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# - Banks Earn Record \$10.5 Billion in First Half - \$5.5 Billion in Second Quarter <br> - Lackluster Performance in Texas Continues to Depress Aggregates <br> - Asset Growth Led by Real Estate Lending <br> - Banks Fail at Same Pace as in 1987, Problem Bank List Shrinks 

U.S. commercial banks earned $\$ 10.5$ billion in the first half of 1988, the highest profit ever for a sixmonth period. These results were a stark contrast to the $\$ 5.4$ billion loss in the first half of 1987, when many large banks established reserves against troubled loans to developing countries. First-half provisions for loan losses were $\$ 16.3$ billion less in 1988 than in 1987. Aggregate net income in the second quarter was $\$ 5.5$ billion, surpassed only by the $\$ 5.8$ billion earned in the third quarter of 1987. The strong earnings were the result of generally improved performance by banks outside of Texas. Texas banks lost $\$ 2.9$ billion in the first half of 1988, $\$ 1.2$ billion in the second quarter; most of this was attributable to banks of First RepublicBank Corporation, which were closed on July 29.

Chart A - Quarterly Net Income of FDIC-Insured \$Billions Commercial Banks, 1984-1988


Equity capital was 7.3 percent higher as of June 30 than a year earlier, and the industry's equity-to-assets ratio improved to 6.15 percent from 6.02

Chart B - Equity Plus Reserves and Nonperforming Assets, 1982-1988

percent a year ago, and from 6.04 percent as of year-end 1987. This trend will continue as large banks rebuild their capital base after their large transfers to reserves a year ago, and take steps to meet new capital standards in the next decade. Primary capital strength also increased, as aggregate primary capital rose $\$ 15.1$ billion over year-ago levels to $\$ 241.1$ billion, a 6.7 percent increase. Banks in the largest size group continued to report an exceptionally high ratio of loss reserves to loans, due to their extensive reserving for loans to developing nations.

Bank assets grew $\$ 37.5$ billion during the second quarter, and following the trend of recent years, real estate lending accounted for much of the growth. As competition in traditional commercial lending areas has intensified, banks have increasingly tumed to the real estate and consumer lending markets for new business. This has changed the profile of bank loan portfolios, as the dollai volume of real estate loans on their books now exceeds commercial loan outstandings. Reorientation has entailed costs, however, in terms of higher overhead expenses and credit losses.

## Chart C - Composition of Total Loans Outstanding and Real Estate Loan Distribution



Most of the growth in real estate loans has been in commercial real estate lending, such as construction and development financing. In addition, much of the growth on the consumer side has been in home equity lines of credit, rather than purchase money mortgages. It is not clear what the shift from commercial loans to real estate lending will mean for the overall risk profile of bank loan portfolios.

Net interest margins have trended slightly downwards in recent years, narrowed by competitive forces and growth of nonaccrual loans. Greater loan losses have further reduced effective lending margins, suggesting that banks have had difficulties pricing the credit risk in their loan portfolios. This trend has resulted in a decline in profitability through the decade as banks have had to divert large portions of income to bolster reserves for anticipated loan losses. However, for the first time in the 1980s, aggregate loss reserves shrank from the first quarter to the second quarter of 1988, which with the reduction in nonperforming assets and an improving trend in margins begun in 1987, suggests that the credit quality outlook may be improving for the industry as a whole.


The number of commercial banks continued to diminish, as merger activity, bank failures, and liberalization of branching laws in some states contributed to the industry's further consolidation. As recently as year-end 1984 there were 14,481 insured commercial banks in the U.S.; their ranks had thinned to 13,444 by mid-year 1988, a 7.2 percent reduction.

Non-deposit funding sources continued to grow in importance during the quarter, especially at larger banks, as "other borrowed funds" were 11.5 percent higher as of June 30 than a year earlier. Recent events have reduced some of the funding advantage of banks' parent holding companies, and banks have tapped the debt markets themselves.

