner consistent with reporting by commercial banks. The provision expense is determined by bank management. The valuation reserves on real estate loans and other loans are shown as separate deductions to the asset categories on the Report of Condition.

Table 115. INCOME OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), 1975-1980
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Income item | 1975 | 19761 | 19771 | 19781 | 19791 | 19801 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating income-total | 66.559 | 80,664 | 90,358 | 113,582 | 150,282 | 190,771 |
| Interest and fees on loans | 43,380 | 51.645 | 58,991 | 76.182 | 102.192 | 126,954 |
| Interest on balances with depository institutions2 |  | 4,487 | 4,888 | 6.713 | 10,670 | 16,258 |
| Income on federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell in domestic offices. | 2,295 | 1.985 | 2,476 | 3,682 | 6,126 | 8,764 |
| Interest on U.S. Treasury securities and on obligations of other U.S. Government agencies and corporations ${ }^{3}$ | 6.790 | 8,391 | 8,864 | 9,384 | 10,686 | 13,465 |
| Interest on obligations of States and political subdivisions of the U.S. ${ }^{3}$ | 4.919 | 5,135 | 5,365 | 6,039 | 6,955 | 8,172 |
| Income from all other securities ${ }^{\text {3 }}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 533 | 856 | -969 | 1.095 | 1.198 | 1.438 |
| Income from direct lease financing 2 |  | 534 | 699 | 862 | 1.073 | 1,371 |
| Income from fiduciary activities | 1,602 | 1,795 | 1.980 | 2.139 | 2,376 | 2.739 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts in domestic offices | 1,555 | 1,635 | 1,807 | 2.049 | 2.529 | 3.187 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees ........ | 1,654 | 2.183 | 2.409 | 2.937 | 3.642 | 4,360 |
| Other income ${ }^{3}$. ...................... | 3,832 | 2,018 | 1,910 | 2.499 | 2,835 | 4,063 |
| Operating expenses-total | 57.582 | 70,750 | 78,792 | 98,480 | 132,391 | 171,267 |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 12.687 | 14.752 | 16,346 | 18.744 | 21,562 | 24,675 |
| Interest on time certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more issued by domestic offices ${ }^{4}$ |  | 7.111 | 6.763 | 11.737 | 18.179 | 24,891 |
| Interest on deposits in foreign offices ${ }^{2}$. .............................. |  | 8.750 | 10.216 | 14.558 | 24,524 | 34,941 |
| Interest on other deposits . . . . . . . . | 26,246 | 19,143 | 21,833 | 23,918 | 29,185 | 38,588 |
| Expense of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase in domestic offices. | 3,323 | 3,312 | 4,543 | 7.264 | 12,356 | 16,770 |
| Interest on demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury and other borrowed money.... | 377 | -667 | 818 | 1,458 | 3,167 | 4,387 |
| Interest on subordinated notes and debentures . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 294 | 345 | 392 | 448 | 501 | 546 |
| Occupancy expense of bank premises, net, and furniture and equipment expense ${ }^{7} \ldots$ | 3.857 | 4.486 | 4.980 | 5.585 | 6.281 | 7.354 |
| Provision for possible loan losses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 3,612 | 3,691 | 3,301 | 3.525 | 3.786 | 4,479 |
| Other operating expenses . . . . . | 7.185 | 8.492 | 9,599 | 11.244 | 12,849 | 14,635 |
| Income hefore income taxes and securities gains or losses | 8,976 | 9,914 | 11,566 | 15,101 | 17,891 | 19,504 |
| Applicable income taxes Income before securities gains or losses | $\begin{aligned} & 1,793 \\ & 7.184 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,291 \\ & 7,623 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,832 \\ & 8,734 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,162 \\ 10,939 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,742 \\ 13.149 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,019 \\ 14,485 \end{array}$ |
| Securities gains or losses, gross | 34 | 312 | 142 | -447 | -650 | -855 |
| Applicable income taxes ... | -3 | 118 | 43 | -222 | -300 | -362 |
| Securities gains or losses, net | 37 | 194 | 98 | -225 | -350 | -492 |
| Income hefore extraordinary items | 7.221 | 7,817 | 8,833 | 10,714 | 12,799 | 13,992 |
| Extraordinary items, gross | 47 | 28 | 55 | 44 | 40 | 3 |
| Applicable income taxes | 13 | 2 | 8 | -1 | 1 | -14 |
| Extraordinary items, net. | 34 | 26 | 47 | 45 | 39 | 17 |
| Net income | 7.255 | 7.843 | 8,879 | 10.760 | 12,838 | 14,009 |

Table 115. INCOME OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), 1975-1980-CONTINUED
(Amounts in millions of dollars)

| Income item | 1975 | 19761 | 19771 | 19781 | 19791 | 19801 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Memoranda |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends declared on equity capital-total <br> Cash dividends declared on common stock <br> Cash dividends declared on preferred stock | $\begin{array}{r} 3,032 \\ 3,030 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,036 \\ 3,034 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,305 \\ 3,302 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,722 \\ 3,718 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,455 \\ 4,447 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,100 \\ 5,089 \\ 10 \end{array}$ |
| Provision for income taxes-total $\qquad$ <br> U.S. Federal income taxes $\qquad$ <br> U.S. State and local income taxes $\qquad$ <br> Foreign income taxes ${ }^{2}$ $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,727 \\ 1,226 \\ 501 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,411 \\ 1,372 \\ 492 \\ 547 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,883 \\ 1,773 \\ 526 \\ 584 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,938 \\ 2,538 \\ 656 \\ 744 \end{array}$ | 4,443 2.653 903 887 | $\begin{aligned} & 4,643 \\ & 2,466 \\ & 1,062 \\ & 1,114 \end{aligned}$ |
| Net loan losses or recoveries-total Recoveries on loans Losses on loans $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.243 \\ 547 \\ -3.790 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.503 \\ 687 \\ -4.191 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.797 \\ 814 \\ -3.611 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,497 \\ 1,074 \\ 3,571 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2.564 \\ 1,199 \\ 3.763 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3,599 \\ 1,280 \\ 4.879 \end{array}$ |
| Average assets, liabilities, and equity capital 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets-total <br> Cash and due from depository institutions <br> U.S. Treasury securities and obligations of other U.S. agencies and corporations ${ }^{3}$ <br> Obligations of States and political subdivisions. <br> Other securities ${ }^{3}$ <br> Net loans ${ }^{6}$ <br> All other assets | 924,947 126,838 65,992 98,953 39,203 536,062 57,898 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,123,469 \\ 194,313 \\ 88,521 \\ 102,734 \\ 51,110 \\ 632,697 \\ 54,095 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,249,961 \\ 218,358 \\ 96,665 \\ 108,429 \\ 54,294 \\ 709,816 \\ 62,399 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,403,493 \\ 248,633 \\ 131,799 \\ 117,332 \\ 20.129 \\ 764,772 \\ 74,590 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{1 , 5 8 4 , 1 7 0} \\ 283,214 \\ 133,287 \\ 127,770 \\ 23,080 \\ 922,211 \\ 94,608 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,754,936 \\ 315,465 \\ 147,887 \\ 139,378 \\ 20.512 \\ 1,011,257 \\ 117,364 \end{array}$ |
| Liabilities and equity capital-total <br> Total deposits <br> Demand deposits <br> Time and savings deposits. <br> Deposits in foreign offices <br> Subordinated notes and debentures <br> Other borrowings and all other liabilities <br> Total equity capital | $\begin{array}{r} 924,947 \\ 756,949 \\ 313,836 \\ 443,112 \\ \cdots 4,9 \\ 41,329 \\ 101,918 \\ 61,751 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,123,469 \\ 944,239 \\ 320,488 \\ 474,499 \\ 149,252 \\ 4,866 \\ 105,648 \\ 68,716 \end{array}$ | $1,249,961$ $1.043,479$ 347,904 519,939 175,636 5,500 125,239 75,743 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,403,493 \\ 1,157,408 \\ 377,306 \\ 592.067 \\ 188.035 \\ 5,952 \\ 156,087 \\ 84,028 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,584,170 \\ 1,276,969 \\ 393,573 \\ 633,107 \\ 250,288 \\ 6,203 \\ 208,542 \\ 92,457 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,754,936 \\ 1,400,782 \\ 418,379 \\ 699,093 \\ 283,309 \\ 6,428 \\ 245,162 \\ 102,564 \end{array}$ |
| Number of employees on payroll (end of period) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 1,226,415 | 1,255,025 | 1,320,598 | 1,319,828 | 1,410,816 | 1,485,813 |
| Number of banks (end of period) . ........................................... | 14,384 | 14,411 | 14.412 | 14.391 | 14,364 | 14.435 |