Although the percentage of banks that were unprofitable was virtually unchanged from the first to the second quarter, the number of banks on the "Problem List" fell for the fourth consecutive quarter, and as of June 30 was 9.1 percent below the peak reached a year earlier. Banks failed at the same pace during the first half of 1988 as they did in 1987. Full-year totals will be greater, however, due to the 42 failures attributable to the demise of First RepublicBank in July. Most of the failures have occurred in the Southwest, which continues to suffer from severely depressed real estate markets. Through the first six months of the year, 58 of the 101 banks that failed or required assistance were in the Southwest, 42 of those in Texas, and this pattern should continue through the rest of the year.

First half operating results were dramatically better than first half 1987 performance, and compare favorably with first half 1985 results in each region except the Southwest. Southwest regional aggregate performance ratios were heavily influenced by Texas banks. In each of that region's other four states, aggregate net income through the first six months of the year was positive. Midwestern banks, having weathered severe problems in the middle of this decade, continued their strong improving trend. Their 1988 results to date, reflected in an annualized return on assets of 1.07 percent, are more in line with their historic levels of performance. However, banks in drought-stressed areas may see some decline in the second half.

The current earnings strength reflects improved asset quality. Reduced levels of problem assets, which declined by $\$ 2.2$ billion during the second quarter, resulted in improved net interest margins as well as lower loan loss expenses. Strong growth of noninterest revenues has also provided important contributions to the industry's improved bottom-line. With better asset quality, the recent rise in interest rates, the return of Brazilian debt to performing status, and the steps taken last year to fortify reserves, 1988 promises a return to profitability levels not seen in the commercial banking sector since 1985, when industry retum on assets was 70 basis points. For the first half of 1988, the aggregate return on assets was 70 basis points, compared to 75 basis points in the first six months of 1985. For the banking industry in general, the outlook for second half of the year is for a continuation of the strong first half.

Table I. Selected Indicators, FDIC-Insured Commercial Banks

|  | 1988* | 1987* | 1987 | 1986 | 1985 | 1584 | 1983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Return on assets | 0.70\% | -0.37\% | 0.12\% | 0.63\% | 0.70\% | 0.65\% | 0.66\% |
| Retum on equity. | 11.41 | -5.94 | 2.00 | 9.94 | 11.31 | 10.73 | 10.70 |
| Equity capltal to assets | 6.15 | 6.02 | 6.04 | 6.20 | 6.20 | 6.15 | 6.00 |
| Primary capital ratio ... | 7.89 | 7.63 | 7.69 | 722 | 6.91 | 6.91 | 6.59 |
| Nonperforming assets to assets | 2.40 | 2.59 | 2.46 | 1.94 | 1.87 | 1.97 | 1.97 |
| Net charge-ofís to loans. | 0.99 | 0.79 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 0.84 | 0.76 | 0.67 |
| Asset growth rate..... | 4.88 | 5.01 | 203 | 7.71 | 8.86 | 7.11 | 6.75 |
| Net operating income growth | N/M | N/M | -85.27 | -20.65 | 6.30 | 3.40 | -3.69 |
| Percentage of unprofitable banks | 13.18 | 16.42 | 17.66 | 19.79 | 17.09 | 13.06 | 10.58 |
| Number of problem banks | 1,455 | 1,601 | 1,559 | 1,457 | 1,098 | 800 | 603 |
| Number of lailed/assisted banks | 101 | 99 | 201 | 144 | 118 | 78 | 45 |

Table II. Aggregate Condition and Income Data, FDIC•Insured Commercial Banks (dollar figures in millions)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^0]Table III. Second Quarter Bank Data (Dollar figures in billions, ratios in \%)