1Data are from fully consolidated reports of income, including domestic and foreign offices.
2 Figures not available before 1976
${ }^{3}$ Securities held in trading accounts are included in "All other assets," income from these securities is included in "Other income."
4included in "Interest on other deposits" before 1976.
5Averages of amounts reported at beginning, middle, and end of year; beginning with 1976, the averages are based on consolidated reports of condition, domestic and foreign.
6For years before 1976. data are gross loans. Includes federal funds sold.
${ }^{7}$ Amounts for 1975, 1976 and 1977 revised to include furniture and equipment expense which had been previously omitted. (Amounts for 1973: 2,984 and 1974: 3.413.)

Table 116. RATIOS OF INCOME OF INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), 1975-1980


1 Based on consolidated (including foreign) reports of income
${ }_{3}$ includes federal funds sold
4/ncludes interest on federal funds purchased, subordinated notes and debentures, and other borrowed moner
Digitized for FR5A atios Re based on averages of assets and liabilities-see table 115 notes 5 and 6 .
http://fraser.stloulncludesall changes; prior to 1978 the ratio represents changes due to net income only
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

Table 117. INCOME OF ALL INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), 1980 BANKS GROUPED BY CLASS OF BANK (Amounts in millions of dollars)


|  | $\begin{aligned} & -855 \\ & -362 \\ & -492 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -539 \\ & -220 \\ & -319 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -164 \\ -87 \\ -77 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -152 \\ -56 \\ -96 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -855 \\ & -362 \\ & -492 \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income before extraordinary items . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Extraordinary Applicabe inc, gross Extrame taxes Extratinary items, net | 13,992 3 -14 17 | $\begin{array}{r} 7.663 \\ -4 \\ -6 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.243 \\ -8 \\ -7 \\ -1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,086 \\ 15 \\ 0 \\ 16 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,996 \\ 3 \\ -14 \\ 17 \end{array}$ | -3 0 0 0 |
| Net income | 14,009 | 7.665 | 2.242 | 4.102 | 14,012 | -3 |
| MEMORANDA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends declared on equity capital-total <br> Cash dividends declared on common stock <br> Cash dividends declared on preferred stock | 5.100 5.089 10 | 2,952 2,949 2 | 945 945 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 1,203 \\ 1,196 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,099 \\ 5,089 \\ 10 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 |
| Provision for income taxes-total <br> U.S. Federal income taxes <br> U.S. State and local income taxes <br> Foreign income taxes | $\begin{aligned} & 4,643 \\ & 2,466 \\ & 1,062 \\ & 1,114 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,576 \\ 1,239 \\ 537 \\ 801 \end{array}$ | 968 338 323 307 | $\begin{array}{r} 1.099 \\ 890 \\ 203 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4,642 \\ & 2,466 \\ & 1,062 \\ & 1,114 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 0 0 |
| Net Ioan losses (recoveries)-total <br> Recoveries credited to allowance <br> Losses charged to allowance | $\begin{array}{r} -3,599 \\ 1,280 \\ 4,879 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -2,204 \\ 801 \\ 3,005 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -562 \\ 211 \\ 773 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -833 \\ 268 \\ 1,101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -3.598 \\ 1,280 \\ 4,879 \end{array}$ | 0 0 0 |
| Number of full-time equivalent employees at end of period | 1,485,813 | 812,566 | 250,792 | 422,455 | 1,482,380 | 3,433 |
| Number of banks ............................................................. | 14,435 | 4,425 | 997 | 9,013 | 14,231 | 204 |


| Income item | All banks | Banks with assets of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less than $\$ 5$ million | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 5.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 9.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 10.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 24.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 25.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 49.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50.0 \text { million } \\ & \$ 99.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 299.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 300.0 \text { million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 499.9 \text { million } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500.0 \text { million } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \$ 999.9 \text { million } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ 1.0 \text { billion } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 4.9 \text { billion } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 5.0 \text { billion } \\ \text { or } \\ \text { more } \end{gathered}$ |
| Operating income-total Interest and fees on loan | $190,677.6$ $126,916.1$ | 214.1 118.9 | $1,389.6$ 829.7 | 7.635 .3 4.787 .5 | $12,339.5$ 7.885 .7 | $13,262.0$ $8,599.4$ | $17,914.9$ $11,652.8$ | $7,587.4$ $4,883.0$ | 10.501 .4 6.870 .7 | 32.216 .9 $21,080.5$ | $87,616.4$ $60,207.8$ |
| Interest on balances with depository institutions | $126,916.1$ $16,251.5$ | 18.9 3.3 | 822.7 | 4,787.5 102.5 | $7,885.7$ 164.7 | $\begin{array}{r}8,599.4 \\ \hline 182.5\end{array}$ | $11,652.8$ 320.6 | 4,883.0 | -226.7 | $2,198.9$ | 12,854.0 |
| Income on Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell in domestic offices | $6,21.5$ 8.738 .9 | 3.3 29.4 | 22.7 150.5 | 701.7 | 964.6 | 946.5 | 1,233.2 | 565.2 | 715.7 | 1,534.4 | 1,897.8 |
| Interest on U.S. Treasury securities and on obligations of other U.S. Government agencies and corporations | 13,454.5 | 44.7 | 251.9 | 1,135.4 | 1,673.7 | 1,677.2 | 2,049.7 | 829.3 | 1,007.8 | 2,303.9 | 2,481.0 |
| Interest on obligations of States and political subdivisions | 8,171.4 | 3.8 | 43.2 | 407.5 | 839.1 | 966.6 | 1,312.6 | 491.4 | 640.3 | 1,679.2 | 1,787.7 |
| Income from all other securities ................. | 1,436.6 | 1.0 | 6.1 | 29.6 | 55.4 | 57.3 | 78.5 | 41.0 | 67.1 | 157.6 | 943.0 |
| Income from direct lease financing | 1,370.7 | . 0 | . 7 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 20.0 | 39.4 | 28.8 | 95.2 | 214.4 | 956.1 |
| Income from fiduciary activities. | 2,732.4 | . 6 | 5.3 | 26.4 | 31.0 | 77.3 | 245.4 | 125.1 | 206.9 | 786.0 | 1,228.6 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts in domestio offices | 3,184.6 | 6.3 | 41.9 | 251.0 | 399.4 | 415.2 | 476.2 | 187.0 | 243.6 | 596.1 | 568.0 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees .... | 4,358.9 | 3.8 | 25.0 | 126.1 | 199.4 | 201.4 | 331.0 | 176.2 | 291.5 | 1,004.0 | 2,000.5 |
| Other operating income ........................... | 4,062.0 | 2.1 | 12.6 | 63.8 | 114.4 | 118.6 | 175.6 | 85.0 | 136.0 | 661.8 | 2,692.1 |
| Operating expenses-total | 171.171.2 | 177.5 | 1.168 .7 | 6.424 .6 | 10.419 .1 | 11,342.2 | 15.700 .3 | 6,683.2 | 9.409 .2 | 29,460.9 | $80,385.5$ |
| Salaries and employee benefits ...... | 24,647.9 | 47.1 | 254.0 | 1,234.5 | 1,884.7 | 2,026.0 | 2,804.1 | 1,173.8 | 1,743.3 | 4,730.5 | $8,750.0$ |
| Interest on time certificates of deposit of $\$ 100,000$ or more issued by domestic offices | 24,878.6 | 9.8 | 77.0 | 552.6 | 1,069.1 | 1,466.8 | 2,525.0 | 1,263.8 | 1,636.6 | 5,632.3 | 10,645.5 |
| Interest on deposits in foreign offices ............ | 34,941.4 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | . 0 | 8.0 | , 20.2 | 45.1 | 2,122.0 | 32,746.2 |
| Interest on other deposits | 38,573.1 | 75.9 | 568.7 | 3,228.6 | 5,209.9 | 5,259.0 | 6,260.5 | 2,174.2 | 2,822.6 | 6,017.5 | 6,956.2 |
| Expense of Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurchase in domestio offices | 16,768.7 | . 8 | 4.9 | 44.7 | 109.8 | 250.3 | 826.8 | 622.6 | 1,014.7 | 4,855.3 | 9,038.8 |
| Interest on demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury and other borrowed money | 4,386.9 | . 2 | 1.0 | 17.2 | 48.5 | 66.4 | 137.3 | 58.8 | 123.3 | 584.1 | 3,350.1 |
| Interest on subordinated notes and debentures .... | 545.6 | . 1 | . 7 | 8.9 | 21.6 | 33.9 | 63.3 | 34.2 | 47.9 | 152.6 | 182.4 |
| Occupancy expense of bank premises, net, and furniture and equipment expense | 7,344.5 | 10.8 | 64.7 | 334.2 | 540.5 | 606.4 | 884.8 | 376.5 | 585.8 | 1,430.2 | 2,510.5 |
| Provision for possible loan loss ......... | 4,476.1 | 5.8 | 42.7 | 203.1 | 310.7 | 324.5 | 432.2 | 187.0 | 301.1 | , 932.0 | 1,736.9 |
| Other operating expenses ........................ | 14,608.4 | 27.1 | 155.0 | 800.8 | 1,224.1 | 1,308.7 | 1,758.2 | 772.0 | 1,088.8 | 3,004.6 | 4,469.0 |
| Income before income taxes and securities gains or losses | 19,506.4 | 36.6 | 220.9 | 1,210.7 | 1,920.4 | 1.919 .9 | 2,214.6 | 904.3 | 1,092.2 | 2.756 .0 | 7,230.9 |
| Applicable income taxes .............................. | 5.018.2 | 7.9 | 52.9 | 292.4 | 442.7 | 417.1 | 401.9 | 181.8 | 192.2 | 419.8 | 2.609 .6 |



Table 119. RATIOS OF INCOME OF ALL INSURED COMMERCIAL BANKS OPERATING THROUGHOUT 1980 IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS)
BANKS GROUPED BY AMOUNT OF ASSETS



Table 120. INCOME OF INSURED MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), 1975-1980 (Amounts in millions of dollars)


Digitized for FRASER
http://fraser.stlouisfed.org/
Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis

${ }^{1}$ Averages of amounts reported at beginning, middle and end of year.
Note: N/A - Data not available.

Table 121. RATIO OF INCOME OF INSURED MUTUAL SAVINGS BANKS IN THE UNITED STATES (STATES AND OTHER AREAS), 1975-1980

| Income item | 1975 | 1976 | 1977 | 1978 | 1979 | 1980 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amounts per \$100 of operating income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Operating income-total. | \$100.00 | \$100.00 | \$100.00 | \$100.00 | \$100.00 | \$100.00 |
| Interest and fees on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate loans, net | 67.03 | 62.72 | 60.90 | 60.93 | 59.95 | 58.61 |
| Other loans | 3.94 | 4.02 | 4.59 | 5.64 | 4.10 | 5.76 |
| Income on federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 3.41 | 3.82 |
| Interest on U.S. Treasury, agency, and corporation obligations . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 7.90 | 10.43 | 11.62 | 11.53 | 11.54 | 11.93 |
| Interest on corporate bonds . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12.93 | 14.00 | 13.73 | 12.41 | 11.29 | 9.97 |
| Interest on obligations of States and political subdivisions of the U.S. | 1.04 | 1.72 | 1.77 | 1.80 | 1.68 | 1.17 |
| Interest on other bonds, notes, and debentures . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 2.10 | 2.41 | 2.71 | 2.75 | 2.18 | 2.11 |
| Dividends on stock .................... | 2.66 | 2.49 | 2.41 | 2.45 | 2.40 | 2.16 |
| Other service charges, commissions, and fees | 0.46 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.77 |
| Other operating income . . . . . . . . . . . | 1.94 | 1.73 | 1.77 | 1.95 | 2.82 | 3.71 |
| Operating expenses-total | 92.17 | 91.78 | 90.31 | 89.08 | 92.42 | 101.83 |
| Interest and dividends on: Savings deposits (including deposits subject to transfer by order) | 52.57 | 49.90 | 44.77 | 36.82 | 26.98 | 21.30 |
| Savings deposits (including deposits subject to transfer by order) | 23.89 | 25.54 | 29.40 | 35.40 | 47.88 | 60.33 |
| Expense of federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreement to repurc Interest on other borrowed money | N/A 0.77 | N/A 0.54 | N/A 0.50 | N/A 1.15 | 1.16 1.01 | 1.90 2.08 |
| Interest on subordinated notes and debentures... | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.30 | 0.28 |
| Salaries and employee benefits. | 6.77 | 6.66 | 6.64 | 6.74 | 6.85 | 7.11 |
| Occupancy expense of bank premises, net | 1.89 | 1.90 | 1.82 | 1.78 | 1.76 | 1.81 |
| Furniture and equipment expense....... | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 0.90 |
| Provision for possible loan losses. | 0.30 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 1.03 | 0.54 | 0.38 |
| Other operating expenses ...... | 5.25 | 5.51 | 5.66 | 5.33 | 5.08 | 5.77 |
| Income before income taxes and net realized gains or losses | 7.83 | 8.22 | 9.69 | 10.92 | 7.58 | $-1.83$ |
| Applicable income and franchise taxes | 2.39 | 2.73 | 2.97 | 2.92 | 2.17 | 0.34 |
| Income before net realized gains or losses | 5.44 | 5.49 | 6.72 | 8.00 | 5.41 | -2.18 |
| Net realized gains or losses, net Plus: Applicable income taxes . | -0.36 $N / A$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.59 \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.50 \\ & N / A \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.42 \\ N / A \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.01 \\ -0.01 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.26 \\ -0.09 \end{array}$ |
| Net realized gains or losses, gross | $-0.36$ | 0.59 | 0.50 | $-0.42$ | 0.02 | 0.17 |
| Securities .................. | $-0.36$ | 0.59 | 0.50 | $-0.42$ | $-0.10$ | 0.14 |
| Extraordinary items | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| Net income. | 5.08 | 6.08 | 7.22 | 7.58 | 5.42 | -1.92 |

Amounts per $\$ 100$ of total assets 1

## Operating income-total

Dperating expense-total ..... . . . . . . . .
Applicable income and franchise taxes
Applicable income and franchise taxes..
ncome before net realized gains or losses
Net realized gains or losses, gross.
Applicable income taxes
Net realized gains or losses, net $\qquad$
Net income.