|  | All Banks | Asset Size Distribution |  |  |  | Geographic Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less <br> than $\$ 100$ <br> Million | \$100 Million to \$1 Billion | $\$ 1 \cdot 10$ <br> Billion | Greater than $\$ 10$ Billion | EAST |  |  | WEST |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Northeast Region | Southeast Region | Central Region | Midwest Region | Souttwest Region | West Region |
| CURRENT QUARTER Preliminary <br> (The way it is . . .) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks reporting | 13,403 | 10,630 | 2,408 | 328 | 37 | 1,082 | 1,932 | 2,966 | 3,160 | 2,743 | 1,520 |
| Total assets | \$3,055.3 | \$385.4 | \$571.8 | \$977.9 | \$1,120.2 | \$1,220.2 | \$417.1 | \$486.9 | \$205.7 | \$270.8 | \$454.5 |
| Total deposits | 2,355.4 | 343.2 | 488.0 | 734.2 | 790.0 | 883.7 | 332.6 | 391.5 | 160.0 | 221.5 | 365.7 |
| Net income (in millions) | 5,533 | 682 | 1,139 | 1,640 | 2,072 | 2,951 | 1,046 | 1,260 | 547 | -1,087 | 816 |
| Percentage of banks losing money | 12.9\% | 14.2\% | 8.0\% | 7.9\% | 2.7\% | 7.9\% | 10.5\% | 4.9\% | 7.4\% | 27.3\% | 20.3\% |
| Performance ratios (annualized) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield on earning assets | 9.77\% | 9.70\% | 9.79\% | 9.70\% | 10.11\% | 10.14\% | 9.74\% | 9.48\% | 9.95\% | 9.25\% | 9.97\% |
| Cost of funding eaming assets | 5.90 | 5.30 | 5.40 | 5.52 | 6.87 | 6.63 | 5.48 | 5.57 | 5.62 | 5.89 | 5.19 |
| Net interest margin | 3.87 | 4.40 | 4.39 | 4.18 | 3.24 | 3.51 | 4.26 | 3.91 | 4.33 | 3.36 | 4.78 |
| Net noninterest expense to eaming assets | 2.09 | 2.76 | 2.59 | 2.23 | 1.53 | 1.63 | 2.40 | 2.05 | 1.98 | 2.91 | 2.78 |
| Net operating cash flow to assets ...... . | 1.57 | 1.49 | 1.63 | 1.72 | 1.45 | 1.64 | 1.66 | 1.65 | 2.10 | 0.39 | 1.68 |
| Net operating income to assets | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.66 | 0.62 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 1.04 | 1.02 | -1.74 | 0.66 |
| Return on assets | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.05 | 1.08 | -1.72 | 0.73 |
| Retum on equity | 11.92 | 8.01 | 10.41 | 11.04 | 16.40 | 17.44 | 14.31 | 15.63 | 14.43 | -31.45 | 12.65 |
| Net charge-offs to loans and leases. | 1.11 | 0.87 | 0.95 | 1.38 | 1.05 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.72 | 1.37 | 4.75 | 1.26 |
| Loan loss provision to net charge-offs | 85.31 | 108.96 | 99.52 | 83.10 | 75.81 | 98.83 | 118.97 | 77.88 | 90.15 | 76.11 | 70.50 |
| Condition Ratios |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loss allowance to: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases | 2.61\% | 1.67\% | 1.56\% | 1.79\% | 4.13\% | 2.99\% | 1.30\% | 2.08\% | 2.03\% | 3.92\% | 2.89\% |
| Noncurrent loans and leases. | 80.38 | 65.32 | 73.49 | 82.22 | 83.36 | 83.76 | 100.07 | 117.44 | 83.55 | 49.90 | 80.12 |
| Nonperforming assets to assets | 2.40 | 2.05 | 1.78 | 1.72 | 3.36 | 2.33 | 1.02 | 1.21 | 1.74 | 6.25 | 2.98 |
| Equity Capital Ratio | 6.15 | 8.79 | 7.36 | 6.20 | 4.58 | 5.67 | 7.02 | 6.76 | 7.53 | 5.45 | 5.79 |
| Primary capital ratio | 7.77 | 9.64 | 8.24 | 7.27 | 7.64 | 7.65 | 7.70 | 7.96 | 8.63 | 7.35 | 7.82 |
| Net loans and leases to deposits | 77.84 | 58.24 | 69.95 | 84.90 | 84.65 | 82.74 | 77.42 | 73.03 | 71.53 | 64.61 | 82.7 |
| Growth Rates (year-to-year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets | 4.9\% | 5.9\% | 10.6\% | 13.2\% | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | 9.3\% | 5.2\% | 1.8\% | -5.4\% | 2.8\% |
| Equity capital | 7.3 | 4.0 | 8.9 | 12.3 | 11.4 | 14.7 | 10.7 | 6.4 | 2.8 | -19.3 | 8.9 |
| Net interest income | 5.0 | 5.1 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 3.4 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.6 | -4.8 | 2.9 |
| Net income | N/M | 15.1 | 14.0 | 680.6 | N/M | N/M | 34.9 | N/M | 790.8 | N/M | N/M |
| Nonperforming assets | -2.9 | -2.1 | 10.5 | 11.4 | -4.2 | -3.1 | -0.4 | -13.5 | -17.7 | 19.1 | -15.5 |
| Net charge-offs . | 40.2 | -13.3 | 32.2 | 102.1 | 39.7 | 16.8 | 10.4 | 42.9 | 1.2 | 119.1 | 21.5 |
| Loan loss provision .. | -79.3 | -17.1 | -0.9 | -42.8 | -91.5 | -90.2 | -39.0 | -82.6 | -57.8 | -1.2 | -82.8 |