## Special ratios 1

interest on U.S. Treasury, agency, and corporation obligations per $\$ 100$ of U.S. Treasury, agency, and corporation obligations
nterest and dividends on all other securities per $\$ 100$ of all other securities
Interest and fees on real estate loans per $\$ 100$ of real estate loans, net
nterest and fees on other loans per $\$ 100$ of other loans, net
Interest and dividends on savings and time deposits per $\$ 100$ of savings and time deposits Net income (loss) per $\$ 100$ of total surplus accounts

Number of banks (end of period)

| 7.06 | 7.29 | 7.43 | 7.75 | 8.24 | 8.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6.50 | 6.69 | 6.71 | 6.90 | 7.61 | 8.83 |
| 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.85 | 0.63 | $-0.16$ |
| 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.03 |
| 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 0.45 | -0.19 |
| -0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | $-0.03$ | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 |
| $-0.03$ | 0.04 | 0.04 | -0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 0.36 | 0.44 | 0.54 | 0.59 | 0.45 | -0.17 |
|  | 7.50 | 7.51 | 7.72 | 8.20 | 8.43 |
| 7.04 | 7.44 | 7.51 | 7.62 | 7.79 | 7.74 |
| 7.22 | 7.44 | 7.62 | 7.94 | 8.28 | 8.59 |
| 12.08 | 11.94 | 12.99 | 15.44 | 10.50 | 12.59 |
| 5.97 | 6.06 | 6.07 | 6.22 | 6.91 | 8.03 |
| 5.30 | 6.81 | 8.39 | 9.09 | 6.79 | -2.56 |
| 329 | 329 | 323 | 325 | 324 | 323 |

${ }^{1}$ Averages of amounts reported at beginning, middle and end of yea Note: N/A - Data not available.

## BANKS CLOSED BECAUSE OF FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES: FDIC INCOME, DISBURSEMENTS, AND LOSSES

Table 122. Number and deposits of banks closed because of financial difficulties, 1934-1980
Table 123. Insured banks requiring disbursements by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation during 1980

Table 124. Depositors, deposits, and disbursements in failed banks requiring disbursements by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 1934-1980

Banks grouped by class of bank, year of deposit payoff or deposit assumption, amount of deposits, and State
Table 125. Recoveries and losses by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on principal disbursements for protection of depositors, 1934-1980
Table 126. Analysis of disbursements, recoveries, and losses in deposit insurance transactions, January 1, 1934-December 31, 1980

Table 127. Income and expenses, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, by year, from beginning of operations, September 11, 1933, to December 31, 1980
Table 128. Protection of depositors of failed banks requiring disbursements by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, 1934-1980

Table 129. Insured deposits and the deposit insurance fund, 1934-1980

## Deposit insurance disbursements

Disbursements by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation to protect depositors are made when the insured deposits of banks in financial difficulties are paid off, or when the deposits of a failing bank are assumed by another insured bank with the financial aid of the Corporation. In deposit payoff cases, the disbursement is the amount paid by the Corporation on insured deposits. In deposit assumption cases, the principal disbursement is the amount loaned to failing banks, or the price paid for assets purchased from them; additional disbursements are made in those cases as advances for protection of assets in process of liquidation and for liquidation expenses.
Under its section 13 (c) authority, the Corporation has made disbursements to five operating banks. The amounts of these disbursements are
included in table 126, but are not included in tables 124 and 125.

## Noninsured bank failures

Statistics in this report on failures of noninsured banks are compiled from information obtained from State banking departments, field supervisory officials, and other sources. The Corporation received no reports of noninsured bank closures due to financial difficulties in 1980.

For detailed data regarding noninsured banks that suspended in the years 1934-1962, see the Annual Report for 1963, pp. 27-41. For 19631980, see table 122 of this report, and previous reports for respective years.

## Sources of data

Insured banks: books of bank at date of closing; and books of FDIC, December 31, 1980.

Table 122. NUMBER AND DEPOSITS OF BANKS CLOSED BECAUSE OF FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES, 1934-1980

| Year | Number |  |  |  |  | Deposits (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Non-insured | Insured |  |  | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Non- } \\ \text { insured' } \end{gathered}$ | Insured |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total | Without disbursements by FDIC ${ }^{2}$ | disbursements by FDIC ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | Total | Without disbursements by FDIC ${ }^{2}$ | disbursements by FDIC ${ }^{3}$ |
| Total . | 712 | 136 | 576 | 8 | 568 | 6,408,922 | 143.500 | 6.265,422 | 41,147 | 6,224,275 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 32 \\ 32 \\ 72 \\ 84 \\ 81 \\ 72 \\ 48 \\ 17 \\ 23 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 5 \\ \hline 5 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 9 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 84 \\ 84 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ \hline 6 \\ 4 \end{array}$ |  | 9 26 69 77 74 60 43 15 20 5 2 1 1 5 3 5 4 2 3 4 2 5 2 2 4 3 1 5 1 2 7 5 7 4 3 9 7 6 1 6 4 13 | 1 <br> 2 <br> 1 <br> 2 <br> 1 <br> 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 9 \\ 25 \\ 69 \\ 75 \\ 74 \\ 60 \\ 43 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ \hline 2 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 9 \\ 7 \\ 6 \\ 1 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 13 \\ 16 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{array}$ | 37,332 <br> 13,988 <br> 28,100 <br> 34,205 <br> 60,722 <br> 160,211 <br> 142,788 <br> 29,796 <br> 19,540 <br> 12,525 <br> 1,915 <br> 5,695 <br> 744 <br> 7,207 <br> 10,674 <br> 9,217 <br> 5,555 <br> 6,464 <br> 3,13 <br> 45,101 <br> 2,948 <br> 11,953 <br> 11,690 <br> 12,502 <br> 10,413 <br> 2,593 <br> 7,965 <br> 10,611 <br> 4,231 <br> 23,444 <br> 23,867 <br> 45,256 <br> 106,111 <br> 10,878 <br> 22,54 <br> 40,134 <br> 55,244 <br> 132,152 <br> 99,784 <br> 971,296 <br> $1,575,832$ <br> 340,5744 <br> 865,659 <br> 205,208 <br> 854,154 <br> 110,696 <br> 216,300 | $\begin{array}{r} 35.364 \\ 583 \\ 592 \\ 528 \\ 1,038 \\ 2.439 \\ 358 \\ 79 \\ 355 \\ \ldots . . \\ 147 \\ 167 \\ 2.552 \\ 42 \\ 3.056 \\ 143 \\ 390 \\ 1,950 \\ 360 \\ 1,255 \\ 2.173 \\ 1.035 \\ 1,675 \\ 1.220 \\ 429 \\ 1,395 \\ 2,648 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 1,968 <br> 13,405 <br> 27,508 <br> 33,677 <br> 59,684 <br> 157,772 <br> 142,430 <br> 29.717 <br> 1,185 <br> 12,525 <br> 1,915 <br> 5,695 <br> 347 <br> 7,040 <br> 10,674 <br> 6,665 <br> 5,513 <br> 3,408 <br> 34,170 <br> 44,711 <br> 11,998 <br> 11,330 <br> 11,247 <br> 8,240 <br> 2,593 <br> 6,930 <br> 8,936 <br> 3,011 <br> 23,444 <br> 23,438 <br> 4,861 <br> 103,523 <br> 10,878 <br> 22,524 <br> 50,134 <br> 54,821 <br> 132,152 <br> 20,480 <br> 971,296 <br> $1,575,832$ <br> 33,574 <br> 864,859 <br> 205,208 <br> 854,154 <br> 110,696 <br> 216,300 | 85 328 $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ 1,190 $\ldots$ 26,449 $\ldots$ 10,084 $\ldots$ $\ldots$ 3,011 $\ldots$ | 1,968 <br> 13,320 <br> 27,508 <br> 33,349 <br> 59,684 <br> 157,772 <br> 142,430 <br> 29,717 <br> 19,185 <br> 12,525 <br> 1,915 <br> 5,695 <br> 3447 <br> 7,040 <br> 10,674 <br> 5,475 <br> 5,513 <br> 3,408 <br> 3,170 <br> 18,262 <br> 11,953 <br> 11,330 <br> 1,163 <br> 8,240 <br> 2,593 <br> 6,930 <br> 8,936 <br> 23,444 <br> 23,438 <br> 43,861 <br> 103,523 <br> 10,878 <br> 22,524 <br> 40,134 <br> 54,821 <br> 132,152 <br> 20,480 <br> 97,296 <br> $1,555,832$ <br> 39,574 <br> 864,859 <br> 25,208 <br> 854,154 <br> 10,696 <br> 216,300 |

For information regarding each of these banks, see table 22 in the 1963 Annual Report (1963 and prior years), and explanatory notes to tables regarding banks closed because of financial difficulties in subsequent annual reports. One noninsured bank placed in receivership in 1934, with no deposits at time of closing, is omitted (see table 22, note 9 ). Deposits are unavailable for seven banks.
For information regarding these cases, see table 23 of the Annual Report for 1963. and 98-127, and tables regarding deposit insurance disbursements in subsequent annual reports. Deposits are adjusted as of December 31. 1980
${ }^{4}$ Revised

Table 123. INSURED BANKS REQUIRING DISBURSEMENTS BY THE FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION DURING 1980

| Case Number | Name and Location |  | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { a }}}_{\substack{\text { class } \\ \text { Of bank }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number of } \\ & \text { depositors or } \\ & \text { accounts } \end{aligned}$ | Date of closing ordeposit assumption |  | First payment todepositors ordisbursements by FDIC |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FDIC } \\ \text { disbursements } 2 \end{gathered}$ | Receiver or liquidating agentor assuming bank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bank of Lake Hel <br> First Natio <br> The Citize <br> Viola, Ka <br> Mohawk Greenfield <br> City and <br> The Missi <br> Company. <br> Huntingto <br> The Roche Company, <br> Citizens Galena, K <br> East Gads Gadsden. |  | NM N NM NM NM NM NM NM NM | 1,662 <br> 2.888 <br> 914 <br> 4,443 <br> 8.608 <br> 26,800 <br> 12,200 <br> 4.700 <br> 4,059 <br> 12,078 |  |  | Januar 14. 1980 February 15.1980 June 6.1980 |  | 83.02.971 9.05.9.94 1.619.139 <br> 2.093.893 21.717.062 52.78.5.57 20.533.674 3.273.533 5.30. 206 | Federal |  | atam |
|  | Asses! |  |  |  |  |  |  | Toal | Liabilies and sapiala accoul |  |  |  |
| $\substack{\text { Case } \\ \text { number }}_{\text {cen }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cash and } \\ & \text { due from } \\ & \text { banks } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { U.S. Govern- } \\ \text { ment } \\ \text { obligations } \end{gathered}$ | $\pm \begin{gathered}\text { Other } \\ \text { securies }\end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loans, } \\ & \text { discounts, and } \\ & \text { overdrafts } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Banking house, } \\ & \text { furniture, and } \\ & \text { fixtures } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { Oitee } \\ \text { rai estaie }}}{\text { a }}$ | $\substack{\text { Other } \\ \text { assels }}$ |  | Deposits | $\underbrace{\substack{\text { Otabites }}}_{\text {Other }}$ | Captal | Oitere capital |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

http://fraser.stlofiuisffead .dieghrined by FDIC Agents after adjustments of books of the bank immediately following its closing.
Federal Reserve Bandik of exty. Louis to December 31, 1980 plus additional disbursements estimated to be required in these cases.

Table 124. DEPOSITORS, DEPOSITS, AND DISBURSEMENTS IN FAILED BANKS REQUIRING DISBURSEMENTS BY THE
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, 1934-1980
banks grouped by class of bank, year of deposit payoff or deposit assumption, amount of deposits, and state

| Classification | Number of banks |  |  | Number of depositors ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deposits } 1 \\ \text { (in thousands of dollars) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Disbursements by FDIC1 (in thousands of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Payoff cases | Assumption cases | Total | Payoff cases | Assumption cases | Total | Payoff cases | Assump. tion cases | Principal disbursements |  |  | Advances and expenses 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total | Payoff cases ${ }^{3}$ | Assumption cases ${ }^{4}$ | Payoff cases ${ }^{5}$ | Assumption cases ${ }^{6}$ |
| All banks | 568 | 310 | 258 | 3,855,163 | 632,659 | 3,252,504 | 6,224,275 | 498,618 | 5,725,657 | 4,915,933 | 350,351 | 4,565,582 | 10,229 | 262,026 |
| Class of bank |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| National . . . . . . . . . . . . State member F.R.S. . | 105 34 | 37 12 | 68 22 | $1.554,284$ 430,885 | 111,728 91,650 | $1,442,556$ 339,335 | $3,316,160$ 447,867 | 124,208 44,023 | $3,191,952$ 403,844 | $3,131,845$ 365,360 | 74,310 34,028 | $3,057,535$ 331,332 | 3,270 1,493 | 138.129 26.188 |
| Nonmember F.R.S. . | 429 | 261 | 168 | 1,899,994 | 429,281 | 1,470,713 | 2,460,248 | 330,387 | 2,129,861 | 1,418,728 | 242,013 | 1,176,715 | 5,466 | 27,188 $\mathbf{9 7} 709$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1934........... | 9 | 9 |  | 15.767 | 15,767 |  | 1,968 | 1,968 |  | 941 | 941 |  | 43 |  |
| 1935.. | 25 | 24 | 1 | 44,655 | 32,331 | 12.324 | 13,320 | 9,091 | 4,229 | 8,891 | 6.026 | 2,865 | 108 | 272 |
| 1936. | 69 | 42 | 27 | 89,018 | 43,225 | 45.793 | 27,508 | 11,241 | 16,267 | 14,460 | 7.735 | 6.725 | 67 | 934 |
| 1937. | 75 | 50 | 25 | 130,387 | 74,148 | 56,239 | 33,349 | 14.960 | 18,389 | 19.481 | 12.365 | 7.116 | 103 | 905 |
| 1938. | 74 | 50 | 24 | 203,961 | 44,288 | 159,673 | 59,684 | 10,296 | 49,388 | 30.479 | 9.092 | 21,387 | 93 | 4,902 |
| 1939. | 60 | 32 | 28 | 392,718 | 90,169 | 302,549 | 157,772 | 32,738 | 125,034 | 67.770 | 26.196 | 41,574 | 162 | 17.603 |
| 1940. | 43 | 19 | 24 | 256,361 | 20,667 | 235,694 | 142,430 | 5,657 | 136,773 | 74,134 | 4.895 | 69,239 | 89 | 17.237 |
| 1941. | 15 | 8 | 7 | 73,005 | 38,594 | 34,411 | 29,717 | 14,730 | 14,987 | 23,880 | 12,278 | 11,602 | 50 | 1.479 |
| 1942. | 20 | 6 | 14 | 60.688 | 5.717 | 54,971 | 19,185 | 1,816 | 17,369 | 10,825 | 1,612 | 9,213 | 38 | 1.076 |
| 1943. | 5 | 4 | 1 | 27,371 | 16,917 | 10.454 | 12.525 | 6,637 | 5,888 | 7.172 | 5,500 | 1,672 | 53 | 72 |
| 1944. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5,487 | 899 | 4.588 | 1,915 | 456 | 1,459 | 1,503 | 404 | 1,099 | 9 | 37 |
| 1945. | 1 | . . | 1 | 12,483 | . ..... | 12,483 | 5.695 | . ..... | 5.695 | 1.768 | . ..... | 1,768 | .... | 96 |
| 1946. | 1 | ... | 1 | 1,383 | ..... | 1,383 | 347 | ...... | 347 | 265 | ...... | 265 | . . . . | 11 |
| 1947. | 5 | ... | 5 | 10,637 | ...... | 10,637 | 7,040 | . . . . . | 7.040 | 1.724 | ...... | 1.724 | . . . . | 393 |
| 1948. | 3 | . . | 3 | 18,540 | ..... | 18,540 | 10,674 | . . . . . | 10.674 | 2,990 | ...... | 2.990 | .... | 200 |
| 1949. | 4 | ... | 4 | 5.671 | ...... | 5,671 | 5,475 | . . . . . | 5.475 | 2.552 | ...... | 2,552 | .... | 166 |
| 1950. | 4 | $\ldots$ | 4 | 6.366 | . . . . . | 6,366 | 5,513 | ...... | 5,513 | 3,986 | ...... | 3.986 |  | 524 |
| 1951. | 2 |  | 2 | 5.276 | ...... | 5.276 | 3.408 | . . . . . | 3,408 | 1,885 | ...... | 1,885 | .... | 127 |
| 1952. | 3 | $\ldots$ | 3 | 6,752 | ...... | 6.752 | 3.170 | . . . . . | 3,170 | 1,369 | . ..... | 1,369 | .... | 195 |
| 1953. | 2 | . | 2 | 24,469 |  | 24,469 | 18,262 | . ..... | 18,262 | 5.017 | . . . . . | 5.017 | .... | 428 |
| 1954. | 2 |  | 2 | 1.811 |  | 1,811 | 998 |  | 998 | 913 |  | 913 |  | 145 |
| 1955. | 5 | 4 | 1 | 17.790 | 8,080 | 9.710 | 11.953 | 6,503 | 5.450 | 6.784 | 4.438 | 2.346 | 106 | 665 |
| 1956. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 15,197 | 5.465 | 9,732 | 11,330 | 4,702 | 6,628 | 3.458 | 2.795 | 663 | 87 | 51 |
| 1957. | 1 | 1 |  | 2,338 | 2,338 |  | 1,163 | 1,163 |  | 1,031 | 1,031 |  | 20 |  |
| 1958. | 4 | 3 | 1 | 9.587 | 4,380 | 5,207 | 8,240 | 4,156 | 4,084 | 3.026 1.835 | 2,796 1.835 | 230 | 38 51 | 31 |
| 1959. | 3 1 | 3 1 | $\cdots$ | 3.073 11.171 | 3.073 11.171 |  | 2,593 6.930 | 2,593 6,930 | ....... | 1,835 4,765 | 1,835 4,765 | . | 51 82 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |
| :---: |
| Banks with deposits of: <br> Less than $\$ 100,000$. <br> $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 250,000$ <br> $\$ 250,000$ to $\$ 500,000$ <br> $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 1.000,000$ <br> $\$ 1,000,000$ to $\$ 2,000,000$ <br> $\$ 2,000,000$ to $\$ 5,000,000$ <br> $\$ 5,000,000$ to $\$ 10,000,000$ <br> $\$ 10,000,000$ to $\$ 25,000,000$ <br> $\$ 25,000,000$ to $\$ 50,000,000$ <br> $\$ 50,000,000$ to $\$ 100,000,000$ <br> $\$ 100,000,000$ to $\$ 500,000,000$ <br> $\$ 500,000,000$ to $\$ 1,000,000,000$ <br> $\$ 1,000,000,000$ or more |
| State |
| Alabama <br> Arizona <br> Arkansas <br> California <br> Colorado |
| Connecticut <br> Florida <br> Georgia <br> Idaho <br> Illinois |
| Indiana <br> lowa <br> Kansas <br> Kentucky <br> Louisiana |
| Maine <br> Maryland <br> Massachusetts <br> Michigan <br> Minnesota |
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# Table 124. DEPOSITORS, DEPOSITS, AND DISBURSEMENTS IN FAILED BANKS REQUIRING DISBURSEMENTS BY THE 

 FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, 1934-1980-CONTINUED BANKS GROUPED BY CLASS OF BANK, YEAR OF DEPOSIT PAYOFF OR DEPOSIT ASSUMPTION, AMOUNT OF DEPOSITS, AND STATE
${ }^{1}$ Adjusted to December 31, 1980. In assumption cases, number of depositors refers to number of deposit accounts.
 chargeable to liquidation activities.
3Includes estimated additional disbursements in active cases.
4 Excludes excess collections turned over to banks as additional purchase price at termination of liquidation
5 These disbursements are not recoverable by the Corporation; they consist almost wholly of field payoff expenses
6includes advances to protect assets and liquidation expenses of $\$ 244,922$ thousand, all of which have been fully recovered by the Corporation and $\$ 17,104$ thousand of nonrecoverable expenses
7 No cases in 1962 required disbursements. Disbursement totals for each year relate to cases occurring during that year, including disbursements made in subsequent years.
8 Includes disbursements by liquidators in field ( $\$ 1.5$ billion).
9 incer 1977 the assets of Banco Economias were purchased outright by the Corporation. Disbursements in the case are included in table 126 under "Other disbursements" and are not included in this table. 10 Includes AP-286-East Gadsden Bank, Gadsden, Alabama-closed December 31, 1980-funds required to purchase remaining assets were not disbursed until January 2, 1981
Note: Due to rounding differences, components may not add to totals.

Table 125. RECOVERIES AND LOSSES BY THE FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION ON PRINCIPAL
DISBURSEMENTS FOR PROTECTION OF DEPOSITORS, 1934-1980
(Amounts in thousands of dollars)


Table 125. RECOVERIES AND LOSSES BY THE FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION ON PRINCIPAL DISBURSEMENTS FOR PROTECTION OF DEPOSITORS, 1934-1980—CONTINUED
(Amounts in thousands of dollars)

| Liquidation status and year of deposit payoff or deposit assumption | All cases |  |  |  |  | Deposit payoff cases |  |  |  |  | Deposit assumption cases |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { banks } \end{gathered}$ | Principal disbursements | Recoveries to Dec. 31, 1980 | Estimated additional recoveries | Losses 1 | Number of banks | Principal disbursements 2 | Recoveries to Dec. 31 . 1980 | Estimated additional recoveries | Losses ${ }^{1}$ | Number of banks | Principal disbursements ${ }^{3}$ | Recoveries to Dec. 31, 1980 | Estimated additional recoveries | Losses ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1970. | 7 | 49,352 | 48.730 | 271 | 351 | 4 | 29.347 | 28,871 | 178 | 298 | , | 20,005 | 19,859 | 93 | 53 |
| 1971. | 6 | 162.163 | 161.795 | 175 | 193 | 5 | 53.790 | 53.422 | 175 | 193 | 1 | 108,373 | 108,373 |  |  |
| 1972. | 1 | 16.255 | 10.638 | 2.989 | 2.628 | 1 | 16,255 | 10,638 | 2,989 | 2,628 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 6 | 432,284 | 258,095 | 73,955 | 100.234 | 3 | 16,802 | 16,771 | 31 |  | 3 | 415.482 | 241,324 | 73.924 | 100,234 |
| 1974 | 4 | 2,261,804 | 2,158,940 | 101,125 | 1.739 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2.261.804 | 2.158,940 | 101.125 | 1,739 |
| 1975. | 13 | 302,976 | 250,290 | 32,024 | 20,662 | 3 | 25,992 | 19.172 | 6,384 | 436 | 10 | 276.984 | 231.118 | 25,640 | 20,226 |
| 1976. | 16 | 558,955 | 426,782 | 97.262 | 34,911 | 3 | 11,482 | 8,513 | 922 | 2.047 | 13 | 547.473 | 418,269 | 96,340 | 32,864 |
| 1977. | 6 | 21,825 | 15.732 | 4.596 | 1.497 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 21,825 | 15.732 | 4,596 | 1,497 |
| 1978. | 7 | 498,276 | 381,663 | 110,842 | 5.771 | , | 818 | 430 | 235 | 153 | 6 | 497,458 | 381,233 | 110,607 | 5.618 |
| 1979. | 10 | 79,976 | 46.323 | 24.241 | 9.413 | 3 | 9.961 | 2.198 | 6,072 | 1.692 | 7 | 70,015 | 44,125 | 18,169 | 7.721 |
| 19805. | 10 | 118.522 | 28,245 | 69.487 | 20,790 | 3 | 14.636 | 397 | 7.224 | 7.015 | 7 | 103.886 | 27.848 | 62.263 | 13.715 |

1 Includes estimated losses in active cases. Not adjusted for interest or allowable return, which was collected in some cases in which the disbursement was fully recovered
2Includes estimated additional disbursements in active cases.
Excludes excess collections turned over to banks as additional purchase price at termination of liquidation.
No case in 1962 required dishursments.
Nast Gadsden Bank, Gadsden, Alabama-closed December 31, 1980-funds required to purchase remaining assets were not disbursed until January 2 , 1981 Note: Due to rounding differences, components may not add to totals.