| PRIOR SECOND QUARTERS (The way it was ...) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retum on assets . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1987 | -1.48\% | 0.59\% | 0.53\% | 0.06\% | -4.57\% | $-2.84 \%$ | 0.86\% | -0.56\% | 0.11\% | -1.22\% | -1.80\% |
| . . . . . 1985 | 0.74 | 0.88 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.37 | 0.83 | 1.09 | 0.85 | 0.87 | 0.70 | 0.16 |
| . 1983 | 0.72 | 1.12 | 0.92 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.68 | 1.00 | 0.74 | 1.09 | 0.80 | 0.41 |
| Equity capital ratio . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1987 | 6.02 | 8.65 | 7.38 | 6.09 | 4.22 | 5.29 | 6.92 | 6.68 | 7.45 | 6.39 | 5.47 |
| . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1985 | 6.27 | 8.68 | 7.23 | 5.95 | 4.87 | 5.64 | 6.83 | 6.88 | 7.62 | 7.07 | 5.54 |
| . . 1983 | 6.02 | 8.72 | 7.19 | 5.69 | 4.31 | 5.25 | 6.94 | 6.69 | 7.68 | 7.02 | 5.08 |
| Nonperforming assets to assets . . . 1987 | 2.59 | 2.31 | 1.96 | 1.84 | 3.64 | 2.58 | 1.12 | 1.48 | 2.16 | 4.97 | 3.63 |
| .................. 1985 | 2.08 | 2.08 | 1.67 | 1.66 | 2.67 | 1.85 | 1.07 | 1.65 | 2.33 | 2.62 | 3.22 |
| . . . 1983 | 2.10 | 1.61 | 1.69 | 2.04 | 2.61 | 1.69 | 1.27 | 2.24 | 1.65 | 2.24 | 3.40 |
| Net charge-offs to loans and leases. 1987 | 0.86 | 1.17 | 0.91 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.60 | 0.58 | 0.54 | 1.44 | 2.13 | 1.08 |
| . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1985 | 0.76 | 1.17 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.43 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 1.48 | 1.17 | 1.10 |
| . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1983 | 0.63 | 0.81 | 0.61 | 0.78 | 0.49 | 0.37 | 0.62 | 0.76 | 0.60 | 1.14 | 0.75 |

REGIONS: Northeast - Connecticut, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, Vermont
Southeast - Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia
Central - Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Wisconsin
Midwest - Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouni, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota
Southwest - Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas
West - Alaska, Arizona, Califomia, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Pacific Islands, Utah, Washington, Wyoming

Table IV. First Half 1988 Bank Data (Dollar figures in billions, ratios in \%)

|  | All Banks | Asset Size Distribution |  |  |  | Geographic Distribution |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Less } \\ & \text { than } \$ 100 \end{aligned}$Million | $\begin{gathered} \$ 100 \text { Million } \\ \text { to } \\ \$ 1 \text { Billion } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1.10 \\ & \text { Billion } \end{aligned}$ | Greater than $\$ 10$ Billion | EAST |  |  | WEST |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Northeast Region | Southeast Region | Central Region | Midwest Region | Southwest Region | West Region |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of banks reporting | 13,403 | 10,630 | 2,408 | 328 | 37 | 1,082 | 1,932 | 2,966 | 3,160 | 2,743 | 1,520 |
| Net incorme in millions) | \$10,511 | \$1,401 | \$2,209 | \$3,292 | \$3,609 | \$5,752 | \$2,085 | \$2,596 | \$1,079 | \$-2,735 | \$1,735 |
| Percentage of banks losing money | 13.2\% | 14.6\% | 7.7\% | 7.9\% | 2.7\% | 6.8\% | 9.6\% | 3.5\% | 7.0\% | 28.5\% | 20.1\% |
| Performance ratios (annualized) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yield on eaming assets . | 9.73\% | 9.64\% | 9.69\% | 9.66\% | 10.06\% | 10.08\% | 9.69\% | 9.39\% | 9.96\% | 9.25\% | 9.92\% |
| Cost of funding eaming assets. | 5.87 | 5.29 | 5.36 | 5.49 | 6.81 | 6.57 | 5.45 | 5.53 | 5.63 | 5.87 | 5.18 |
| Net interest margin ........ | 3.86 | 4.35 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 3.25 | 3.51 | 4.24 | 3.86 | 4.33 | 3.38 | 4.74 |
| Net noninterest expense to eaming assets .... | 2.10 | 2.76 | 2.60 | 2.29 | 1.47 | 1.63 | 2.41 | 2.00 | 2.03 | 2.97 | 2.77 |
| Net operating cash flow to assets | 1.55 | 1.44 | 1.57 | 1.66 | 1.51 | 1.63 | 1.62 | 1.66 | 2.06 | 0.35 | 1.68 |
| Net operating income to assets | 0.63 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.65 | 0.54 | 0.88 | 0.97 | 1.05 | 1.02 | -2.10 | 0.71 |
| Retum on assets | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.96 | 1.02 | 1.09 | 1.07 | -2.05 | 0.78 |
| Retum on equity | 11.41 | 8.59 | 10.75 | 11.20 | 14.44 | 17.36 | 14.77 | 16.29 | 14.23 | -35.65 | 13.49 |
| Net charge-offs to loans and leases | 0.99 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 1.17 | 1.03 | 0.66 | 0.63 | 0.75 | 1.38 | 3.42 | 1.01 |
| Loan loss provision to net chargeoffs | 99.00 | 115.16 | 113.04 | 91.46 | 96.32 | 95.07 | 97.15 | 76.72 | 85.24 | 123.59 | 87.24 |
| Growth Rales (year to year) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net interest income | 5.4 | 4.7 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 4.3 | 8.3 | 5.8 | 6.5 | 4.7 | -6.1 | 3.9 |
| Net income | N/M | 10.6 | 3.9 | 62.4 | N/M | N/M | 16.1 | 483.7 | 119.1 | N/M | N/M |
| Net charge-offs... | 32.3 | -16.4 | 16.0 | 89.0 | 34.0 | 24.6 | 37.9 | 62.6 | -0.1 | 79.4 | -0.3 |
| Loan loss provision | -64.1 | -19.3 | 3.1 | -21.6 | -80.9 | -82.7 | -24.0 | -69.8 | -47.9 | 56.0 | -73.0 |
| prior first halfs <br> The way it was . . .) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Keturn on assets. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 1987 | -0.37\% | 0.66\% | 0.70\% | 0.45\% | -2.00\% | -1.06\% | 0.96\% | 0.19\% | 0.49\% | -0.51\% | -0.63\% |
| ... 1985 | 0.75 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.48 | 0.80 | 1.10 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.64 | 0.34 |
| . 1983 | 0.75 | 1.15 | 0.94 | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.69 | 1.03 | 0.76 | 1.11 | 0.94 | 0.40 |
| Net chargeoffs to loans and leases . 1987 | 0.79 | 0.99 | 0.81 | 0.71 | 0.80 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 1.43 | 1.80 | 1.04 |
| . . 1985 | 0.66 | 0.93 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.67 | 0.39 | 0.44 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 1.03 | 0.95 |
| . ................ 1983 | 0.58 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 0.54 | 0.96 | 0.70 |