Table 126. ANALYSIS OF DISBURSEMENTS, RECOVERIES, AND LOSSES IN DEPOSIT INSURANCE TRANSACTIONS, JANUARY 1, 1934 -DECEMBER 31, 1980
(In thousands)
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Table 127. INCOME AND EXPENSES, FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, BY YEAR, FROM BEGINNING OF OPERATIONS, SEPTEMBER 11, 1933 TO DECEMBER 31, 1980
(In millions)


Table 127. INCOME AND EXPENSES, FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION, BY YEAR, FROM BEGINNING OF OPERATIONS, SEPTEMBER 11, 1933 TO DECEMBER 31, 1980-CONTINUED
(In millions)

 for these years amount to $\$ 5,817$ million.
 3Paid in 1950 and 1951, but allocated among years to which it applies. Initial capital of $\$ 289$ million was retired by payments to the U.S. Treasury in 1947 and 1948 .
 becoming due under the permanent insurance fund, resulting in no income to the Corporation from assessments during the existence of the temporary insurance funds,
ncludes net loss on sales of U.S. Government securities of $\$ 105.6$ million in 1976 and $\$ 3.6$ million in 1978
6 Net after deducting the portion of expenses and losses charged to banks withdrawing from the temporary insurance funds on June 30, 1934.

Table 128. PROTECTION OF DEPOSITORS OF FAILED BANKS REQUIRING DISBURSEMENTS BY THE FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION 1934-1980

| Item | All cases( 568 banks) |  | Deposit payoff cases (310 banks) |  | Deposit assumption cases (258 banks) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number or amount | Percent | Number or amount | Percent | Number or amount | Percent |
| Number of depositors or accoumts-total 1 | 3,885,163 | 100.0 | 632,659 | 100.0 | 3,252,504 | 100.0 |
| Full recovery received or available | 3,880,329 | 99.9 | 627,825 | 99.2 | 3,252,504 | 100.0 |
| From FDIC2 <br> From offset ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,832,324 \\ 41,302 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.6 \\ 1.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 579,8203 \\ 41,302 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.7 \\ 6.5 \end{array}$ | 3,252,504 | 100.0 $\ldots$ |
| From security or preference 5 | 31,324 | . 1 | 3,324 | $\begin{array}{r}\text {. } \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | $\ldots$ |
| From asset liquidation ${ }^{6}$. ... | 3.379 | . 1 | 3,379 | . 5 |  | $\ldots$ |
| Full recovery not received as of December 31, 1980. | 4,834 | . 1 | 4.834 | . 8 | ........ | $\ldots$ |
| Terminated cases Active cases | $\begin{aligned} & 3,763 \\ & 1,071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3,763 \\ & 1,071 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & . \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\cdots \ldots$. | . $\cdot$ |
| Amount of deposits (in thousands)-total | 6,224,275 | 100.0 | 498,618 | 100.0 | 5.725.657 | 100.0 |
| Paid or made available | 6,211,001 | 99.8 | 485,344 | 97.3 | 5.725,657 | 100.0 |
| By FDIC2 By offset ${ }^{8}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6,076,811 \\ 24.237 \end{array}$ | 97.6 4 | $\begin{gathered} 351.1547 \\ 24.237 \end{gathered}$ | 70.4 5.0 | 5,725,657 | 100.0 |
| By security or preference ${ }^{9}$ | $57,661$ | $9$ | $57.661$ | $11.5$ | ......... |  |
| By asset liquidation 10 ... | $52,292$ |  | $52,292$ |  | .... | $\ldots$ |
| Not paid as of December 31, 1980 | 13,274 | . 2 | 13,274 | 2.7 | . . . . . . | $\ldots$ |
| Terminated cases <br> Active cases ${ }^{11}$. | $\begin{array}{r} 3.202 \\ 10.072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,202 \\ 10,072 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} .7 \\ 2.0 \end{array}$ |  | $\ldots$ |

${ }^{1}$ Number of depositors in deposit payoff cases; number of accounts in deposit assumption cases.
2Through direct payments to depositors in deposit payoff cases; through assumption of deposits by other insured banks facilitated by FDIC disbursements of $\$ 4,915,933$ thousand, in deposit assumption cases.
3includes 64,495 depositors, in terminated cases, who failed to claim their insured deposits (see note 7).
4Includes only depositors with claims offset in full; most of these would have been fully protected by insurance in the absence of offsets.
5 Excludes depositors, paid in part by the FDIC; whose deposit balances were less than the insurance maximum.
6 The insured portions of these depositor claims were paid by the Corporation.
7 Includes $\$ 577$ thousand unclaimed insured deposits in terminated cases (see note 3).
8includes all amounts paid by offset
9lncludes all secured and preferred claims paid from asset liquidation; excludes secured and preferred claims paid by the Corporation. 10Includes unclaimed deposits paid to authorized public custodians.
11 Includes $\$ 2.064$ thousand representing deposits available, expected through offset, or expected from proceeds of liquidation.

Table 129. INSURED DEPOSITS AND THE DEPOSIT INSURANCE FUND, 1934-1980
(In millions)