## NOTES TO USERS

COMPUTATION METHODOLOGY FOR PERFORMANCE AND CONDITION RATIOS
All income figures used in calculating performance ratios represent amounts for that period, annualized (multiplied by the number of periods in a year).
All asset and liability figures used in calculating performance ratios represent average amounts for the period (beginning-of-period amount plus end-of-period amount plus any periods in between, divided by the total number of periods).
All asset and liability figures used in calculating the condition ratios represent amounts as of the end of the quarter.
DEFINITIONS
"Problem" Banks-Federal regulators assign to each financial institution a uniform composite rating, based upon an evaluation of financial and operational criteria. The rating is based on a scale of 1 to 5 in ascending order of supervisory concem. "Problem" banks are those institutions with financial, operational or managertal weaknesses that threaten their continued financial viability. Depending upon the degree of risk and supervisory concem, they are rated either "4" or " 5 ".
Earning Assets-all loans and other investments that eam interest, dividend or fee income.
Yleld on Eaming Assets-total interest, dividend and fee income eamed on loans and investments as a percentage of average eaming assets.
Cost of Funding Earning Assets-total interest expense paid on deposits and other borrowed money as a percentage of average eaming assets.
Net Interest Margin-the difference between the yield on eaming assets and the cost of funding them, i.e., the profit margin a bank eams on lits loans and investments.
Net Noninterest Expense-total noninterest expense, excluding the expense of providing for loan losses, less total noninterest income. A measure of banks' overhead costs. Net Operating Income-income after taxes and before gains (or losses) from securities transactions and from nonrecurring iterns. The profit eamed on banks' regular banking business.
Net Operating Cash Flow-pre-tax net operating income before the provision for loan and lease losses; a measurement of banks' cash flow, net of interest and overhead expenses,
from regular operations. Previously referred to as "adjusted net operating income".
Retum on Assets-net income (including securities transactions and nonrecurring items) as a percentage of average total assets. The basic yardstick of bank' profitability.
Retum on Equity-net income as a percentage of average total equity capital.
Net Chargeoffs-total loans and leases charged off (removed from balance sheet because of uncollectibility) during the quarter, less amounts recovered on loans and leases previously charged off.
Nonperlorming Assots-the sum of loans past-due 90 days or more, loans in nonaccrual status, and noninvestment real estate owned other than bank premises.
Noncurrent Loans \& Leases-the sum of loans past-due 90 days or more and loans in nonaccrual status.
Primary Capital-total equity capital plus the allowance for loan and lease losses plus minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries plus qualifying mandatory convertible debt
(cannot exceed 20 percent of total primary capital), less intangible assets except purchased mortgage servicing rights.
Met Loans and Leases-total loans and leases less uneamed income and the allowance for loan and lease losses.
inporay Investments-the sum of interestbearing balances due from depository instifutions, federal funds sold and resold, trading-account assets and investment securities ih remaining maturties of one year or less.
Volatile Liabilities-the sum of large denomination time deposits, foreign office deposits, federal funds purchased, and other borrowed money.
Requests for copies of and subscriptions to the FDIC Quarterly Banking Profile should be made through the FDIC's Office of Corporate Communications, 550 17th Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20429; telephone (202) 898-6996.


[^0]:    *-through June 30; ratios annualized where appropriate
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