| Year (December 31) | Insurance coverage | Deposits in insured banks |  | Percentage of insured deposits | $\begin{gathered} \text { Deposit insurance } \\ \text { fund } \end{gathered}$ | Ratio of deposit insurance fund to- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total ${ }^{1}$ | Insured ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { deposits } \end{gathered}$ | Insured deposits |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1980 \\ & 1979 \\ & 1978 \\ & 1977 \\ & 1976 \\ & 1975 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100.000 \\ 40.000 \\ 40.000^{7} \\ 40.0000^{6} \\ 40.000 \\ 40,000 \end{gathered}$ | $\$ 1.324 .463$ <br> 1.226 .943 <br> 1.145 .835 <br> 1.050 .435 <br> 94.923 <br> 875.985 <br> 8.27 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 948.717 \\ 808.555 \\ 760.706 \\ 692.533 \\ 628.263 \\ 569.101 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71.6 \% \\ & 65.9 \\ & 66.4 \\ & 65.9 \\ & 66.7 \\ & 65.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 11.019 .5 \\ 9.792 .7 \\ 8.796 .0 \\ 7.992 .8 \\ 7.268 .8 \\ 6.716 .0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 80 \\ & 77 \\ & 76 \\ & 77 \\ & 77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.16 \% \\ & 1.21 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 1.15 \\ & 1.16 \\ & 1.18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1974 \\ & 1973 \\ & 1972 \\ & 1971 \\ & 1970 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40,000 \\ & 20.000 \\ & 20.000 \\ & 20.000 \\ & 20.000 \end{aligned}$ | 833.277 766.509 697.480 610.685 545.198 | $\begin{aligned} & 520.309 \\ & 465.600 \\ & 419.756 \\ & 374.568^{4} \\ & 349.581 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 62.5 \\ & 60.7 \\ & 60.2 \\ & 61.3^{4} \\ & 64.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.124 .2 \\ & 5.615 .3 \\ & 5.158 .7 \\ & 4.739 .9 \\ & 4.379 .6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73 \\ .73 \\ 74 \\ 78 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.18 \\ & 1.21 \\ & 1.23 \\ & 1.27^{4} \\ & 1.25 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1969 \\ & 1968 \\ & 1967 \\ & 1966 \\ & 1965 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20.000 \\ & 15.000 \\ & 15.000 \\ & 15.000 \\ & 10.000 \end{aligned}$ | 495.858 491.513 448.709 401096 377,400 | $\begin{aligned} & 313.085 \\ & 296.701 \\ & 261.149 \\ & 234.150 \\ & 209.690 \end{aligned}$ | 63.1 <br> 60.2 <br> 58.2 <br> 58.4 <br> 55.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.051 .1 \\ & 3.7492 \\ & 3.485 .5 \\ & 3.252 .0 \\ & 3.036 .3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 82 \\ 76 \\ 78 \\ 81 \\ 80 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.29 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.33 \\ 1.39 \\ 1.45 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1964 . \\ & 1963 \\ & 1962 \\ & 1961 \\ & 1960 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 348.981 \\ & 313.304^{2} \\ & 297.548^{3} \\ & 281.304 \\ & 260.495 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 191.787 \\ & 177.381 \\ & 170.210^{4} \\ & 160.309^{4} \\ & 149.684 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55.0 \\ & 56.6 \\ & 57.2^{4} \\ & 570^{4} \\ & 57.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.844 .7 \\ & 2.6679 \\ & 2.502 .0 \\ & 2.353 .8 \\ & 2.222 .8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .82 \\ & .85 \\ & .84 \\ & .85 \\ & 84 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.48 \\ & 1.50 \\ & 1.47 \\ & 1.47^{4} \\ & 1.48 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1959 \\ & 1958 \\ & 1957 \\ & 1956 \\ & 1955 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \\ & 10.000 \end{aligned}$ | 247.589 242.445 225.507 219.393 212.226 | $\begin{aligned} & 142.131 \\ & 137.698 \\ & 127.055 \\ & 121.008 \\ & 116.380 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57.4 \\ & 56.8 \\ & 56.3 \\ & 55.2 \\ & 54.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.089 .8 \\ & 1.965 .4 \\ & 1.850 .5 \\ & 1.742 .1 \\ & 1.639 .6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \\ & 81 \\ & 82 \\ & 79 \\ & .77 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.47 \\ & 1.43 \\ & 1.46 \\ & 144 \\ & 1.41 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1954 \\ & 1953 \\ & 1952 \\ & 1951 \\ & 1950 \end{aligned}$ | 10.000 <br> 10,000 <br> 10.000 <br> 10.000 10.000 <br> 10.000 | $\begin{aligned} & 203.195 \\ & 193.466 \\ & 188.142 \\ & 178.540 \\ & 167.818 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 110.973 \\ 105.610 \\ 101.841 \\ 96.713 \\ 91.359 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54.6 \\ & 54.6 \\ & 54.1 \\ & 54.2 \\ & 54.4 \end{aligned}$ | 1.542 .7 1.450 .7 1.363 .5 1.282 .2 1.243 .9 | $\begin{array}{r} 76 \\ 75 \\ 72 \\ 72 \\ 74 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.39 \\ & 137 \\ & 1.34 \\ & 1.33 \\ & 1.36 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1949 \\ & 1948 \\ & 1947 \\ & 1946 \\ & 1945 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5,000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5,000 \\ & 5,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 156,786 \\ & 153.454 \\ & 154.096 \\ & 148.458 \\ & 157.174 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 76.589 \\ & 75.320 \\ & 76.254 \\ & 73.759 \\ & 67.021 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.8 \\ & 49.1 \\ & 49.5 \\ & 49.7 \\ & 42.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.203 .9 \\ 1.05 .9 \\ 1.006 .1 \\ 1.058 .5 \\ 929.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 77 \\ & 69 \\ & 65 \\ & 71 \\ & 59 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.57 \\ & 1.42 \\ & 1.32 \\ & 1.44 \\ & 1.39 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1944 \\ & 1943 \\ & 1942 \\ & 1941 \\ & 1940 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \end{aligned}$ | 134.662 111.650 89.869 71.209 65.288 | $\begin{aligned} & 56.398 \\ & 48.400 \\ & 32.837 \\ & 28.249 \\ & 26.638 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41.9 \\ & 43.4 \\ & 36.5 \\ & 39.7 \\ & 40.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 804.3 \\ & 7031 \\ & 6169 \\ & 553.5 \\ & 496.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & 63 \\ & 69 \\ & 78 \\ & 76 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.43 \\ 1.45 \\ 1.88 \\ 1.96 \\ 1.86 \end{array}$ |
| 1939 <br> 1938 <br> 1937 <br> 1936 <br> 1935 <br> 1934 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000 \\ & 5.000^{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 57,485 \\ & 50.791 \\ & 48.228 \\ & 50.281 \\ & 45,125 \\ & 40,060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.650 \\ & 23.121 \\ & 22.557 \\ & 22.330 \\ & 20.158 \\ & 18.075 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 42.9 \\ & 45.5 \\ & 46.8 \\ & 44.4 \\ & 447 \\ & 45.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 452.7 \\ & 420.5 \\ & 383.1 \\ & 343.4 \\ & 306.0 \\ & 2917 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .79 \\ & .79 \\ & .68 \\ & 68 \\ & 73 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84 \\ & 1.82 \\ & 1.70 \\ & 1.54 \\ & 1.52 \\ & 1.61 \end{aligned}$ |

'Deposits in foreign branches are omitted from totals because they are not insured. Insured deposits are estimated by applying to the deposits in the various types of accounts at the regular Call dates, the percentages insured as determined from the Summary of Deposits survey submitted by insured banks
December 20. 1963
${ }^{3}$ Decemberbe
${ }^{4}$ Revised.

${ }^{6} \$ 100,000$ tor time and savings deposits of in-state governmental units provided in 1974
${ }^{6} \$ 100,000$ for time and savings deposits of in-state governmental units provided in 1
${ }^{7} \$ 100.000$ for Individual Retirement accounts and Keogh accounts provided in 1978 .
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[^0]:    - The proponents are engaged in distinct lines of commerce and. as such. are not empowered to compete across the broad spectrum of services normally associated with commercial banking. Mutual savings banks and commercial banks in New Hampshire, however, do have similar powers with regard to a number of banking services and do compete directly for certain segments of the banking public.
    * In this relevant market. two credit unions. one of which is the outgrowth of the union organization functioning in conjunction with the area's largest industrial employer, hold aggregate assets estimated at $\$ 20$ million. This competitive analysis excludes the impact of these nonbank financial entities, however, it is recognized that they may be a material competitive factor for certain deposit and lending services for a large segment of the local populace.

[^1]:    This branch application was approved by the Corporation on October 26. 1979.

[^2]:    *State Bank was organized in 1934 as Exchange Bank of Unadilla. Incident to the 1976 merger transaction, its name was changed to the present title.

[^3]:    * This acquisition was effected prior to the enactment of The Change in Bank Control Act of 1978 and has not, heretofore, been subject to regulatory scrutiny.

[^4]:    *Applicant suggests that Bank's service area extends into Berks County and the market should therefore include the southern portion of Berks County. However, the addition of southern Berks County to Lancaster County only reduces slightly the market shares of Applicant and Bank to 14.0 percent and 2.1 percent. respectively.

[^5]:    iNot available before 1976
    2Where possible, figures are restated to reflect current reporting requirements. For amounts on an "as reported" basis, see Annual Reports of prior years.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Totals for items that are not explicitly reported are derived mathematically.
    $N / A=$ Not available

[^7]:    

[^8]:    Excludes amounts returned to closed bank equity-holders and $\$ 135.7$ million of interest and allowable return received by the FDIC. 2 Includes collections and disbursements by liquidators in the field ( $\$ 1.5$ billion).
    3ncludes estimat
    4 Not recoverable.
    Excludes $\$ 32$ million originally disbursed as assistance to Farmers Bank of the State of Delaware and subsequently applied to assets purchased from operating insured banks.

